2026-05-11 - Club Grubbery - Vaccination Questions Event

Graham Hood: [00:00:00] Well, hi everyone, and welcome back to another episode of Club Grubby. Johnny, a big stellar panel tonight, and it's good to see you back on.

John Larter: Thanks, mate. And, uh, it's always great to be on with these great people, uh, and looking forward to tonight's discussion.

Graham Hood: Absolutely we are. And I wanna promote, uh, also the interview we'll be conducting ear- early Wednesday morning our time, which will appear on Club Grubby Wednesday night with Senator Ron Johnson from the US, who is really kicking some major goals in, uh, in committee hearings in Washington, especially in the fact that he has uncovered some pretty dastardly stuff going on with their vaccine, uh, reporting system over there, the VAERS system.

Graham Hood: It appears to have been compromised, uh, for scurrilous reasons, and we're gonna [00:01:00] unpack all of that with Senator Ron Johnson on Wednesday night. Another great interview. Good man. But, uh, tonight we have a stellar panel, as we said, John, and we're talking about vaccine conversations, which is an event that's coming up in Canberra on the 28th of May.

Graham Hood: Um, and it's a ticketed event, but we'll discuss, uh, a bit more about the venue and when it's happening a bit later into the interview. But to introduce our panel, uh, we have Dr. Judy Willyman. Now, Judy is a, a doctor of public health, um, who has been vilified and hung out to dry for, uh, her outspokenness about the, the truth about vaccines.

Graham Hood: And as a result of that- Mm-hmm ... she has taken a very different turn. She's, uh, an accomplished author that's, um, that sold a very well-selling book. And Judy, what is that book?

Dr Judy Wilyman: Uh, it's Vaccination: Australia's Loss of Health Freedom.

Graham Hood: There we go. Yeah. And, uh, I've, I've got it sitting on my shelf over there as I speak.

Graham Hood: And, um, I'm sure, Judy, you wouldn't have done it any other [00:02:00] way. Uh, you know, your whole career has been steered down a path you wouldn't have imagined at uni. Uh, but you must be happy to be where you're at, even though it's a struggle sometimes.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Well, that's right. I mean, I, you know, I went back to university for the right reasons, to, as a parent, to look at the risk-benefit of not only each vaccine that we use, but also the combination of 12 plus vaccines in an infant- Yeah

Dr Judy Wilyman: uh, in genetically diverse populations. And, um, you know, I thought it would be as simple as writing up the research I found and, um, debating it in the public space, but, uh, I found the opposite. I found I was censored and, uh, even abstracts being sent to conferences were just rejected without a reason. And all of these things need to be presented to the public so that they understand why it is that all the doctors appear to be saying the one thing, um, and what's happening to the other perspectives.

Dr Judy Wilyman: The, the, the depth of censorship that's happening with scientific research. Mm. [00:03:00]

Graham Hood: And joining you on tonight's panel, we have Wendy Daniel. Now Wendy, you've written some, uh, couple of books on vaccinations and so on. Give those a bit of a plug.

Wendy Daniels: Yes, I certainly have. This one was, uh, it was my, uh, first book, Va- Vaccines: Myths and Facts, and it started off 10 years ago, I did the first one.

Wendy Daniels: Very small, red, uh, version that, that a couple of people might remember. Uh, but, um, it's, it's grown since then. This is the fourth edition. And, um, it's, uh, it... There are many good books in this, in this field, but this is the simplest. It's really, um, I, I... It, it's so simple a 10-year-old can understand it. It's got lots of colored pictures and graphs and everything, but everything is linked back with, with actual studies and, um, and, and actual quotes and all this sort of thing.

Wendy Daniels: So loads of, of links and references. And big text. It has big text. Everybody likes that. Um, and then I did the other one. [00:04:00] That's, uh, Detox After COVID and Thrive. I did that, uh, with- in conjunction with, um, four Australian naturopaths. And, um, that too has big text. It's quite a simple book, this. So this one was black and white.

Wendy Daniels: But it was like a, um, a starter, a map if you like, for people to navigate in the, um, i- i- in the early post-COVID era, let's say. And it's, it's not the whole story, I know now, but, I now know, but it's a fantastic start for people.

Graham Hood: Well done. So we're looking forward to unpacking all of this with you as well.

Graham Hood: And, uh, on the right-hand side of our screen, Dr. Philip Altman. No stranger to Club Grubby either. Philip, great to have you back on.

Dr Philip Altman: Thanks for having me.

Graham Hood: Now, Dr. Philip Altman has had more than four decades extensive experience, uh, working in pharmaceuticals, and in particular, um, working alongside the [00:05:00] TGA for, uh, a couple of decades or more, Philip.

Graham Hood: And, um, you have been outspoken in the last five years. You've had a r- a run at Parliament. Uh, you put yourself up for election in New South Wales, and um, sadly were not successful. But you have been quoted all over the place, in courtrooms and, uh, in parliamentary hearings, for some of the damning evidence you've been able to provide in the last five years.

Graham Hood: And, uh, once again, that, that comes at a cost, Philip, but, um, you're still in the fight and it's great to have you back on.

Dr Philip Altman: It's been well worth it to spread factual information, which was badly needed. Um, and I continue to do so, and, uh, this event in Canberra that's coming up is, uh, is another opportunity to, uh, bring people up to date with what's, what's been happening.

Graham Hood: That's a great thing. It is a great thing. But, uh, I go back, Johnny, to, uh, several events that have been held in Canberra. In [00:06:00] particular, uh, we had, um, we had Aseem Malhotra, uh, visiting Parliament in Canberra, making himself available. Also Dr. Paul Marik, the arguably the best, uh, critic- uh, critical care specialist in the world, and Professor Angus Dalgleish from St George's Teaching Hospital in London, specializing in oncology.

Graham Hood: Those three standouts made themselves available at Parliament House for a couple of days each, on separate occasions, and hardly a visit from

anybody. Everybody in... Every sitting member knew they were there. They were there as an open book to present another narrative that people might consider, and crickets from everybody, Johnny.

Graham Hood: It was a disgrace.

John Larter: Yeah. Well, I, I kn- I know the, uh, events I attended, there was lucky to be, uh, two, two or three politicians, uh, there with them. Uh, so you're right, Woody. I mean, it was an absolute disgrace.

Graham Hood: Absolutely it was. And, uh, and so that's why we've gotta push [00:07:00] these things. Now, Dr. Wolyman, uh, Judy, we were talking before recording.

Graham Hood: A lot of people are, are saying to us, "What on earth are you guys still going on about the vaccines for?" Um, I want your take on that. Why are we still going on about it?

Dr Judy Wilyman: Well, I mean, you know, there's two issues here. One is the childhood vaccination program, um, which, uh, you know, has, has always been voluntary in Australia until 2016 when they brought in a no jab, no pay and no jab, no play policy, uh, through social services, not health.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And this mandating of 12 plus vaccines in infants at the, you know, the, um, time of their life when their bodies are still developing, um, uh, w- a lot of the science wasn't presented, uh, in this political decision to, um, bring in mandatory coercive vaccination policies for [00:08:00] children. So that's one, um, reason why this conversation has to keep happening.

Dr Judy Wilyman: The, the rates of autism are skyrocketing, and, uh, the chronic illness in the children is now 50% in all developed countries, and that has increased in a direct dose response relationship to the increased use of vaccines. And that's the strongest, um, evidence you could have for a causal link. Mm-hmm. Uh, but all you'll get from the government is correlation doesn't equal causation, and they have never- done the study to investigate, um, the, um, long-term effects of combining 12 plus vaccines, uh, in an animal or in an infant.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And these are facts that have been revealed over the last two decades, but the mainstream media's not reporting on it. And just to, um, bring that home, in the US at the moment, the American Academy of Pediatrics is, is t- has been taken to the Supreme [00:09:00] Court, um, and they have, um,

a, what's called the RICO court case happening, which is a charge of racketeering, and all the evidence is being provided to show that the American Academy of Pediatrics, in combination with the pharmaceutical companies, um, have been profiting off these vaccines without doing any safety studies, um, either short-term or long-term, that actually, uh, show empirical evidence for that safety.

Dr Judy Wilyman: So this is what we're dealing with. And, um, the corporate sponsored mainstream media, uh, keeps these facts, um, to, um, you know, just ... They're suppressed. And of course the, uh, defamation of myself and my research with lobby groups misusing the university's complaint systems to make false claims of academic misconduct- Right

Dr Judy Wilyman: uh, which actually occurred just before the Senate inquiry into the no jab, [00:10:00] no pay in 2015. Uh, so by discrediting my research, and anonymous people were allowed to do that with my name through the mainstream media, which meant that politicians then ignored my submission to the Senate inquiry in 2015. And of course the university completely vindicated me and stated that this rogue doctor had misused the university's procedures and, um, that, um, you know, there, there was absolutely no

Dr Judy Wilyman: Uh, the, the, the complaint wasn't even academic misconduct. It had been misrepresented in the, in the media with that term. And when I asked the university to put out a press release vindicating my research to the public, they refused. But I do have a letter from the university published on my website stating, saying exactly that, and that the, the do- the doctor had misused the university's procedures to make this complaint.

Dr Judy Wilyman: So this is the depth of the, the suppression that we're getting on [00:11:00] academic information that's providing empirical evidence. You know, not epidemiological studies which can be designed, uh, with chosen parameters to, um, control the outcomes that they get.

John Larter: Mm-hmm.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And they're the studies that- Um, people are being directed to...

Dr Judy Wilyman: Basically, what, what's happening is the government's saying, "Only use recent studies, uh, to make your conclusions on vaccines." And of course, the recent studies are mostly epidemiological studies funded by pharmaceutical companies to provide the answers that they want. And, and, a-

and the fact is you need to look at the history, the references from, um, the, the history of how we controlled infectious diseases.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Uh, Macfarlane Burnet, you know, in the 1950s. What, what, what, um, information were they using to remove the serious risk of these diseases by 1950? Okay? So all of these things are being hidden from the public.

Graham Hood: Wow. And, and from looking at your [00:12:00] literature and knowing, uh, you guys, because we've been around the ridges together for five years, you're, you're not actually...

Graham Hood: Wendy, you're not talking, you're not trying to get people not to use vaccinations You're not, you're not coming out anti-vax as much- ... but you just, you just believe, you just believe that people should have the right to choose. It shouldn't be mandatory. Am I right or am I singing up the wrong tree?

Wendy Daniels: Um, well, my st- my stance would be that anybody who's actually looked at these things and actually studied what the, what the research says, which is what I'm going to be talking about on, on the 28th, it, it, there is only one conclusion to come to.

Wendy Daniels: That, that's, that's basically my stance on it. You can do what you want, but a- an informed consent is something that is not available to, to young mothers in Australia, as if they go into the doctor system. Um, they are [00:13:00] coerced, which is, which runs contrary to the, the Nuremberg Code. Um, and, uh, they, uh, don't realize, because everything that, that, that we have, like your car is tested and, you know, it has its trials and all the rest of it, and your toaster and your, your appliances and everything, they're all tested.

Wendy Daniels: And your builder is tested and, you know, all, everything he does, it has to sort of be, be, um, present and correct, as it used to be in your, in your, um, industry, of course. But that's not the case for vaccines. And f- relatively recently we've come up very, very clearly, um, through Ron Johnson, I, or in relation to Ron Johnson and Aaron Siri, that there have been, there has been no proper testing on, on vaccines.

Wendy Daniels: Yeah. There has been no vaccinated versus vaccine-free studies. And ev- every kid, every [00:14:00] seven-year-old in, in a, in a classroom would know that if you're going to run an experiment with, with two

plants, you know, one plant has the water and one plant doesn't have the water, and you see which one does better.

Wendy Daniels: But that hasn't been done officially for any vaccine i- on the, on the schedules. May have happened a couple of times, but it, it's, it's often sort of, you know, they s- they squeak another, another vaccine i- in place of the, uh, the saline placebo, which it should be. The sh- you should have an s- an inert placebo, like water or saline or something, to compare these vaccines with so that you can compare the health of one, of one group of children versus another group, or adults for that matter.

Wendy Daniels: Um, but that, that's, that never happens. It's, it mi- it, they, what they do- frequently do, what they [00:15:00] nearly always do, looking at the list here, is they, uh, test one vaccine against another one, against maybe the previous one, which also wasn't tested. So it's like testing whiskey against vodka to tell which f- you know, w- which one's going to make you drunk.

Wendy Daniels: It's, it's, it, it's meaningless. Um, so, and, and unfortunately this whole thing is, it's compounding. As, as parents are coerced and it gets more and more difficult for them to get out of the, the financial trap that they're in, it's, um, it, it gets bigger and bigger.

Graham Hood: Johnny.

John Larter: Philip, uh, uh, when, i- in your experience with the TGA, uh, wh- when does it become, uh, the issue for them?

John Larter: Uh, I mean, it's all well and good to say, uh, for us that informed consent [00:16:00] hasn't, uh, really been conducted here or, or testing hasn't been conducted. But, uh, I, I mean, I can understand from the point of view of saying, well, somebody may have a religious, uh, exemption, uh, from, from not wanting a vaccine, or there might be egg products used or, or whatever the case may be.

John Larter: But when does the, the regulator have to say, "No, th- we don't even need an informed choice. These things are just dangerous to be on the bloody shelf."

Dr Philip Altman: Yeah. Look, it's a, it's a, it's a big question. Thing, things have changed dramatically. The, the TGA used to be ex- incredibly sensitive to any published reports of deaths or serious injury in relation to any new drug.

Dr Philip Altman: A handful of deaths could have triggered an [00:17:00] investigation by the TGA. A handful. In fact, I was, um, involved in one case where one laboratory report in animals concerning a registered old drug that were used by tens of millions of people worldwide, one single report in a newspaper and I was called to Canberra that day.

John Larter: Wow.

Dr Philip Altman: And the report was fraudulent, as it turned, turned out to be. But that's how sensitive they, they can be. Now, the, the point that I'd l- like to make to you all, and to all the listeners, is that it was the TGA that declared the COVID vaccines to be- Safe. They use that word. [00:18:00] And prior to the COVID vaccines, you could not use that word for any drug, yet they changed the law so you could say the COVID vaccines were safe.

Dr Philip Altman: This is the same organization that's received 1,054 reports of deaths in relation to administration of the COVID-19 vaccines. That doesn't mean all 1,054 were caused by the vaccines, but they've received those reports. 35 of those were reports of deaths of people who died the same day they got vaccinated, and none, none of those cases have been properly followed up.

Dr Philip Altman: Go figure. [00:19:00] How could that be? So what we have is the safety net, which is our DAEN system, the Drug Adverse Event Notification system, which is the comparable system to VAERS in the US which Senator Ron Johnson is talking about, is broken. It's managed by the TGA, by the same people that said the vaccines were safe.

Dr Philip Altman: I think that's a conflict of interest. I think any safety net adverse drug reaction reporting system should be independent of the government that approved that drug. You could assemble a panel of experts to, to screen these things and properly evaluate them, but they're not doing it. This is one of the greatest [00:20:00] tragedies of this entire episode.

Dr Philip Altman: I just cannot... I'm sitting here and I cannot believe that the TGA still say there are 14,000... Sorry, 14 deaths. One, four. One, four deaths due to the vaccine. Now, Malcolm Roberts, I watched him tonight on TV being interviewed in the wake of the One Nation win in, uh, um, uh, uh, in Albury, and, um, and he said that there were probably 30,000 deaths associated with the COVID-19 vaccine.

Dr Philip Altman: And the commentator, who I was listening to, disparaged him, said, "That's, that's ridiculous." How could he say that? I disagree with Malcolm Roberts. It's more like [00:21:00] 100,000 deaths, probably even more.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Yeah.

Dr Philip Altman: So for anyone, for some commentator, some empty-headed commentator who doesn't know anything about the all-cause mortality statistics published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics to say, "Oh, it's crazy to say that there's 30,000 deaths.

Dr Philip Altman: That's just lunacy," that's just empty-headedness, and I cannot believe this rubbish is going on on TV. Sor- sorry to go on, but it just- Well, it, it, uh- It just disturbed me so badly ...

John Larter: Philip, uh, Chris Kenny was going nuts tonight about Malcolm Roberts. In fact, he actually-

Dr Philip Altman: It actually was Chris Kenny. I-

John Larter: Yeah.

John Larter: And-

Dr Philip Altman: I didn't want to put him in, but-

John Larter: Well, I- I'm gonna put him in. Uh, and, and I tell you, he had Dave Sharma on, the shadow minister for, for nothing, uh, w- with the, uh, liberals, uh, who basically said that Malcolm [00:22:00] Roberts was grotesque.

Dr Philip Altman: Yeah.

John Larter: Uh, and now these people are unhinged. I mean, r- uh, let's put it into context, Philip.

John Larter: Now, I know you said there's over 1,000 deaths reported in Australia. Uh, we've learned in America it's, uh, it's a lot greater than that. But

Dr Philip Altman: of those- It's 39,000 in,

John Larter: in- 39,000. And they reckon that's under-reported by about 100, uh- By

Dr Philip Altman: 100 times ... about,

John Larter: oh, yeah. Now, let's take that, uh, back a notch, because of those 14 deaths that have been substantiated or linked to the vaccines as death, I- I'm pretty sure, uh, I- I don't know the exact figures, but the overwhelming majority of those reports for those in excess of 1,000 deaths were from medical professionals.

John Larter: They weren't, uh, they weren't from relatives or people- Yeah ... down the road, or the news agent hearing about it, uh, y- you know, a week later. These were, these were clinic- clinicians that were involved in the case.

Dr Philip Altman: Yep. That's, that's exactly right. It, it actually [00:23:00] could be much worse than that. Could be. Because of those...

Dr Philip Altman: There's a group of people who, because the DAEN system, the TGA reporting, is so pathetic, they've taken it upon themselves under the Freedom of Information Act to retrieve the data that's been reported to the TGA. And anyone that's listening to this can find that data, and it's, it's, it's called opendaen.,

Dr Philip Altman: um, oh, geez, I just forgot. It's not .com. It's, uh, dot s- if, if you o- if you look for Open D-A-E-N on the net, you will, you will find it. Now, these, these people have drilled down to try and find the, the day of death, the, the relationship between the [00:24:00] vaccination and death that occurred in those 1,054. For 266 of those 1,054, there's no recorded time of death in relation to the vaccine.

Dr Philip Altman: So there could be another 266 people apart from the 35 that died the same day as being vaccinated for some unknown reason.

John Larter: And, and it's opendaen.info,

Dr Philip Altman: um- .info, yeah. Yeah.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Could I just interject there too? Because it's even more difficult for, um, uh, the under-reporting. There's a number of reasons for the under- under-reporting and under, um, promotion of, of h- how many adverse

events happened, and one of them is that doctors have to put a code down for the cause of death, and there isn't one for vaccines.

Dr Judy Wilyman: So unless a [00:25:00] doctor has literally seen the patient drop, you know, pretty much within, uh, you know, the timeframe of, um, having just given the vaccine, then it rarely get- then, then they are unable to put down the cause of death eith- ei- as a vaccine, even though they know that this can happen, um, m- m- weeks and months after you re- and years after you receive the vaccine.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Um, so that's one reason. As- an- another reason why it's under-reported, but on top of that, doctors have pressure on them, uh, not to report it as a vaccine death because they know their registration is at risk if they do report it, and AHPRA will, w- will be down on them like a ton of bricks. Uh, they'll be, you know, the, the...

Dr Judy Wilyman: Sometimes the investigations can take years, and they're under stress while they're suspended from their work. And, uh, so there's fear. Doctors are, are not free to give their actual opinion of what, what a person dies of, and they can't put, put a vaccine on the, um, death certificate- Mm ... because you need a code to [00:26:00] do that.

Graham Hood: I've also heard doctors say, uh, uh, Judy, that to fill in one of the forms, one of the adverse, uh, reaction forms, can take anything up to 45 minutes, and in a busy hospital they just don't have the time to do it. So the report sits in the, in the in tray in the nurse's station somewhere, and they never get done.

Graham Hood: Yes. I mean, there's so many flaws in this. But what incenses me is that the TGA and the Australian government, the Australian Federal Health, um, um, Department, came out in the Mel McCann case in Brisbane, and their lawyers argued that the Australian government does not have a duty of care. In relation to vaccines.

Graham Hood: The TGA have said in Senate estimates on more than one occasion that they do not have a duty of care.

Dr Philip Altman: Mm.

Graham Hood: So how can they mandate vaccines and then step away from a duty of care? If that's the case, we should all have the right to step away from our obligation to follow their instructions. If

Dr Judy Wilyman: they,

Graham Hood: if they-

Dr Judy Wilyman: That's right, Rudy.

Dr Judy Wilyman: I, I f- I'm [00:27:00] exactly in line with you. I, I, when I heard that the TGA said they don't have a duty of care, and yet they are claiming to protect the public health with these so-called health policies, when adverse events are not properly monitored, and you have a TGA with the biggest conflict of interest ever, as Philip has just pointed out, um, the TGA's role is to approve these drugs for the market and to monitor their safety in the population.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And of course, the TGA is 98% funded by the industry, which is called a cost recovery system, so that they will approve these, get these drugs approved. But there's no incentive there for them to m- m- monitor safety in the population, because they lose money if they do put in a proper surveillance system.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And from my studies of tw- 20 years now, there's never been a proper surveillance system of the safety of these drugs once they're in the market, and it certainly doesn't happen before. So to declare that they don't have a duty of care to the public is the biggest deception that we've ever [00:28:00] experienced.

Dr Judy Wilyman: They have been saying that these are health policies and they're, they're, they're to protect the public health. And of course, they're protecting industry interests in these policies, because they've reversed the precautionary principle that requires them to test the drugs first to ensure they have empirical evidence that they're safe.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And that certainly wasn't done with the COVID mRNA injections, which were not vaccines. They were labeled as vaccines so that the people would take them up without thinking. And, um, this is the other thing. The TGA is sitting there saying they don't have a duty of care, and they've now promoted an mRNA genetically engineered, um, product, uh, under a false label of a vaccine, and they're saying they don't have any duty of care to the public.

Dr Judy Wilyman: You know, it's one thing to even put a drug on the market and give people a choice, but to mandate an untested drug that they're calling an

emergency use is absolutely [00:29:00] criminal. And, um, to say they don't have a duty of care, uh- ... the, is, is absolutely the last straw for parents.

Dr Philip Altman: Yeah. Pe- people didn't understand that these COVID-19 vaccines, when they were released in, uh, 2021, early 2021, were not approved.

Dr Philip Altman: Yeah. They said they were approved. That's right. They weren't approved.

Dr Judy Wilyman: That's the biggest

Dr Philip Altman: deception. They were- Yep ... provisionally approved.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Mm-hmm. That's

Dr Philip Altman: right. That's a very special case, and it's reserved for special cases. And it means that not all the safety and efficacy data to qualify for registration, full registration, has been submitted.

Dr Philip Altman: Mm. People weren't told that. They were experimental, and they were a completely new platform. It had never been used before in medicine. A very complicated platform. [00:30:00] And it, all the safety data was not done.

Dr Judy Wilyman: There was no long-term- Uh, Philip, I, I believe, I believe also it's unlawful. It's unlawful to mandate an experimental, um, you know, um, uh- Right

Dr Judy Wilyman: emergency approval only-

Dr Philip Altman: No ...

Dr Judy Wilyman: drug. That's

Dr Philip Altman: unlawful. There, there, there is an ongoing case, it's been ongoing for some time now, in Queensland, in the QCAT court. And I'm advised that this is a major case which has impact on all Australian states. Yes. If, if a judgment comes down in relation to vaccine mandates which, which says you cannot mandate someone getting a vaccine, you c- you can't threaten them with loss of livelihood or their job or, or anything else, which is what, what was done.

Dr Philip Altman: The government in Queensland, I'm advised, [00:31:00] is struggling. They were unable to put on any evidence in defense of their case. The expert witnesses include Professor Hoy in Queensland, Professor Angus Dalgleish, Professor Robert Clancy, many others including myself. 13 experts. And the Queensland Government has b- has failed to meet its deadlines in submitting evidence to support vaccine mandates.

Dr Philip Altman: And they don't even have, as of this time when I'm speaking right now, an expert witness for their defense. So everyone should be watching that case in Queensland. It is a, it is a, uh, very, very important case for this country.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Philip, has the mainstream media reported on that case in an- No ... adequate way? No.

Dr Judy Wilyman: No. Nobody's heard about it,

Dr Philip Altman: and it's-

Dr Judy Wilyman: No one's heard about it ...

Dr Philip Altman: happening in the

Dr Judy Wilyman: Queensland courts right now.

Dr Philip Altman: No [00:32:00] one's heard about it. But if you go to my Substack, m- uh, my recent sub- Substacks, I've, I've given my readers an update. So that's philipoltman.substack.com. I've

Graham Hood: gotta say, look, there, there was... I remember a particular w- very wet and rainy night in Perth.

Graham Hood: Uh, it was about, uh, 11:00 at night. I was about to fly the Midnight Horror back to Brisbane. Uh, it was a miserable night, and we had a, a mechanical issue with the aircraft that was a little bit on the dodgy side. You know, we were all scratching our heads, "Should we be taking this or not?" And the engineer came up from the tarmac, wet.

Graham Hood: He'd been out in the rain trying to fix this issue, and he said, "I'm happy to sign it off and you can go." And I said, "Okay, I'm happy for you to sign it off, but would you also be happy to get in the cockpit with me and

come with me?" And he sat there for a minute and he said, "Give me another five minutes."

Graham Hood: And he walked away, and then we grounded the airplane. [00:33:00] Now, this is, this is what the TGA should be doing.

Wendy Daniels: Yes.

Graham Hood: I, I mean, th- that's just a basic standard. Would you, seeing that you're the authority that, that rules whether these things are safe to go or not, um, would, would you, would you come with me now and have it, have that shot put in your arm?

Graham Hood: I mean, that, that's a standard that we must uphold in everything that we do where lives are at stake. And it ju- I'm staggered, I'm staggered to think that the Therapeutic Goods Administration of Australia, and many others around the world, including the USA, has failed so dramatically, and nobody's paying any attention.

Graham Hood: I don't know. Wendy, what are your thoughts?

Wendy Daniels: Oh, look, it, it's, it, it's the same really. It just, it just carries on, and on, and on. And the, and, and the more you look at it, the deeper it gets really. And, um, uh, I, I [00:34:00] suppose what we've seen historically really is that, um, from the States where most of the research, uh, comes from, the, um, the numbers of, of, of that research are, are bigger, and bigger, and bigger.

Wendy Daniels: They, we had, uh, you know, we started off a few years ago with, with smaller independent studies and, uh, they like to dismiss those. Uh, y- I'm talking about the normal vaccines now, normal vaccines. Um, and they... But recently we've had some blockbuster studies. We've had the INCONVENIENCE study, which you probably heard about, and then, um, uh, Dr.,

Wendy Daniels: um, Peter McCullough has done a, um, a, a meta study of lots of different studies where they, they look at them. There's, so, and then there's a Florida study with, um, about 50,000 nine-year-olds, um, which is, uh, quite r- quite something a, a [00:35:00] retrospective study. So it, the more you look at it, and whichever angle you look at it from- It, it, it gets worse.

Wendy Daniels: And, um, people like, like Philip, uh, and, uh, and also, um, uh, Sally, who, who's been on your program a couple of times- Sally Clark ... Sally, uh, Cla- Clark, isn't it? Clark.

Graham Hood: Sally Clark. Yeah. Yes, that's right.

Wendy Daniels: Yes. Um, they're a l- a bit, well, more conservative, and maybe closer to the, the, to the actual figures than, than I am.

Wendy Daniels: But I, I've been tracking the Australian excess death figures. I, I'm, I mark carefully what the, uh, death rates are each, each year or each month sometimes, and we're still waiting, of course, as Sally might have said, for, um, uh, for December. Go figure. We're still waiting for last December for the, the, uh, provisional death ra- uh, death figures for the, the end of that year.

Wendy Daniels: But by my calculations, I [00:36:00] actually averaged out for myself, uh, the, um, I think it was the seven years before 2022, uh, the, the death figures of each year, and when I, um, extrapolate that out to the e- uh, average that and then extrapolate it out to where we are now, we're coming up to about 117,000 in my own calculations, largely from the, um, m- from the, um, uh, the Australian, uh, provisional death figures.

Wendy Daniels: Um, and, uh, this, I, I wouldn't, I'm not, I, that's just my own calculation, and that is getting, is, um, exceeds the number of, um, Australians killed in wartime. So I'm not discounting anybody else's figures, obviously. I'm not- Mm ... c- uh, not... But those are just my calculations. I think it's even worse [00:37:00] than, than, um, than, uh, conservatives rightly st- say.

Wendy Daniels: So I'm out on a limb there, but that's what I get.

Graham Hood: I, I think based on what you reported, uh, about what you saw on television tonight, uh, Philip and John, uh, was I think it's ep- it's very clear that we should call out Chris Kenny and other lazy journalists who are just going along- Right ... with the published narrative because it's easier.

Graham Hood: They can't be bothered looking at the data. Mm. They can't be bothered doing the research. We should call them out for their vacuous comments. I mean, those sorts of comments are deadly in my view because while ever we ignore the, this situation, while ever we ignore the figures, while ever we keep credible doctors and scientists censored into oblivion, those are the people that are gonna be working like crazy to remedy the situation and try and reverse the death, the death toll.

Graham Hood: And they cannot [00:38:00] get on with their work because too many people are prote- protecting their backsides with vacuous comments like Chris Kenny's and whoever that other goose was. I mean, we've gotta call these people out.

John Larter: Mm.

Graham Hood: Chris Kenny, you and Sky News are becoming lazy and vacuous.

John Larter: Mm.

Graham Hood: And if you wa- if you wanna, if you wanna know any information, contact us, contact John.

Graham Hood: We will put you in touch with some of the best specialists in the world to back up everything that Malcolm Roberts said, in fact, even more so. Um, I just... This... No wonder we're in a mess. No wonder-

John Larter: Well, he's another, he's another one, Hoody, that worked as a staffer for the Liberal Party, uh, Kenny. I'm not sure, can't remember who it was, but I'm pretty sure it was one of the moderates in the Liberal Party.

John Larter: So, you know, who knows even if they are journalists? You wouldn't know. Um, they're, they're probably just, uh, paid mouthpieces, a bit like Ray Hadley. I mean, you're a taxi driver one minute, next minute you're telling everyone that, uh, you know, they're complete lunatics. So-

Dr Philip Altman: [00:39:00] He was, he was the chief of staff for Malcolm Turnbull.

John Larter: There you go.

Dr Philip Altman: There you

John Larter: go. I knew it.

Graham Hood: Oh, dear. The plot thickens. I mean, yeah, I mean, you cannot follow what Sky's agenda is at all because it's all over the place. Mm. It's all over the shop. I mean, people are just giving up on them. They're giving up on the mainstream, uh, totally giving up. That's why programs like ours and others

that are starting to rise to the surface more, we are getting bigger viewers, bigger viewer numbers, because people wanna know credible news.

Graham Hood: Yeah. They wanna know what's really going on. And we're not telling people that what we're saying is, uh, is right. We wanna be wrong. Mm. But at least weigh it up against the other stuff you're hearing. And Dr. Norman Swan, I mean, for goodness sake- Mm ... here he is waxing lyrical on Four Corners the other night about something.

Graham Hood: I, I mean, I just couldn't even watch it. The fact that that guy's given so much credibility in the community is beyond me, [00:40:00] absolutely beyond me. After, after the effort he put into, uh, bringing down, uh, Professor Ian Brighthope and the work that he's been doing- Yeah ... on vitamin C. I mean...

Dr Judy Wilyman: Yeah. Well,

Graham Hood: this is the- When are,

Dr Judy Wilyman: when are- Mm

Graham Hood: when, when are we gonna wake up?

Dr Judy Wilyman: This is how it's operating, Hoddie. The, uh, uh, mainstream media is, is, is selecting industry funded scientists and they're using just one to pre- to present the industry funded science to the public and, and one particular narrative. And the mainstream media isn't using a diversity of scientists.

Dr Judy Wilyman: It's, it's, it's suppressing the different perspectives. Yes. And I have noticed as a parent over the last 20 years, um, another way in which this has been suppressed from the public, and that is, um, you know, I started writing to the government and to the Human Rights Commission, um, over a period of years from 2010 onwards, um, asking for, uh, you know, the, the evidence that, that these, um, drugs, these p- [00:41:00] vaccines have been proven safe and effective.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And you always get a standard letter of reply that says, "We support the government's im- immunization program. All vaccines have been tested for 10 years or more and, and they are safe and effective." So it was just this mantra and a standard format letter never answering any of parents' questions. Uh, the problem is also that you have health ministers that get changed every three years.

Dr Judy Wilyman: So parents who have been providing evidence, overwhelming evidence to the government, the health department for years, after three years you get a new health minister, all of those letters and all of that information on the huge increase in chronic illnesses and autism in children goes t- into the bin, and the new health minister comes in and has no idea of the number of parents that have been complaining now for decades and writing letters, um, a- and just being, um, p- provided with this mantra in a [00:42:00] standard format letter, uh, saying vaccines are tested for 10 years and, um, they are safe and effective.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And I discovered in my research that no they're not. The HPV vaccine, as a good example, was fast tracked through the market in six months and put on the market, and none of the vaccines, the traditional vaccines, have ever been tested against a true placebo- No ... as Wendy pointed out before. Uh, so no, the lies that parents have been getting for two decades now from the TGA, from the health department, from the Human Rights Commission, uh, you know, when informed consent without coercion, manipulation or pressure is not just stated in doctors' ethical guidelines, it's in our international human rights covenant- Yes

Dr Judy Wilyman: that Australia has not just a- a- agreed to but has ratified. And the Human Rights Commission, Gillian Triggs- Um, and Tim Wilson, they would always say, "Oh, no, that's, uh, um, the health department's issue. Uh, a- [00:43:00] and, and, and it's compatible with all of the, um, um, you know, human rights issues," uh, without providing any evidence of that whatsoever or referring to where it is compatible, when it's com- obviously com- completely incompatible with not just the medical ethical guidelines, but of course, the World Medical Association's guidelines.

Graham Hood: Why should we be surprised, given the, um, the, the, uh, corruption that has gone on in the medical fraternity for decades now? I mean, we have, uh, recently, uh, taken up the, the, uh, gauntlet again for Infected Bloods Austral- Infected Blood Australia. Um, the, the issuing of tainted blood products to pregnant women and hemophiliacs in the 1980s and beyond, issued by health departments and corporations like Red Cross Blood Bank, um, CSL, to people knowing that they were tainted with HIV, Hepatitis C, and other, and other contaminants, and they're [00:44:00] still fighting for justice.

Graham Hood: I mean, we cannot leave people behind, and we're, we're gonna see the same thing happen with everybody involved in vaccine injuries and all the autism rates that have gone up. We've- we're sick and tired of this 50-year

cycle of apology, 50-year cycle of, of, um, of a, of a gross, uh, error being made, at least an error, if not, uh, gross misconduct.

Graham Hood: And then when everybody has died, and all the people that are gonna be held to account, uh, are no longer fit to, uh, to present themselves in court, then we have these apologies. We're sick of it. We're done with it. We're not gonna do that. And to that end, um, Bryce Courtney, um, Australia's favorite author, who was involved in that whole scandal because of, of, um, of, of the issues that he was confronted in his family through tainted blood products.

Graham Hood: Uh, he worked very, very hard with a lady called Lynn Hatch, who lost her son, Martin. And we're gonna be interviewing Lynn, uh, in [00:45:00] early June to discuss just how bad that whole thing is. Because all these things tie together.

John Larter: Oh, they're shockers. Yeah. We,

Graham Hood: we, we come to- The other thing- Sorry, John.

John Larter: No, the, the other thing is too, you're right, Hoodie.

John Larter: I mean, it doesn't matter if it's the mesh stuff. I mean, it's just so many of these things, but the thing that, that, uh, is also alarming, uh, uh, i- is that the front of house, y- you know, appears to be in order to the public. You know, they, they crap on with, "Oh, we're doing all these, uh, uh, trials and, you know, everything's safe, and we've got these, uh, these, uh, documents from universities that are, uh, that are supporting all this with all this evidence."

John Larter: But what's really happening here, and I, I, I've seen it in 25 years of, of, of work with the ambulance, uh, there's nothing at the back end So someone dies and there's no rigor, there's no reporting, there's nothing, no substance to anything that goes on there. There's no forensic examination. I mean, these people are just being, just being [00:46:00] written off by their GP.

John Larter: "Oh, yes, I've seen Mrs. Smith in the last three months. Yep, oh, yep, she's on Digoxin. Yep, died of heart failure." I mean, this is what goes on. Half of these people were never, uh, never received a, a, a pathological e- exam upon death, even when it was suspicious. Mm. Uh, and I'm sure, uh, uh, Philip could tell us that, that there would have to be some sort of test that could register- Mm

John Larter: whether somebody's got spike protein going through the roof from a pathological point of view. I mean, it's just, why aren't they doing it?

Dr Philip Altman: Look, the autopsies were discouraged- Mm ... for good reason, right? But there is a ray of hope. Let, let me just mention a ray of hope here, and that is have you been watching the TV ads for vaccination, general vaccination on TV?

Dr Philip Altman: Have you, have you seen those? I haven't seen

John Larter: them, no.

Dr Philip Altman: There's quite a few. The government is spending money to convince the [00:47:00] population, "Remember to get vaccinated." That's, that's a sign, right? Mm. The other sign is look at the share price of CSL, one of the world's biggest manufacturers of vaccines. Look at their share price.

Dr Philip Altman: They've been going down for weeks and weeks, and I, and I knew it, and today they hit a, a low, like $100 per share. They were once the most valuable company on the Australian Stock Exchange, and now they've, they've tumbled to about a fifth of their value. So the average punter out there knows there's something wrong.

Dr Philip Altman: You can listen to the bureaucrats and the commentators and the politicians and everything else, but people talk and they see what's happening around them. They see what's happening to their own family. They see how they're being treated. Uh, there's great [00:48:00] distrust of government now. Yes. And I, I don't think that trust is gonna come back in our lifetime.

Dr Philip Altman: Yes. That this, this COVID thing has been the greatest cover-up in human history. Mm. Yeah. And I'm not just talking about pharmaceuticals. This has been the greatest cover-up in human history. It was so well-coordinated. And, and people have learnt things, so when they try it again, like Hantavirus, which i- has received 100,000- postings so far worldwide in publications.

Dr Philip Altman: Have you been watching what's been happening with the reporting of Hantavirus? I mean, it is incredible. So-

Graham Hood: Well, what, what, what was interesting, Phil, Phil, just while you're talking about that, was I saw a, a, an official from the [00:49:00] WHO

being interviewed the other day or yesterday on that, and he said he doesn't know what all the panic is, the Hantavirus isn't all that bad.

Graham Hood: I mean, it's just, it's just a passing thing. Why are we all worried about

Dr Philip Altman: it? Right. You wait. Yeah. You wait.

John Larter: Well, interestingly enough, uh, Tony Nikolic put out a, uh, thing this afternoon, which I've shared, uh, which goes through the side effects of Pfizer in their documents, and one of the side effects is Hantavirus.

John Larter: Is- Can you believe that? ...

Dr Philip Altman: Hantavirus.

John Larter: Yeah. If, like- That doesn't surprise me ... you probably make this stuff up.

Dr Philip Altman: So, so there, there, there is a playbook, and, and the playbook, the, the playbook worked really well for COVID-19. Yeah. And, and they, they sort of tried it again with swine flu. They, they tried it with monkeypox, and it, it just didn't work.

Dr Philip Altman: They're trying it again. Mm. They [00:50:00] fine-tune things.

John Larter: I think we should rename swine flu swan flu. What do you reckon, buddy?

Graham Hood: Swan flu. I reckon that's a great idea.

John Larter: Yeah. Yeah.

Graham Hood: Which is a bird flu, right? Because of the, the swan, but-

John Larter: Yeah ...

Graham Hood: uh, you know, I'm incensed because every day we get messages from thousands of people.

Graham Hood: Johnny gets them, I get them, Michelle gets them. We got a message the other day from a lady in Canberra, a young mum, whose little baby boy has got, uh, is tongue-tied. Now, I said to Michelle, "What's that?" And she said, "Well, it's when there, there's that membrane that sits below the tongue is slightly deformed, and it causes the tongue to curl back, and it makes it very difficult for a baby to eat and drink, and it can affect their vocabulary, of course, a bit later on."

Graham Hood: So the mother went to have a s- uh, just a very simple procedure. It's basically a snip where they cut that membrane. And the surgeon refused to do it unless the mother got the baby vaccinated with vitamin K.

Dr Philip Altman: Oh.

Graham Hood: The surgeon noted that the baby had not been vaccinated with the [00:51:00] vitamin K shot after it was born, and he said, "No, I'm not doing that procedure unless you get it vaccinated with vitamin K."

Graham Hood: Now, give me a break. So she's now looking for a doctor who will do it.

Dr Philip Altman: Mm.

Graham Hood: Michelle said a vet could do it.

Dr Philip Altman: Mm.

Graham Hood: You could go to a veterinary surgeon, and they would do it quickly, no drama at all. Of course, you wouldn't, and we're not recommending that you take your baby to a vet. But, I mean, this is just such a simple procedure, and they'll use any opportunity they can get- To drive this crooked narrative home to people.

Graham Hood: And you're right, J- uh, the pe- people are waking up, Philip. You're right. Yeah.

Wendy Daniels: I, I- Go on. Oh, e- I, I've, I've got another, um, addition to that as well, uh, to what Philip said, uh, Hoodie, and that is that, um, I, I'm often on a lot of the, uh, the Facebook groups. And I- my strategy, because I'm so censored in so many other ways, is to answer questions when they come up for, for mothers.

Wendy Daniels: And [00:52:00] sometimes those answers are tolerated. I answer with, with facts and, and, and easy s- um, you know, solutions and things. And I've noticed in probably the last maybe four or five months, the number of, um, paid, uh, I, I assume that they're paid, um, oh, what we call bots and trolls and- Oh ... and fake profiles.

Wendy Daniels: And they're, suddenly they're all over the place. They're swarming in everywhere, and you can tell them quite easily. They don't learn very well. They just, they just argue and make a fuss. Um, and, uh, and spout the narrative. But I take that to be a sign that this thing is collapsing, that they're, that that's one of the last lines of defense that they're, that they're actually, um, spreading this, uh, i- in, in one of the few ways that they've got left now.

Wendy Daniels: Uh, so fingers crossed. We [00:53:00] just have to keep on with it. It's, it's, it's a marathon.

Dr Judy Wilyman: I'd have to say, I mean, we all know now that COVID had to happen to put the spotlight on the corruption and, and fraud that we're seeing in science. Yeah. Um, I just want to address, um, one of the issues John brought up in terms of, um, practical, um, experience for paramedics and nurses, you know, in clinical situations.

Dr Judy Wilyman: You know, one of the things they're not being taught to do is to say, "When was your last vaccine?" Or even to look up their record of vaccines that they've had. Because when you use an e- emergency experimental drug in a population and mandate it, you're not gonna join the dots if you're not looking at when, what, how many vaccines they've had- Mm-hmm

Dr Judy Wilyman: or when they've had that last one. And it's a simple question, but then they're, they're trained not to ask about vaccines. Yes. A- and yet when you take a baby to a so-called wellness vin- visit, the first question that mother is asked is, "Are you up-to-date with [00:54:00] your vaccines?" So these are the sorts of, um, uh, practices that are, are purposely in place to prevent the dots being joined up.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And you can't find something if you're not looking to, looking for it. Yeah. And, you know, doctors, uh, all they have to do is ask, and paramedics- When was your last vaccine or have you had these vaccines? And that needs to be a, a, a, you know, upfront question for everybody that's being treated now, um, to ensure that, um, you know, we, we, we stop harming people's health with policies that are no longer for health.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And, and these policies are de- definitely to protect industry interests in, in public health policy.

Graham Hood: We better talk about this event, um, because, uh, it's really important given everything we've just shared tonight, uh, that, that people avail themselves of this kind of knowledge. Now, I've got in front of me the, uh, poster, and we'll share the poster in, um, in, uh, in the comments.

Graham Hood: [00:55:00] Uh, but it's called The Vaci- The Vaccination Conversation: Questioning the Main, Mainstream Narrative. Boy, have we not made a case for that tonight. Um, what if there's more to the story that you're being told, that you've been told? Uh, some of the questions you're gonna be answering, uh, will be vaccine, uh, safety and effectiveness, um, censorship in scientific, um, debate, the role of regulators and policy, uh, what, what independent research is revealing, and COVID-19 response and the lessons learned.

Graham Hood: And you three will be the, uh, speakers at the event. It's to be held, um, it is to be held on the 28th of May at 6:45 PM at the Awaken Church in Solwood Drive, uh, Canberra, in Canberra. Tickets are $20. It's a good investment. You know what? I [00:56:00] wanna drive that point home. It is a good investment. Now, I wanna say to all of our viewers out there, maybe those who've never watched us before.

Graham Hood: You go to a Chinese restaurant, and you will ask the waiter, "What's in there? Is there any MSG in the food that I'm about to eat?" You will think about buying a new car, especially now when they're trying to get you to buy EVs and hybrids, and you will spend months researching that vehicle, the vehicle that you wanna buy.

Graham Hood: But you'll let any- anybody inject whatever they like into your kids without even looking at it. Now, come on. Get your act together as parents. Get your act together as citizens in this country, and at least demand information. And if you can't get it, then don't make a decision. I mean, it's just, it's bizarre the amount of work and effort we put into researching garbage that means nothing.

Graham Hood: I mean, I'll sit and watch a fi- a fishing video for two hours, you know, [00:57:00] um, you know, researching a reel or some kind of new bait or whatever and, and, I mean, that's what we do. That's what we do. So come on, everybody. Get behind this. Go along to this event. Are you gonna have it anywhere else? Is that the plan?

Graham Hood: Are you gonna

Dr Judy Wilyman: Um, at, at, at this stage, this is the only, um, event we're putting on, but we will be recording it and making it available, um, if, for later, um, use. I'd like to just say to parents, um, there is an amendment bill being, um, and, and put in place in the Parliament in August by John Ruddick on the No Jab, No Play policy in New South Wales.

Dr Judy Wilyman: This is an opportunity to, for parents to get their voice heard. If they could come to this meeting and hear the arguments against mandatory vaccination, not against vaccination, that's your choice. This is to remove, um, the criminal policy that was put in place by the Liberal government in 2015- [00:58:00] Yes ... uh, that mandated vaccines in a social services policy.

Dr Judy Wilyman: It wasn't in a health policy. And as people will be aware, most people will be aware, vaccinations in Australia have always been voluntary in our health acts. And yet in 2015, um, Scott Morrison was the Social Services Minister, and they made a political decision to mandate 12 plus vaccines in infants, in a genetically diverse population.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And I can't emphasize enough to parents that you need to hear this information, and now is our chance to get our voice heard in Parliament to remove the mandates. Even if you believe some vaccines are good, that is fine. This is not about anti-vaccination, it is about anti-mandates, and particularly for drugs that have never been tested, and they're being used in healthy people.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And you've all seen that with the COVID situation. They, they pushed that through at what, [00:59:00] what they called the speed, warp speed, which isn't even a scientific term, and you should never be using a drug that has been put through with warp speed, um- The speed of science ... because clearly it has no si- safety, um, data attached to it.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And as we saw, the in- the package inserts were blank.

Dr Philip Altman: Yeah.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Um, so

Dr Philip Altman: there is huge deception here. This is in, uh, New South Wales. This is in New South Wales State Parliament. Uh, ju- just, just to make that clear. Mm-hmm.

Graham Hood: I think, I think they should change that from Operation Warp Speed to Operation Warped Speed.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Yeah, absolutely. That's not

Graham Hood: science. '

Dr Judy Wilyman: Cause that- Yeah.

Graham Hood: That's not... Yeah, moving at the speed of science. Will we

Dr Judy Wilyman: ever get there- Yeah, exactly ... in our lifetime? I, I c- Hody, I can't believe they got away with that. I mean, you know, science requires scrutiny, and nobody moves at the speed of science. You need 10 years of data to prove a drug is safe and effective.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And they had none of that.

Graham Hood: And Johnny, isn't it interesting how they told us at the very beginning, "Oh, well, we, we can't back up the things you're saying because the data [01:00:00] isn't there yet." Well, now the data is there, overwhelming. Yes. And they don't, they still don't wanna know. So I don't know. I think we better- Well-

Graham Hood: close with a prayer

John Larter: I think the, uh, just to, just to add what, to what Philip was trying to, I think, say there, John Ruddick, uh, making noise about it, that is fantastic. But the problem is that that is in the New South Wales State Parliament. Th- these are federal laws. Um-

Dr Judy Wilyman: Uh, sorry, John, um, the no jab, no pay is federal.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Yeah. The no jab, no play is actually state-based. And you'll notice that the ACT, Northern Territory, and Tasmania don't have a no jab, no play. So why is it being enforced in other states, uh, which is preventing

parents from earning a livelihood to feed their children, um, if they don't, uh, ensure they have 12 plus vaccines combined in their developing infant?

Dr Judy Wilyman: And so this actually removed choices from parents. And I would like to point out to all listeners, when Scott Morrison brought this policy in, in social [01:01:00] services, he called it Choices for Families, and he was removing people's choices to either work and earn money to feed their families, or to use 12 plus vaccines.

Dr Judy Wilyman: And I can assure you, if a vaccine works, you don't need to bribe people with money to, to make them use the drug. And I just heard the government say they've just been paying $500, uh, to the staff, I think it's of the rural communities, so that they will get the vaccines. Folk say they've been giving $500 to bribe them.

Dr Judy Wilyman: They said they've now had a 50% increase in the vaccination rate because they've offered staff $500 to get themselves vaccinated. I mean, this is not, um, a, a, an ethical policy by any means, and the public is being duped, and, and health is being harmed.

Graham Hood: Well, if you're being bribed, coerced, or bullied to take something, you can rest assured that is not in your best interest.

Dr Judy Wilyman: Mm-hmm.

Graham Hood: So there we leave it, I think, folks. We'll, we'll just, uh, close this with a [01:02:00] prayer. Lord Father in heaven, you've been listening to the conversation. You know the dramas that we face, and all we wanna do is live in the light of truth, Lord, with compassion, with grace, and with mercy. So guide and lead us, and please, Lord, bring people along to this event that they may learn.

Graham Hood: We ask in Jesus' name, amen. Johnny Ladd, you've often been heard to say...

John Larter: You just couldn't make this stuff up

Graham Hood: You couldn't, um, that's for sure. Judy Wilyman, Wendy Daniel, and Philip Altman, great to have you guys on. We'd love to hear back from you after the event. Uh, and whatever we can do then to promote the video of what you've done at that event, we will happily do that.

Graham Hood: And don't forget, everybody, to get on the, on the, uh, on the scoreboard with the stuff we're talking about with the infected blood scandal. Go to infectedbloodaustralia.com, and all the information's there, and, uh, there's some, a lot of research gone into that. So, uh, have a look at that, and get behind. We can't leave anybody lying wounded on the [01:03:00] battlefield.

Graham Hood: We just can't do that. So God bless you all, and, uh, don't forget, what you did yesterday got you toda- to today, Australia. God bless you, and we'll see you- Uh, with Senator Ron Johnson on the next episode of Club Grubbery. Bye for now.