2026-06-17 - Club Grubbery - Helen Grus

[00:00:00] Hi, everyone, and welcome to another great episode of Club Grubbery. Johnny, we've been anticipating this, I think, for over a year, and for reasons that'll become obvious as we go through the interview, we've had to wait for the timing to be right. Uh, but this is gonna be a cracker.

Yeah, 100%, Hoodie, and, uh, obviously, y- we, we've said all along that the police are, uh, one of the ways out of this mess, and, uh, the investigations and, and all the madness that's gone on, and, uh, w- what, uh, this poor lady's been through is an absolute, uh, tragedy.

And, uh, that story will be told today, and it's, uh, great to have them on.

It is great indeed, and joining us from Canada, we have Detective Helen [00:01:00] Grose, uh, who's been in the limelight over there for some time. She's at the center of a, a big project which has brought about to bring some justice to everything that happened, and some clarity about things that happened in Canada in the last five years.

And, uh, we're gonna show a video clip in a moment th- that will explain that. And sitting alongside her is Bathsheba Vandenberg. Uh, he's her lawyer, and working on the team and doing great work together. So welcome to you both. Thank you. Thank you for having us, Graham. Okay. So now I'm gonna share screen and show the, the, uh, preview for, uh, Silencing, uh, Detective Grose, which has already gone nuts over here in Australia.

It was January 2022 when a colleague of mine in the unit came to me saying, "Something's up. We've had a doubling or tripling of baby deaths in the last year." And that's what got my curiosity piqued. Our own government told us a medical treatment was safe, and it killed [00:02:00] babies. I have lost all faith that Health Canada is looking out genuinely for the best interests of Canadians.

Doctors made extra money to push vaccines, and they were given a billing code to do it. I have pulled all the billing codes. They've purchased the vaccine that hasn't been approved. They've distributed it to the provinces so the second it's approved, we can start jabbing ourselves with it. We can start jabbing pregnant mothers with it.

Why did we have to get these vaccinations? Like, why was this something that we had to do? You go to the hospital, you expect to have a baby, and you expect to go home And then you don't I was suspect that there was criminal negligence on part of the government and the public health officials. It is highly recommended that pregnant women get their vaccine as soon as possible.

They pushed on with this narrative to everybody, including pregnant and breastfeeding women, that the mRNA shots were safe and effective. They had wiretapped [00:03:00] her phone. They had harassed her. They had charged her. They didn't allow any expert witnesses to testify. Our Canadian babies died, and the police are trying to cover it up to the point of stopping Detective Helen Grace from testifying about it.

The dominant individuals keep the subordinates in their place by constant aggression. If you don't wanna get vaccinated, that's your choice. But don't think you can get on a plane or a train beside vaccinated people and put them at risk. It started off with CBC running a story to implicate her and to paint her with a brush that looks uncomplimentary to the public.

Canada has to shift their understanding of what the CBC is. It is a state broadcaster pushing the agenda of the liberal government of Canada. This is the most significant matter affecting our children today from a health perspective, and they're still not investigating. Everything emanates outward from this case involving law enforcement, the judicial system, the pharmaceutical industry, our [00:04:00] health agencies, how they work together, how they censored information.

It all ties together to this one case, and that's what makes it so dangerous.

There you have it. I, I, I've gotta confess, um, to everybody that, uh, we have all been emotionally invested in this for so long, and when you hear statements like, "They're killing our babies," it pulls on your heart

Um, Helen Groome, briefly touch on how you got involved in this. I mean, you were a, a working detective. You were working in child protection or child safety, I believe. Just take us on a short journey on how you got involved in this.

Yeah, so from 2016, [00:05:00] I was a detective in the, the Ottawa Police Sexual Assault and Child Abuse unit, and 2020, COVID, uh, the COVID pandemic was called. And in 2021, as we all know, the COVID, uh, mRNA shots were implemented in the system. Now, it was in January of 2022 that a colleague of mine, as you heard in the documentary, came to me and said,

"Helen, I don't know what's going on, but we've had a doubling, tripling of baby deaths, and normally we have two or three, and all of a sudden we've got all these."

So that left me concerned. Few days later, um, the chief of police, uh, Peter Sloly, a group of officers, we had a town hall meeting with him, and because this information rested on... I- i- it troubled me. It concerned me. So the morning before going into this town hall meeting, I queried the statistics in our Ottawa Police database, [00:06:00] it's called RMS, and I queried the reports simply to see if it's true, if w- whether or not we, in fact, had a doubling, tripling of baby s- sudden unexpected deaths in infants.

And when I ran this, when I went into the system to check that, I had to review the preliminary autopsy results to see what the cause of death was. Um, I, I needed to rule out anything that was a choking or a shaken baby or drowning, something like that, that is explained versus an unexplained, uh, baby death.

So when I went into the system, I did see that in fact there had been a, a tripling. So I immediate- ... I took notes to that, uh, th- what I found in the system, and a few hours later, I reported directly to the Ottawa Police chief, um, and there were a few executive there. So, and that was January 13th, 2022, and two weeks later, I, I was sent home on leave without pay with, [00:07:00] um, because there was a mandate implemented in the Ottawa Police Service that if you were unvaccinated or you didn't declare a status, that, uh, February 1st, 2022, you would be sent home on leave without pay.

Three days after that, I was actually, on the 4th of February, I was formally suspended, and, uh, the allegations against me was that I was querying, uh, police reports for personal reasons, and that I had been allegedly printing off coroner reports- And I, I believe that was... So, a- and n- neither of those were true.

And so when I got the call that I'm being suspended and the officers came to my home to seize my, seize my badge, my, my notebooks, my computers, my work cellphone, um, I wasn't worried because I, I knew what I had done on January 13th, 2022. And a few, th- there's a couple more things that we can add to it. Uh, but I knew I was doing police work.

I knew... I was concerned for those [00:08:00] babies. I wanted to know why there was a doubling, tripling of baby deaths.

Wow. Uh, as I said, very emotional for me. I, uh, we lost a three-week-old grandson to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, and while the mother wasn't vaccinated with the mRNA, uh, we're almost certain it was a result of, uh, uh, injections that the baby had after birth.

Um, so th- this is a really touching issue. And John, we've done several interviews with Sally Clark from the Philippines, an Australian expat there, who's done all the statistical work through all the bureaus of statistics, governments all round the world, and her findings are just terrifying. Um, the infant mortality rate has gone through the roof.

Uh, populations are shrinking because, uh, people are dying unexpectedly, and birthrates have gone right down. So this is a major issue. Johnny.

Yeah, there's no doubt about it. H- uh, Helen, uh, when you looked at that, uh, data, were you specifically just looking, [00:09:00] uh, was it the mRNA, uh, or, or, or was it more encompassing, uh, in, in terms of how that data was collected, uh, from the coroner's point of view?

Because it was our understanding that there really wasn't any autopsies that were being conducted, uh, that, that, that pinpointed the mRNA vaccines.

That's just it. That day, January 13th, 2022, I was, uh, doing a probative query of simply the numbers Numbers, yeah ... because I wanted to know if in fact there had been a doubling or tripling, which there was.

Um, I did review the autopsy results o- on some. Some were preliminary s- well, most of them were just the preliminary results, because it does take six to eight to nine months to get the full autopsy results and aut- uh, toxicology. But on top of that, I did notice that some o- like, for example, there were two baby cases that, um, one was in late 2021, the second one was in January 2022, where the parents picked the b- the newborn up [00:10:00] to feed them, and the baby went limp and died in their arms And I, at that time, I'd been a police officer for over 19 years, and it's, to this day, it still sends chills down my spine because I had never seen that phenomena.

It's, it's, uh, it's tragic, it's horrific. I can't imagine as a parent, and that left me sleepless, actually. That, that was the first time in my career that I, I had trouble sleeping because I was concerned about what's going on with the babies. Um, a week after that, the- it was the 17th of January 2022, I was watching the Toronto Public Health Unit, uh, they had a meeting, and Dan Hartman, I don't

know if you've heard of his son, Sean Hartman, 17-year-old boy, wanted to play hockey, took one Pfizer shot, developed symptoms of

A few days later, he went into emerge, they sent him home. A few weeks later, they found him dead on the floor in his bedroom. And when they did an autopsy on that boy, on Sean Hartman, he had an enlarged heart, [00:11:00] and that was, uh, uh, they ruled that the, the Canadian pathologist ruled that they didn't know what the cause of death was, but they did find an enlarged heart.

He had sent, uh, with, uh, assistance of a lawyer, he had a secondary opinion done Stateside, and they, in fact, deemed that the Pfizer vaccine was the cause of his enlarged heart. So there's now a lawsuit in Canada for that. Um, but when I s- heard that, it actually brought me back to one of the baby deaths because I had been talking to the investigator in December about his case, and he mentioned that that baby that had went limp and died in his parent, in the parents' arms, in fact, had an enlarged heart.

So the following day, January 18th, I phoned that investigator and I said, "You know, did you ask the parents if the mother was vaccinated when you were investigating this con- uh, the investigation, the SUDI investigation?" And he was very dismissive. He actually said, like, "No. Why? Why?" I said, "Well, coroner's pathologists need to know [00:12:00] that."

And we, in fact, have what, it's called a SUDI questionnaire, so when the police attend any unexpected ch- baby death, infant death under five years old, we have a sudden infant death questionnaire where there's well over 100 questions where you're supposed to ask every single detail. There's no s- including medical status, vaccine status.

So it wasn't a question that was just pulled out of thin air. It's actually mandated by policy to ask that. Um, back to that conversation, that officer was very dismissive, and instead of following up with the family or the coroner on that question, he reported me to my supervisor. I found that out during the course of the tribunal.

Wow. Beth Shiva, does that strike you as odd? I mean, the abandoning of, uh, of, of, uh, you know, set-in-place policies in policing and in the, in the, uh, judiciary, a lot of the standard operating procedures have been abandoned [00:13:00] around this issue. Does that strike you as odd? Well, not only does it strike me as, as, as odd or unusual, it, it deeply worries me, and it should worry every Canadian.

Because essentially, the Ottawa Police Service has set arbitrary standards, which is unacceptable. I mean, that's why we have police disciplinary proceedings in the first place, is to ensure that we-- that, that, that, that, that the public see that the police officers are being held to the utmost standard, and that includes fulfilling their duties, their duties to protect the public, to preserve life.

And, and part of those duties are, are mapped out in a number of policies in the Ottawa Police Service, and those policies must be upheld and respected. And, and, and in this case, what we saw, um, and heard during the tribunal was evidence that police officers had actually neglect their duty. So the very police [00:14:00] officers that testified against Detective Helen Grues had actually, they themselves, failed to fulfill their duty in completing, uh, the SUDI, the s- the sudden unexpected death in infancy questionnaire, had, had, had failed.

We learned that one officer had failed to include a copy of a coroner report. One officer had completed a sudden infant death case within one day, one day, without a SUDI questionnaire. And, and we heard testimony that the pathologist, the coroner, works hand-in-hand together with the police officer to unpack the evidence, to ask the questions in order to determine the cause of death, and that was not done.

And in fact, not only was it not done, but that information is being avoided. And I say that, and I don't, don't say that lightly because, uh, by this point in time, in 2026, we have so much evidence on the [00:15:00] record that shows that these babies died in circumstances identical or similar to the adverse effects listed in the Pfizer clinical, uh, documents themselves.

And there's information that warrants a criminal investigation, and yet nothing is being done We're, we're, uh, working pretty extensively with Senator Ron Johnson in the USA. Uh, and I've just returned from Washington, having attended Senate hearings with him over there in the last couple of weeks. It's clear that he's uncovering, uh, documentation in the USA, which is now clearly showing that the FDA there knew that these mRNA vaccines, these gene therapies were killing, were killing children, and they did nothing about it.

Um, surely by now in Canada, with everything happening there with you guys in Canada, the data that we're bringing out here in Australia, data coming out of New Zealand as well, [00:16:00] and it just seems the USA, Canada, New Zealand, um, Australia are right in the thick of this stuff, that authorities must be getting pretty nervous by now.

They must be... Uh, it, it's clear that they're, they're, uh, they're circling the wagons and aided and abetted by their medias. Uh, your Canadian Broadcasting Corporation is a carbon copy of the Australian Broadcasting Corporation, the New Zealand Broadcasting Corporation. They're all playing from the same playbook.

Um, are you, are you seeing signs that this is actually elevating this, this issue to a place of prominence outside the bubble where we're gonna see some action? I do, I do believe so because, I mean, I, I have a background in international criminal justice. I work at war crimes tribunals. And, and the one thing that I've learnt is that it takes time, and, and it unfortunately, and sadly, and tragically takes a, a, a tremendous amount [00:17:00] of suffering because that tremendous amount of suffering is the evidence.

And, and, and I can share with you that we have, uh, fantastic, uh, people across the country that have been doing Freedom of Information requests, and they've obtained thousands of pages of documents that show exactly what you were talking about there just now, that the government knew that babies were dying after the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccination, and they were doing nothing.

And, and I read those documents, and when I read those documents, I thought about my war crimes days, when I'm reading those military documents, when I'm reading those documentations of crimes being committed by government officials. And, and it had the sort of that sim- similar sentiment, if you like. And, and so there are people working to preserve the record and to, to collate the evidence.

Uh, but of course, when, when the truth comes out and it rings loud and clear, what we're going to [00:18:00] see is more pushback. And, and what they're doing with Detective Helen Groome is they're trying to set the example to every single police officer across the country to not look into, uh, potential criminal negligence in relation to, um, the, the COVID-19 vaccinations.

And we have to remember that Detective Helen Groome hadn't even initiated- A criminal negligence investigation. She had simply asked questions and raised it immediately to the chief of police, and within two weeks she had her badge taken off of her. She's a police officer with an outstanding performance record, due for promotion.

Uh, and even to this day, even to this day, I read out affidavit evidence at her penalty stage. So she was found guilty last year, and a month ago we started the sentencing hearing. And I read an affidavit evidence of serving police officers,

so her own supervisor today, so [00:19:00] she serves in robbery today, said that other police officers should emulate Detective Helen Groome's standards because she exemplifies integrity and professionalism and excellent investigative skill set.

So on Thursday this week, the penalty hearing will come to a close and, and then we will see, right? What the message is from the Ottawa Police Service. Are they gonna continue this, this campaign against Detective Helen Groome? Are they gonna continue this and give her a, a, a, a terrible penalty for doing her job?

Or are they gonna try to continue to deter other police officers from, uh, opening any investigations? And, and the question is still out there, but I believe fundamentally that, uh, that there will be investigations. It'll take time, and I'm not sure how, how and who will be conducting that [00:20:00] Helen, I- Nice.

Sorry, mate. Go. No, you go for it. No, go on, John. Helen, I just wanted to ask you just in relation to that, uh, history, uh, because I, I, I don't think there's any doubt in anyone's mind, uh, that would be watching this program that the mRNA vaccines are the, are the, are causing these deaths. Uh, I mean, we've heard expert after expert, uh, talk about how toxic these, uh, mRNA, uh, vaccines are.

But I, I didn't realize, uh, uh, that there was such, uh, an investigation in- into, say, the SIDS, uh, i- issue with the 100 questions, uh, with the vaccines, the medical histories, et cetera. I mean, even as a paramedic, it, it was never alerted to me ever, uh, that there could be a causal link between vaccines, childhood vaccines, not, not mRNA ones, and, and deaths.

Uh, do you think it's possible, Helen, that, uh, y- you know, the fact that you'd stumbled on [00:21:00] this and, and, and, and went forward with it, that they were worried that, uh, y- you, you were going to uncover something, uh, uh, as sinister in the background in relation to these other vaccines as well? So if I may just jump in, and, and, and I do apologize.

I, I wish Detective Helen Gruys could answer your question, but she's still a serving police officer. And, and, and, and I would say even as, as a lawyer, we, we don't, um, speculate. Um, this is for, uh, uh, other people with, uh, wi- with, um, the, the knowledge and the, and the evidence to, to, to come up with the answers.

I mean, uh, who, who, who knows really? All, all, all I know at the end of the day, um, to, to sh- sort of short form answer the question, is that they stopped Helen before anything could be done. And not only that, is that we have years and reams of evidence at the police station, right? Wow. Because these police disciplinary proceedings are held at the police station, so the police [00:22:00] service has all of this evidence in their hands, and no investigation is taking place.

It, it would appear that the last thing that they want is for Detective Helen Gross to be put in the witness box- Mm ... to present evidence. Is, is that, is that a correct assumption? Is that why they're stalling? Well, well, well a- actually that, that's an interesting qu- uh, question and observation because throughout the tribunal proceedings, uh, the defense was prevented from asking questions as to the circumstances of the babies' deaths.

And we, we did manage to elicit that evidence, uh, but there was a point in time where I was, uh, asking, uh, Detective Helen Gross what she had read in the clinical records, how many babies had died. Um, because we have to remember that the, uh- Pfizer vaccines were not tested [00:23:00] on pregnant and breastfeeding women.

They were not part of the clinical trials per se, but some women were pregnant or did fall pregnant. And the women that did, uh, fall pregnant, all of their babies died, bar one. And, and, and that was a question I was putting detect- to Detective Helen Gruys. And the tribunal, the hearing officer, the judge if you like, uh, desperately did not want that evidence on the record, and actually put his hand out physically and told her to stop testifying.

So they, they, they tried hard to not have that kind of evidence on the record, but it is on the record. And, and, and pr- like, sort of going like this doesn't mean it doesn't exist. It's there. Mm. Helen, how many, uh, years have, have, have you been asking those 100 questions for in relation to in- infant deaths?

Is, is that something, uh, recent? Uh, a- and, you know, is it c- has it been common, uh, to, to ask, uh, is [00:24:00] vaccination, when, when, when was the baby vaccinated? Who, who formulated these, these questions? That's actually, the SUTI questionnaire has been around for well over, uh, I'd have to go back on the date, because it's been adjusted as well.

Yeah, I would say well over, if not 15 to 20 years. It was actually implemented by the coroner's office in conjunction with the police and pathologists, because we do joint investigations. So those questions have been there, but as, as we

heard at, at the tribunal, there's officers that say, "Well, there's policy and there's practice."

Mm. And the policy says we have to do all the, ask all, all the questions, every single one. It even asks about what p- you know, for a baby that doesn't wake up in the, from, uh, their nap, we're s- we have to ask, like, were they sleeping on a pillow, were they on their side, on their stomach, on their back. So there's very, very detailed questions in these questionnaires, and rightfully so, because i- this, it's important for, for the pathologist [00:25:00] to know h- where, where their investigation will guide them from a medical standpoint.

Um, so it's not new. Policy says it has to be completed. Police must ensure it's completed. And whether the police ask the questions or the coroners, it doesn't, that part doesn't matter, but we have to ensure as per our policy that that questionnaire is complete, and it wasn't completed in, in a number of qu- uh, the, the investigations that I had reviewed on January 13th, 2022, or it had not been attached to the file.

Oh. And, and is it an opt-in system? You know, like in Australia, it would be, you know, a compulsory, uh, to record when somebody's vaccinated, what they're vaccinated with, et cetera, and that would be on a, on a, on a database. Is, is that something that happens in, in Canada or, or, or, or is it, uh, essentially you've gotta, uh, opt in to that system?

Opt-in as, as in provide that information [00:26:00] to- Yes ... the, so I, I believe at this point it's a lot of these records are still held with the family practitioner. So it's the fam- the families themselves or, and/or their family doctor, if they have one, that would hold those records. Now, school-aged children here in Ontario, and there's one, I believe it's New Br- New Brunswick here in Canada, they have to provide, um, vaccination records to, to attend school.

So in that case, again, schools. But that, that would, again, that would fall out of the, out of what SACA at OPS investigates because we investigate, as far as children's deaths, it's up to the age of five. So school-aged children would not fall under our purview. And what, what I can say is that, that, that SUID questionnaire, the questionnaire of the sudden, uh, investigating the sudden infant death, has at least five, uh, parts that do, um, facilitate and enable a police officer or a, a, a pathologist to ask the question of whether the [00:27:00] parents, the caretakers of the, the baby has been vaccinated.

And, and, and that is, as, as Detective Helen Grace was, was saying and, and what we heard in evidence on the tribunal, that that's mandatory. So, so, so d-

irrespective of who completes that, uh, uh, questionnaire, it must be completed and, and then the, as we learnt from the evidence in tribunal, that, that the questionnaire would then be, uh, f- uh, attached to, to, to the record as part of the investigation.

So it does need to be completed out. So it's, it's not a y- It cannot be opted out, to answer your question, as part, part of the investigation. And, and of course, I, I just want to highlight that the answers that we're giving to your questions today are, um, reflective of what's on the tribunal, uh, uh, record.

And, and the reason why I say that is because they, they charged Detective Helen Grace with misconduct. The, the Ottawa Police Service leaked confidential police information [00:28:00] to CBC and, uh, we have an un- unprecedented example here of, of insight, public insight into police investigations into sudden infant deaths because that is police confidential information, right?

And, uh, but then because that was all the evidence that was being used against Detective Helen Grace, and she has the right to provide a defense, we, a, a lot more, um, information was made widely available to the public as a result of the whole tribunal proceedings being, uh, on the public record. We, we had a, uh, to give some background of what happens in Australia, we, we had a phone call early one morning from, uh, my daughter, my stepdaughter, uh, who lives on the other side of the country.

Uh, she was screaming and she was indicating that her three-week-old baby boy was lying dead alongside her on the bed. Um, her [00:29:00] mum is a registered nurse, went straight into triage, um, organized the authorities to go round there, uh, quickly, an ambulance, whatever. The police attended. Uh, we then booked flights for her to get from, uh, from Brisbane across to Perth.

It's about six hours, and she had to get up there to, to, uh, get on the airplane. By the time she got to Perth, it was eight o'clock at night She went to the central police station in the city to find her daughter, because at the time the ambulance arrived, the police also arrived, and she was detained on suspicion of murder.

She was treated as a murder suspect, which apparently is standard operating procedure in the West Australian police. Um, the baby was snatched away from her, put in a separate ambulance, and taken away. She was taken away in an ambulance under police escort, went to a hospital where she was, um, uh, checked over, uh, for a short time in her pajamas, [00:30:00] taken then to

central police headquarters for questioning, where she was held until 7:00 PM, 12 hours.

She hadn't been able to see her dead baby. She wanted to hold him. She wasn't able to. She was treated like a criminal, and when they'd finished her investigation, she was released into the street with no cellphone, no purse, and in her pajamas that she'd been wearing for 24 hours by this stage. She was released into the streets.

My wife turned up at the police station and said, "Where is our daughter?" And they said, "Oh, we, we, we released her about an hour ago." "Well, what did you do with her? Did you put her in a cab? She's got no money. She's got nothing." They said, "No, she just walked out the door." So Michelle went searching the s- the darkened streets of Perth and found her with her partner in an alley huddled up in a doorway, and they were both crying their eyes out.

Um, then the pathology happened, and the pathologist, uh, after about a week, had, uh, revealed that there was... this was a, a death [00:31:00] caused by sudden infant death syndrome, and we wanted the body released for the funeral, for the, for burial. The family was very, very distressed. Everyone was gathered from all over the country, and everyone was distressed, and I was dealing with the detectives with the coroner's office, and a detective rang me and he said, "Look, we can release the baby's body for burial, but the brain has been removed for neuropathology, and the only neuropathology we have in Western Australia is in Europe on a vacation, and it'll be three weeks before we can release the brain to be put with the body for burial."

And I was given the job of telling my daughter, "You can have him now without a brain for burial, or... and they will, they will get rid of the brain later, or we wait for another three weeks." The baby was on a slab for over a month while we waited for this to go out, and that, that kind of treatment, they, they were very, very exhaustive in everything that they did, [00:32:00] and, uh, when I'm listening to the flippant way that some officers are treating this in Canada and, uh, it, it gets the hackles up on the back of my neck, I've gotta tell you.

But when these things happen, people in the, in, in this country have no idea what the parents go through. Not only are they grieving, they don't even get to hold their baby. So when my daughter got to hold her baby, he'd been dead for a month. And you can imagine what that was like at the mortuary. So this stuff is serious, and when it, when we're talking about they're killing our babies, we need to take this seriously.

This is a standard we cannot walk past. Um Helen, I, I'm, I'm really careful, and once again, if we're asking you something that you can't, that you can't say, uh, and you can't answer. Are you con- are you professionally concerned that the cause of these deaths may well be mRNA [00:33:00] vaccinations, uh, vaccinations, and that desperately needs to be ruled in, not ruled out?

So I, I believe that further investigation is absolutely warranted because as, as was put on record, and Bathsheba mentioned today, from the, the babies that died in 2021 after the rollout of the MR- mRNA shots, the causes of death that were on the preliminary autopsy results are the same as... So if you've seen the Pfizer 5.3.6 document where they have the nine pages of side effects that the Pfizer vaccines can cause, those causes of death for those babies are on, y- you can find those within the nine sheets of side effects from the Pfizer vaccines.

So absolutely further investigation has to be done to either rule out or confirm whether the mRNA shots were in fact, um, played a part in these poor babies' [00:34:00] deaths, and the misery of the parents. It, it's tragic for the families, absolutely. Right. And they deserve answers. Helen, uh, uh, the data, I mean, we, we look at the data out of New Zealand, uh, in relation to where people were vaccinated and the, the, the deaths and the carnage that, uh, that's occurred from certain batches.

Um, I mean, I mean, as a police officer, um, y- y- you, it wouldn't be an unreasonable thing for there to be a major, uh, investigation if, if somebody, you know, like a family went into a restaurant and, and, and died or, or, or, or were, uh, were sick, uh, from what they were served. Uh, if, if two or three people died that, you know, there would be an outcry, uh, publicly.

Uh, the media would be all over it. I mean, I mean, here we are. I mean, it's just mind-boggling to me that the police, uh, are being shut, shut down in your [00:35:00] instance. I mean, that's, that's what it is. You, you're essentially being shut down from doing your job. You- you've recognized that, uh, that there is potentially an issue that needs to be, uh, uh, e- examined and investigated, but, uh, you're, you're, you're shut down.

I mean, this, this should be, uh, you know, a, a task force worldwide, shouldn't it, to, uh, to find out what's going on? I mean, this, this stuff's just not coincidence.

And that's exactly what I touched on in my PSS interview May the 12th, 2022, because I had had close to, so Well, well over three and a half months I was at home on suspension. So during that time, I dug into all these documents. I went

open source. I pulled these Pfizer records. I reviewed, uh, many peer-reviewed studies.

So when I came into that interview with, uh, with the sergeant of professional standards, I came with 10 binders of evidence and information showing, you know, here's public [00:36:00] health telling mothers, pregnant and f- you know, pregnant and breastfeeding mothers that it's safe and effective, yet here's Pfizer's own documents stating it's never been tested on pregnant or breastfeeding women.

We do not know whether they're safe. Essentially, we, we... Pfizer was open with Ca- the Canadian government saying, "We don't know if they're safe and effective." So I came with that information, and I'm sorry, did I... I think I strayed off from your question. No, I j- I was just, uh, saying that the, in, in relation to the police investigating worldwide.

I mean, there, there, there certainly needs to be like a Nuremberg-style task force, doesn't there, to, to look at this? I mean, it's so, it's global. It's just, it, it's, it's probably, you know, the w- the worst crime in human history in, in, in our view, uh, what's gone on. The data reflects it. I, I mean, I don't know how many experts we've had on here with government data.

Um, yet, yet as I said, if this was a, a food poisoning incident at a local [00:37:00] restaurant, uh, uh, you know, the, the, the mainstream media and the police would be all over it. Uh, but i- in relation to all this, uh, mRNA, uh, uh, uh, deaths and grievous bodily harm, there, there's not a, not a whimper. Well, just imagine also- There-

John, before Helen answers, imagine what it would be like if a certain brand of yogurt was found to be causing people to be sick. The product would be recalled from all over the place, and investigations would take place immediately. Yes. So I think where Detective Helen Groos was, was going with that, and, and, uh, uh, um, j- just to...

'Cause there's, there's so much to cover, is, is, is because it's the, it's really the May 12th, 2022 interview, right? Uh, Helen, where, where you then, um, had brought all those, that research to the interview. And, and, and then I'll turn it back over to you, Helen, to, to what happened. If you could just describe, uh, you know, when you brought all those binders and, and what you had brought with [00:38:00] you and, and what you had told, um, the investigating officer on May 12th.

Yeah. So in my interview, and that was played during the tribunal. It was a three-hour, over three-hour interview with professional standards, and I brought these binders. So, and they were labeled one. A couple were from Pfizer's own, own documents. Some were contracts with Public Health Agency of Canada.

There were also, um, the, the peer-reviewed studies. Many, many medical peer-reviewed studies. Dr. Bridle, Byron Bridle's affidavit that he had prof- provided to the PTC, and that's really, it, it was that affidavit that really- Um, initially sparked my interest because he d- he stated in there, and he prepared that in November 2021, but that's where I, I first learned that the, the vaccines had never been tested on pregnant or breastfeeding women.

So when I had this interview with Sergeant Arbuthnot, um, of Professional Standards of Ottawa Police, I explained to him, I said, "You know, um, [00:39:00] initially in January, yes, I went into those reports just to check the stats, but kn- knowing now, like I learned that there was, in fact, a doubling, tripling, so when I was suspended, I started reading all these documents, and this is what I learned.

Here's the evidence." And I even have examples of our, our, our medical officers of health, your public medical officers of health, where they're, you know, putting on their Twitter pages, you know, the, "Take the vaccine. It's safe and effective." And then I, I, and I outlined there, I said, "Look, h- here's not only the pregnant, breastfeeding women, if they take the vaccine, even, it addresses even the shedding in these documents, that if the pregnant or breastfeeding woman, not only if she takes the vaccine, but if she comes in contact with anybody who has just had the vaccine, it can be detrimental.

She's supposed to report it to investigators during the trial phases." So there's so much information in there, and I remember telling the sergeant, saying, um, he, i- initially, he said, "Well, it, it sounds like you were [00:40:00] doing quality control on your, your own colleagues in SACI." I said, "No, that's not what I was doing.

I was checking statistics. But as a s- seasoned investigator, I saw that there should be some quality control because the SUTI questionnaires were not complete. Investigations were being shut without autopsy results being reviewed." And, and I said, "You know, it, so that's one thing, but that wasn't..." And I told him, I said, "That's not up to me to do that.

It is a supervisory role. However, three months into this, I learned all this information. There should, in fact, be a criminal investigation bec- " And he

actually took a USB from me with all that information on it. So on May the 12th, 2022, May the 13th, sorry. No, 12th. Sorry, my dates, four and a half years of this.

So the Ottawa Police Service, they received a USB which had these 10 binders of information, evidence [00:41:00] of potential criminal negligence happening on part of the government, medical off- m- medical officials, right? So, um, and then- Actually, I ended up, that day he also told me when I went in for that interview that I was being initially investigated for insubordination, which f- what falls under that is, uh, do- uh, doing RMS queries, database queries for personal reasons, or breaching some kind of policy.

But to that, he also added that I was also being investigated for discreditable conduct because my actions had hit... The newspaper had reported on my actions, CBC specifically, and it put, uh, the Ottawa Police Service in a bad light. And my first thought was, "Well, I never went public with this." The information I had, it stayed internal within the service.

I never leaked anything to the media. Somebody else did. Somebody who wanted... who [00:42:00] was malicious towards me leaked information to the CBC, and then articles were published which were not true. There was information in there that was not true, and it was hurtful, hurtful to me, my family, and i- it impacted me greatly.

So that happened. Then in the summer, when I finally was charged, I was not charged with the insubordination. Actually, the ser- uh, Sergeant Arbothnott went to great lengths to explain that my RMS data bank queries were for police purposes because I actually learned, I was concerned about the babies, and it's a full paragraph in his investigative action, which is, again, it, it, it's, it's evidence in the tribunal, where I was not charged for querying RMS for personal reasons, yet they stuck this discreditable charge on me.

So what is the actual charge that you're facing at the moment?

So I've been conv- uh, I've been found [00:43:00] guilty of discreditable conduct, and it's morphed. As I said, it- it's morphed that apparent- allegedly I have... I'd have to read it. I can actually read it out to you. I have it here. Mm-hmm. But they're, they're claiming that I had initiated... It- it's changed so many times.

Again, over the course of the tribunal, the allegations against me have morphed into something much greater than what they initially were. And so is this an

internal finding, Helen? Yes, it's just an internal... So i- it's a... Yes, Police Services Act. Um- Okay ... so it, it's not even in a, it's not in front of a proper court.

It's a tribunal, so. And, and is there an appeal pr- is it, say for instance that it sticks, uh, i- is there, uh, Michelle, is there a, uh, an appeal process to, to an outside, uh, court? Yep. Yes. We, we actually have already started the appeal process. Well. Um, so the way it [00:44:00] works is that we, we, that a f- a formal appeal won't start until, uh, after the penalty decision.

But, but, uh, w- we set out all of our appeal grounds, and there are many, because the decision is totally flawed. I've never seen anything like this. And, and, and, and i- and, and, and, and I think it is important for Detective Helen Gruys to read out what she was charged with, because- Okay ... it, it's an unprecedented charge.

I mean, no police officer is charged with doing their job. They're, they're normally charged with failure to do their job, failure to protect the public. Yeah. Very good point, yeah. And, and, and as Helen was explaining, she, she was, um, first, uh, investigated for insubordination for having looked into this records database looking at the baby cases, uh, for personal reasons, and the investigating officer said, "Well, you were doing it for professional reasons.

You were doing it as p- police duties." But then it, it finds itself again, that wording finds itself again in the discredible charge, uh, conduct charge, [00:45:00] along with, uh, some other, uh, conduct, um, which is totally well within her policing duties, um, called police discretion. We, for we, this case is also about police discretion.

Um, and then as, as Detective Helen Gruys was saying, is that it, it morphed. So even at the sentencing hearing, I, I heard things from the prosecution that I've never heard before. For, for, for example, that now Detective Helen Gruys, what she did, what she did was she was actually, um, looking into the system to try to avoid being suspended without pay.

Because on February 1st of 2022, police officers who did not declare their COVID-19 vaccinations status were due to go, uh, on, on, uh, they were suspended, were due to be suspended with unpaid leave. And so I, that's, first of all, of course that's not what Detective Helen Gruys was doing. That's not what she was charged with, and there's no evidence on the record that that's what she was doing, yet the prosecution [00:46:00] stated that as a fact at the penalty stage to justify a 24-month demotion.

But I'll, I'll turn back over t- to Helen to, to read what, what she was, uh, charged with. So I'll read it once through, and then there's a couple things. I don't know, I'll, I'll leave it up to Bathsheba whether I can pick it apart a little bit because it is quite egregious. But the charge states that, "Between June 2020 and January 2022, you did, without lawful excuse, act in a disorderly manner or in a manner prejudicial to discipline or likely to bring discredit upon the reputation of the Ottawa Police Service, in that you self-initiated an unauthorized project wherein you accessed nine child and/or infant death cases in which you had no investigative role responsibility, and failed to then record your involvement or findings in the [00:47:00] files.

Further, on or about January 30th 2022, you interfered in an investigation of an infant death without the lead detective's knowledge or authorization by contacting the father of the deceased baby to inquire about the COVID vaccination status of the mother." So just to briefly pick that apart, I mean, there's so much to it, but first of all, the charge starts in June 2020, and any person with common sense knows that in June 2020, the mRNA vaccines had not been rolled out.

They were not being administered to the general public. So- That's nonsensical, the fact that they go all the way back to 20 J- June 2020. Mm. And then self-initiating an authorized project, um, again, as police officers for, well, now for 23 years, I have self-initiated many investigations. Patrol officers, every day they initiate [00:48:00] investigations.

We're, when we're driving along in a cruiser and we see a suspicious vehicle at, uh, at a home we know it, it does not belong, we'll turn around and query the license plate to... And that's initiating an investigation, essentially. So a project, a project is much bigger, which again, at that time, I was very clear to sergeant, or, uh, to the PSS sergeant in January 2022 what I had been doing.

I had did a probative query to check the statistics, whether they were true or not, whether we had a doubling or tripling of baby deaths. I noticed some, uh, concerning, um, l- lack of completeness in, in the files in some of the investigations. So with the one specifically, as I said, the one case of the baby with the enlarged heart, I called the detective.

He was dismissive, and that's the one where I actually picked up the phone January 30th, and I did call the parents because I was concerned. That answer [00:49:00] had not been... That question had not been answered, so I wanted to know whether or not, uh, what the mother's, uh, whether or not the vaccine may

or may not have played a part, the COVID, the mRNA shot, in the baby's sudden passing.

So I picked up the phone that day. That fa- father that I spoke with, it was a very short cordial conversation, and again, this is on record already. He did not complain. We had a short cordial conversation, answered the question, it put some ease in my mind, and we hung up. I did take a notation in my notebook, and that was it.

Um, I didn't update the file at that time. I, I put it in my notebook, the, uh, the fact that I had spoken with the father. And as I said, it's my... It, it was during my interview with Professional Standards that I actually told them that I spoke to the father. Nobody knew. The father didn't complain. Um, when Professional Standards called each and [00:50:00] every family in March of 2022, giving them misinfor- um, I will use the word, I don't like the word misinformation normally, but they did mislead the families, telling them that I had conducted a privacy breach, which I had never conducted a privacy breach.

All the information I ever learned from those files, gleaned from those files, stayed internal, and I took the information directly to the chief of police because my supervisors told me to not talk about COVID or anything COVID related in the SACCA office. So, and then going back, I think, um...

Yeah, so that's it. It's, it's just th- this is the initial charge that I read out. But as Bathsheba explained, during the course of the tribunal, and especially now in the, as we near the fi- final stretch of it, some of the allegations have morphed into something quite a tri- unheard of. A- and isn't it correct, uh, [00:51:00] that y- y- you know, you highlight there the, uh, the self, um, uh, inclination or to, to, to follow up on a lead, to, to investigate, uh, that has now been changed in law?

Is that right, in regard to what the police can do? They can't self-initiate? So in April 1st, 2024, the Police Services Act changed to the community, uh, CPSA, the Community Service Policing Act, where there is, there is a portion in there that speaks that in order to continue an investigation, we need to have, um, supervisory approval.

Um, so we can essentially, if we see a crime in progress, or if we have suspicion, we can initi- It doesn't change the fact that as officers, we should, and we, we have that authority to continue to ask questions. If we see something, [00:52:00] say something. That's exactly what I did here. Um, but it has

changed where if we do in fact suspect a crime, before we continue the investigation, we do have to, uh, get approval from the supervisor.

So that has changed. Previous to April 1st, 2024, we did not need that approval to continue an investigation. We have the discretion as independent police officers. Even though we work for a service, um, we still are... We, we're guided by the criminal code and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms and our laws here in Canada.

Yeah. And we follow orders, but there, it's specif- it's same in PSA and SP- uh, CP, CSPA, that they have to be lawful orders. As police officers, we follow lawful orders of our supervisors. And as far as doing quality control on fellow officers, I mean, I'm sure, John, in the paramedic services, as it was in the cockpit, uh, where I was working, um, we're constantly quality controlling each other.

Mm. I mean, that's, [00:53:00] that, that's, that's the whole, that's the whole way we bond together as a crew, as an operating crew, and also how we, uh, we improve our performance and, and do a better job. I mean, it just... If, if you see, if, you know, if I saw a pilot acting in a rogue manner, um, of course I would investigate, of course I would ask questions.

Of course, if I had to, I'd raise it to my superiors. I mean, I don't know what's so wrong with that. Well, and I'm sure that's why cold cases, uh, being re-examined, uh, quite often second time around, you know, they have success because people look at them with a different lens. Uh- Yeah ... you know, so they've got different backgrounds, they've got different information, and that's no different here.

I'm, I mean, what they've almost done to me is an admission that they've, they've buggered it up. Uh, the fact that they've, they've changed their own rules to essentially, uh, now not allow police to, uh, go forward without asking the permission of a supervisor essentially, uh, demands, uh, a, a, a look from the [00:54:00] court itself.

I mean, they, they, they've changed the goalposts, moved them. Uh, so they've essentially shut down, uh, what Helen was doing, uh, rightfully, and now saying, "Well, we, we, we're not gonna allow that." Yeah, absolutely. Bathsheba, I want to ask you a question about the CBC. Um, trial by media seems to be a thing that, uh, just seems to happen ad nauseam these days.

Um, in, in the, in the, uh, broadcasting that was conducted around Detective Helen Groome, um, are there grounds for her to pursue the CBC legally? Well, that's an interesting question, is, is that we, uh, are pursuing the CBC in a lawsuit. Um, but we're not pursuing CBC for defamation. We're pursuing CBC for a plethora of causes of action for tortious conduct, including harassment, um, and, uh, d- false light, uh, dis- and, and also, [00:55:00] um, economic, uh, uh, torts such as intentional interference of economic relations.

Because the fact is, is that, um, Detective Helen Groome was charged with discreditable conduct because of the CBC publications. There were two CBC publications, one on March 28th and another on March, um, 30th, and it was because of those two publications that Detective Helen Groome was charged with discreditable conduct.

And the CBC obtained that information, uh, unlawfully from the police, because the Ottawa Police Service leaked confidential police information to the CBC. Well, well, well. So many similarities to our ABC in Australia. Definitely. Um, more on a personal, uh, note, Helen. Um, this has obviously been a fairly traumatic experience for you.

Why wouldn't it be? Um, eh, what sort of, what sort of, um, [00:56:00] support, if any, are you getting from your colleagues? Now, you ... We talked... I'll, I'll come back to another question after that, but let me ask you, uh, what sort of support you're getting from your colleagues, if any Actually, I've, there's out- I'm getting a lot of support from, from bottom up as far as from all ranks and files right up to the executive office.

There's officers that come out of their way to speak with me and encourage me to keep going. So even now to this day, there's actually much, much support internally within the Ottawa Police Service for me, and it's ve- so that's very encouraging. A- and again, I, and there's also officers that are very hurtful, um, you know, that- Hmm

go out of their way to be unfriendly, but I can't change that, and I, I pray for them Uh, that's the only way to deal with it as far as I know. And, and what's, what's remarkable is that in the midst of all this, you're [00:57:00] back now working as a detective in the robbery department. Um, so glad to hear that you're still employed and that it hasn't gone crazy in that regard.

And it's not all bad in the Ottawa Police Service if you've, if you had a police chief that said, "You know, I'm not gonna mandate this on my officers." So, you know, we've gotta take the good with the bad. So obviously there's some fine-

tuning that needs to be done on, on, uh, probably a grander scale right a- right across police services around the world.

That's correct. A- a- and, um, now that chief isn't along with us, we do have... A- again, I, I am happy, uh, that I was brought back. I have a terrific crew in the robbery unit, but a- again, it, it doesn't take away from it has been tasking on my family as well, uh, the last four and a half years. It's surreal. I, I never imagined that a tribunal process would be, would drag out so long and be so exhausting and tasking.

And at the end of it, it... Further to [00:58:00] that, like, the O- Ottawa Police Association, with who I've been a member for over 23 years now, when I went for their support, they actually denied my legal funding. So y- y- I've learned a lot over the last four and a half years about how things- Yeah ... work and don't work, and what needs to be fixed, and I have hope and I do hope, uh, and I have faith that things will get better.

We just have to stay on track with the truth, with kindness, compassion, and professionalism. Amen. And, and we will get there. Mm. And I just wanna, wanna mention that the, the... You gotta remember that, that it's the, it is the chief of police that has, uh, initiated this internal complaint against Detective Helen Gruys.

So the chief of police does have the power and authority to, did have to, to, to withdraw, uh, you know, the charge against her. Um, and, and she was returned to work with suspension conditions as well, [00:59:00] um, and, and n- never to work in, in, in, in SACA again, the, the unit that investigates sudden infant deaths. Um, I just wanna mention a- another thing, uh, to, to what Detective Helen Gruys has, has gone through, um, 'cause it's quite unbelievable, is, is that, uh, the...

She was due to testify on January the 9th in the afternoon to start her defense testify, and, um, we had prepared an, an affidavit, uh, and it contained, um, you know, some emails, uh, between her, um, a- and, and members of the Ottawa Police Service. This was her defense, right? And she- Uh, received, uh, an order from the head of police, of Professional Standards Unit.

So the head of the Professional Standards Unit sent Detective Helen Gruys an email just hours before she's about to testify and said, "You're hereby ordered not to [01:00:00] use any Ottawa Police Service records in your defense, otherwise you will face further disciplinary charges." I mean, when us lawyers

read that, uh, email and letter, we understood that as nothing short of witness intimidation.

That is a criminal code offense. It, it, it... There's two offenses in, in the criminal code of Canada that cover that: obstruction of justice, and as well, um, in- intimidation of a, a justice participant. So we tried to raise that on the tribunal immediately, because the tribunal needs to, to know that the, the, the, the, the respondent officer, a witness, is, is under duress, can't present a proper defense.

Um, but the tribunal was like, "I don't wanna hear about it." And, and so the matter was adjourned, and then we tried to go to the Ottawa Police Service to file a police report. They wouldn't take it. So then we drafted a statement and, and dropped it off with the chief of police, [01:01:00] and I got an email saying, "Oh, you'll get a response."

And I never got a response. And, and, and then a few months later, I learned that the head of the Professional Standards Unit, uh, resigned from his position. But where, where's the criminal investigation? This is serious. Witness intimidation is serious. Yeah. Yeah, well- And, and, and, and, and, and not only is it serious from a criminal code offense perspective, it's serious because we're, we're, we're talking about a police disciplinary proceeding here.

We're talking about the standard of what good looks like for rule of law and police enforcement and, and, and also safety of our police officers. W- what did Detective Helen Gruys go through when she's threatened and can't rely on an email in her defense? That's unheard of And in the end, the affidavit was filed and, and, and, uh, uh, because of course it's permitted for her to rely on emails as part of her defense.[01:02:00]

Unbelievable. Nothing was done about it. Unbelievable. To this day, nothing has been done about it. And we tried to raise it again when she was testifying, uh, at the penalty stage because one of the factors that the tribunal must consider with regards to mitigating, aggravating factors to lessen or to, to, to, to, um, enhance the, the penalty against Detective Helen Gruys is about the procedural aspect.

Were there any procedural fairness factors to consider? And, and so I was asking her, you know, "What happened on that day that you were about to testify?" And the tribunal wouldn't let me ask that question. Mm. Uh- Man, oh, man. It just, it's so frustrating. I mean, uh, uh, uh, it's my understanding that the police chief, uh, there now in, in charge was the one who was, uh, responsible for the brutal crackdown on the truckers as well.

So, you know, there's- W- we're left to ask questions about our own police services, different states here in Australia, they, they have behaved very, very badly, and especially the [01:03:00] New South Wales Police and Victoria Police just firing rubber bullets on protesters. I mean, a military operation, a quasi-military operation on protesters in Melbourne, the, the most locked down city in the world.

Uh, I mean, it was just incredible. And we still have officers to this day who, um, couldn't go along with the mandates for obvious reasons, and are still waiting to be reemployed years after the event. This is, this is just crazy. Now, we, before we close out, I know we have to let you both go, um, we've kind of unleashed, opened a Pandora's box here in the last 24 hours by showing the, um, the, the, the preview for, uh, Silencing Detective Grouse.

Now, as I look at the website, I see that the, uh, that the, the documentary will be screened in cinemas in Canada, and it's the, you know, the schedule looks really good. But we're being bombarded with people in Australia, "How do we get to watch it?" Is there any way that it will come [01:04:00] to Australia or is there any way it will be streamed?

Yeah. So, so to answer that question, so Grouse Justice Project is the website to go to, uh, to find out more information, and there's two tracks. One is that the Grouse Justice Project has a, a, a process in place for anybody in the world to host a screening. So if anybody in Australia wants to host a screening, they should email Grouse Justice Project, and then they will be provided with the, uh, breakdown of how, how that's done.

Um, because the, uh, film itself is, is hosted, uh, with access by, by way of a pass- password. Um, and, and so all it needs is like a screen projector, s- speaker, and then you can, uh, the host would then work with, uh, Grouse Justice Project for ticket sales. Now, that, that's the in-person, uh, screening, and, and I do encourage people to watch the documentary together.

It's, it's important, uh, for people to come together and to, and just to share stories. [01:05:00] Um, uh, but there is also another and a second, uh, more readily accessible option that is being worked on now, which is to, uh, go to the Grouse Justice Project and be able to purchase the, uh, documentary online to view it online a- as you would rent, um, a, a film on Prime or, or, um, you know, o- other platforms.

Right. You know. So, so that, that option is being worked on now. The, the documentary just completed at the end of, of April, and, uh, I'm, I'm very

pleased to hear that the Australian, uh, audience is, is, uh, looking forward to seeing the documentary. It is very important documentary, so stay tuned. It will most likely be available online by the end of this month.

Well, we've, we've got a very large- To learn a couple of- We've got a very large Canadian connection through this program. Uh, we've been interviewing dozens of Canadians. Um, and we just recently completed an interview with, um [01:06:00] Uh, with Dr. David Spica. And, uh, we've also interviewed, uh, you know, Pastor A- Arthur Pawlowski, Peter Marshall.

Um, all these people have been standing in the fight. And, and of course we have an affinity with you guys because of the, uh, the convoy to Canberra, which took place about the same time as the truckers convoy in Canada. Uh, we are brothers and sisters in arms indeed across the Pacific. And, uh, we're gonna follow this with great, um, great interest.

And, uh, and for the both of you, I think it's really important for everybody to understand just how much has gone into this, the sacrifice that has been made, uh, not only at a professional level, but at, at a personal level has been huge. And, and we want you to know from Australia that we're watching closely because everything you're doing is not only affecting Canada, it affects everybody in the world.

So we just, we tip our hat to you. We really congratulate you for the work you're doing. And the, um, the, the Gruese Justice Project is [01:07:00] amazing. Uh, we had, uh, Vincent, uh, Guercy on the program. Uh, we want to have him back again, and we want to have some other people in that documentary on our program to keep promoting it.

So, uh, we'll get Suzanne to contact you guys, and perhaps she might be able to help to link us up. But, um, John, final comments from you? Look, I'll just say, look, it is, it is a science thing. There's no doubt about it. But, uh, Helen, a- are you, are you really, uh, hopeful that, uh, I mean, you, you, we, we know that you're a, you're a dedicated, uh, detective and you're a, you're a, you're a magnificent, uh, human being.

A- a- are you hopeful that, uh, this agitation now, uh, and, and, and essentially these bureaucrats and the chief, uh, police commissioner's, uh, um, ridiculous, uh, stance on, on, on this from, from the onset is going to elevate it? Uh, I mean, will all this material that, that may, may be seen by a court, the, the, the 10 binders, I mean, will that become, you know, the, the opportunity for [01:08:00]

this to be, uh, a, a, a dealt with at, at a bigger forum so that, uh, your investigation leads to something very significant?

I believe it will come to that. And, and I've told many officers that I've spoken to, it doesn't have to be me, it doesn't have to be the Ottawa police force. It can be any officer with, with ... who still has the discretion to initiate and complete an investigation anywhere in the world to do that. The evidence is out there.

I, uh, the difference is that right now the Ottawa Police Service officially has all these documents- Mm ... on record. But again, any police officer in the world is in a position i- in the free and democratic countries to initiate and conduct a thorough investigation into the, uh- What I, what I put towards the tribunal that I ha- I, there's reason to believe that there's criminal negligence that happened, and it's at all levels, [01:09:00] and it was worldwide.

We saw it happening. Anybody who went outside just their local news saw that it was happening in Australia, in Canada, in the US, in Europe, in Africa, in South America. So again, i- it's really a call-out to any police officer that's watching, that's actively serving, have another look. Ask some questions. Stir the pot.

Do your job. Thank you. Do your job. Absolutely. Well, um, we're gonna close with a prayer, and, uh, and any final things that you ladies would like to add before we close out? Beth Sheba, have you got anything you, you need to read or write? I'd just like to, to share this, uh, s- story, uh, because it, uh, it sh- shows the, um, impact that this documentary is, i- is having on people's lives and, and for, for the truth to come out and for people to not feel alone, [01:10:00] is, uh, after the documentary was premiered in Ottawa, so it was premiered on May 19th, uh, a, a young woman came up to me and she said to me that, uh She, s- what, what she experienced is what had ha- is what she saw what happened in the documentary, that she had taken, uh, the COVID-19 vaccinations and she lost her baby.

And she said that the reason why she was sharing her story with me was 'cause she wanted me to know that it's real. And, and I think that that message is so important for everyone, is that they know that they're not alone and that they're being heard, and that, that we are here for them with open arms and compassion.

And that, that has to be said. I mean, even in, um, recounting the story of our own personal experience here at home, we're [01:11:00] mindful of the fact that there are a lot of parents going through this at the moment, uh, that would be

traumatized just by hearing what I had to say. Um, and, you know, t- we, our hearts go out to you, uh, if that's the case.

But we're seeing so many people affected by this, so many... I mean, our own ABC continually puts out stories. Uh, there has been a rapid increase in cancers in young men. Doctors are baffled to find the reason for this. Uh, and when pushed on the subject, "Well, could it be the mRNA shot?" The answer is, "Oh, no, we know it's not the mRNA shot, but we don't know what's causing it."

Well, at the Senate hearings in Washington, the head of the Oncology Association of America, in charge of 41,000 oncologists, said that the vaccines were safe and effective, and not only that, sh- it, it is her belief that they're also working very well towards curing cancer, when the opposite is actually true.

And when Senator Johnson questioned her in cross-examination, [01:12:00] he asked her what she knew about the vaccines, and it was clear she knew nothing. She, he said, "Do you know if it stays in the injection site?" She said she wasn't sure. Um, was she, was she aware that it may be producing dangerous spike proteins?

She wasn't sure. But still, she stuck to her line, and you could see she was uneasy about it. People are starting to feel uneasy. Our own authorities in Australia, our own media personalities, are starting to dip their toe in the water of truth and, because they know. You know what it's like, uh, Johnny, for me, it's like imagine what it would've been like being a German soldier in the bunker at, uh, Omaha Beach on June 6, 1944, to wake up, make himself a cup of coffee, to look out through the slit in the bunker and see the largest invasion ever mounted in the history of the world.

Well, if you're a health bureaucrat, if you're a media personality that's been playing this game, if [01:13:00] you're a politician or a corporate CEO that's messed around in all of this, that's the view that's awaiting you in the very near future. Your time is done. It's over. You are no longer credible. You're not credible politicians, you're not credible bureaucrats, and you're not credible media.

In fact, legacy media is just gonna become that, a legacy, a burden for everyone else to carry. Your days are done. It's time to admit it and wake up to the truth and help us to get remedy. Help us to bring compassionate restoration to our countries, to bring people to healing, to unleash the doctors who've been locked away for years and years without any completion to their investigations so that they can work on the remedy to get people well again.

That's more important than your careers. It's more important than your income, and all the rest of us have put our income at risk because of our stand, and it's time for you to join the ranks. So you're on notice. Clearly, you are on notice. Final comment, John? [01:14:00] Yeah, I just concur with what you say, hoodie.

Uh, you know, I think we need a task force set up on a worldwide basis and, you know, we need people like Detective Helen Groos to, uh, to be part of that going forward. Uh, this needs to be a global solution, and, uh, people just need to get out of the way and allow these, uh, investigators to do their job so we can, uh, definitively, uh, find out what's occurred.

And, uh, we know there's been, uh, criminal activity. Uh, we know that, uh, in our hearts and, uh, from what we see. But, uh, we, we need it to be, uh, to be done properly so that, uh, we, we can, uh- remedy this, uh, dreadful situation that, uh, the entire world finds itself in. I mean, a lot of these people don't even realize, do they, that they've been affected by this?

Uh, they're, they're sitting at home wondering why they've got, uh, motor neurone disease or why they lost a baby or why [01:15:00] suddenly their, uh, heart's enlarged. They've got no idea that, uh, this heinous act has been, uh, even pushed upon them with- without their knowledge. Uh, and, and I think until the mainstream media start reporting on this and governments get fair dinkum about investigating it, uh, uh, we just have to keep pushing the envelope.

Indeed, and we're, we're continuing to do that here with Club Grubbery. Um, I'm pleased to announce that the lawyer for Infected Blood Australia, one of the legal team, is a very good friend of ours, John, on the program, and that is, uh, one of the finest human rights lawyers in Australia, Peter Pham, uh, will be coming on to Club Grubbery to con- uh, to conduct an interview with us to talk about the legal case, uh, facing the victims of tainted blood products from the 1980s.

That's still going on, and we're gonna get right behind that. We've already said that, and we're not letting up on it. So we're looking forward to that interview with another fine lawyer, uh, Peter Pham, adding to the ranks of Bathsheba Vandenberg. [01:16:00] Uh, Bathsheba, the work that lawyers like yourselves are doing, it's clear that you're a human being before you're a lawyer, and that's so refreshing when it comes to, um, the way we view the legal profession now.

Thank you for restoring our faith in the legal profession. Well, look what they do to people like Reiner Fuellmich when they, uh, they speak out. I mean, this is why it's so, you know, such an honorable thing that, uh, so many people are

standing up, like the two beautiful ladies on the screen here, because, uh, y- you've seen what happens when, uh, when people do speak out.

What we're doing is we, we are restoring faith in humanity. We're fighting for humanity. Amen, we are. And based on that, uh, prof- uh, Detective Helen Groome, I know that you're a, a Christian woman and, uh, you love, you love the Lord Jesus. Is it putting too much on you to ask you to pray us out? Not at all. I'd be honored.

Let's do it. [01:17:00] So d- so dear Lord, our God, I thank you for all the beautiful people in this world that are starting to speak up, speak out, and come together You told us that if we sh- seek, we will find, and so many people are searching. And please guide us through the paths of truth Give us courage, give us strength.

And please help all the families and the victims that are suffering, whether with loss of loved ones or injuries. Guide them to the truth, and give them the courage to speak up and find, find us so that we can help. We thank you in our Lord Jesus' name, amen. Amen. Thank you so much. Uh, stay on the line, ladies, as we bring this to a close.

We'll just discuss a couple of things maybe about some future interviews. But, um, [01:18:00] Johnny, lady, you've often been heard to say... You just couldn't make this stuff up. And you couldn't. And to all of you watching this, we just wanna thank you for watching. It actually gets a lot more traction if you just press the share button and get it out there, because we need to break out of the bubble.

God bless you all for watching. Don't forget, Australia and Canada, we know we've got big viewers in Canada, uh, your countries are better places because you live in them. Don't let them take away your serenity. And what you did yesterday got you to today, so God bless you all, and we'll see you with, uh, a stream of big interviews coming our way in the next few days.

Thank you for watching Club Grubbery, and we'll see you next time. Bye for now.