

2024-09-09 Graham and John speak with Rafael Lataster...

Well, hi everyone and welcome to Club Grubbery, and it's either a feast or a famine. John, isn't it with us? It's just unbelievable at the moment.

It is, mate.

It is.

But anyway, we're very grateful.

We are. We are indeed. So we've got a mile of fantastic interviews coming your way. And, I don't think any better than the one we're about to do with, um, with Raphael LaTasta.

Now, are you a professor, Raphael, or do we call you a doctor or you're a PhD? We know that.

You can call me Raph if you like, but yeah, I've been teaching at university in America. They would call that a professor, I believe. Here professor is a little bit

different. Okay. No worries at all. Well, we'll call you Raph, but I'll read your bio out to everybody so we know who we're talking to because you've done some amazing work.

Rafael Atasta holds a PhD from the University of Sydney and occasionally lectures there and at other institutions. His main academic research interests include misinformation, disinformation, and fake news in health and politics. Not that you'd have much to research there, Raf. Um, Rafael has a Bachelor of Pharmacy.

Um, a Masters of Applied Finance and several postgraduate research degrees in the arts. Initially focusing his academic efforts around misinformation in religion. Boy, I'd love to go there with you. He has shifted focus to misinformation in politics and health, particularly around COVID 19. He currently runs OK Then News.

He, he's got a marvellous sub stack and the OKV News highlights counter narrative news pieces and journal articles. So Raph, it's going to be great. We've only got you for half an hour. We're going to get you back based on that. How about we book you half an hour every day? Yeah, we could do that. We could do that.

Well, you know, it's great to have you with us now. We were going to talk about excess deaths in Europe and we may get to there, but I think the big push at the moment, especially with John on screen. Is your battles, uh, legal battles with New South Wales Health. Give us a brief overview of what you've been up to there.

That's a great place to start because that's the only reason why I'm involved in the fight. That's why I went to America with Robert Malone and the US Senate and all that. And that's why I, I did this research. It's because of my battles in New South Wales Health. So like many other people, thousands of people that vaccine mandates came in and I said, yeah, no, that's not for me.

You know, I've got, I've got my own reasons why I've got a family reasons, health reasons why I didn't want to take it. And of course I was a pharmacist and, and at the, at the moment the mandates came in and I was a hospital administrator. So it's not like I'm somebody who just completely doesn't know what they're talking about.

Uh, I actually, you know, was working in health and I said it wasn't for me. So. They ended up firing me. They did it in a pretty nasty way as well. They gave us a lot of false hope saying, you know, you've got to make your case. Maybe we'll come up with part time at home jobs for you. And I thought, fantastic.

That's what I already have. I have a part time at home job. I'll be right. Uh, but they sacked me. They sacked me when I, when I put my case. Together they said basically, uh huh, you admit it. You just don't want to take the job. Bye. So it was, it's quite nasty how it all, how it all played out. And, um, with my lawyer, we were winning multiple cases.

So there was no, there was no real, no real thought that I wouldn't win taking them, you know, taking legal action against New South Wales Health. And we won. We won, we've got recognition. Uh, I won the initial case, then I won the back pay as well. And basically, it's recognition that through their actions, they have caused us harm, right?

And that needs to be compensated for, that needs to be addressed. You need justice for that. We've got a few more cases to go. And, uh, then that will basically wrap it all up. I might consider cases in future, depends, could get a bit more expensive. That's where you might need someone like our friend in Queensland, our eccentric billionaire friend in Queensland to jump in and help fund some things.

But unless that happens, I think this might be it. Uh, but yeah, I've still got a few more wins. I have no doubt I will win those because they've already admitted liability. They've already basically admitted that, yes, they harmed me, they need to pay up, so I have no doubt that I will win, I've had a few wins, we're going to have a few more wins, and then I'm still going to help others with their cases, I already am involved in that, and giving evidence, I gave evidence earlier in the year to the U.

S. Senate. I was invited to give evidence to the Aussie Senate, but they rejected my submission. That's a, that's a whole other story. Um, and they accepted submissions that didn't even have a name on it, which was just, just remarkable. Anyway, because of all this, I did a bit of research. I mean, that, that's what I am.

That's what I do. That's, you know, I am a researcher. So. In fighting my case as I'm like, I'll do a bit of research, help my case. And I ended up started publishing in medical journals. And it's quite curious because they say you should trust the science. You know, the mainstream areas were all around, trust the science, trust the science.

And I'm like, well, here I am, I'm producing the science. Are you going to trust me? And so I started doing some research because of my case. And yes, some of this research, you know, a lot of people have been saying that that's, that's pretty important stuff. Along with Peter Doshi. Peter Doshi is one of the senior editors over at the BMJ, one of the world's top medical journals, and he published a few articles in, in another journal, JECF, and I managed to get a few articles published there too, basically responding to their work and building on their work.

And together we found, because of these dodgy counting windows in how they're counting what's, what's vaccinated, what's unvaccinated, they've actually exaggerated by a big, by a big way, the effectiveness and safety of the jabs. Right from the clinical trials and the big observational studies, all of it, right?

So that made some waves that, uh, yeah, Robert Malone, uh, invited me to America and we did some things there. So I've had that research. I've had a few critiques of the big studies as well. Some already published one ended up in the BMJ. Uh, and some are on the way and I had a big, big article that got a lot of attention in Australia because it was published in AJGP, the Australian Journal for General Practitioners, so every doctor in the country got a copy.

I can't guarantee they read it and they got to hear me talking about how, you know, the jab might be negatively effective. Because we've got all this evidence that the effectiveness goes away really quickly, even for death, and they all talk about how it saves lives, um, and then it even goes negative. And sometimes this happens just within a few months.

Isn't that weird? So we've got to talk about negative effectiveness in one of Australia's most important medical journals. And now we've had this, this paper published on excess deaths. I've done a bit of, um, I've looked into excess death a little bit. Wow. Yeah, I found some interesting things there that I'm sure you might be keen to hear about.

We read your piece in the, uh, in the Journal for General Practitioners. It was a tour de force. Congratulations on that. Um, yeah, the excess deaths is important, but I know John is locked in battle with New South Wales Health and I, I, I really need to give him an opportunity to ask you a couple of quick questions around that first before we go on.

Okay. Sure. Yeah. Well, I think it'd be great to, um, unpack, uh, the, the journey there. Uh, like it, it must, uh, really beg a belief to the people out there watching that, uh, you can have a win in some jurisdictions and not in others. And yes, it was health can admit liability to you, but there's. Thousands of other people that they won't admit liability for.

I mean, they've got senior counsel and, uh, numerous barristers and big lawyer firms, uh, stopping us from going back to work.

Well, maybe that's some problem with the legal system as well because even in our space, uh, the vast majority have been wins, but there's also been, uh, I think one, at least one that I know of, one that didn't, didn't make it.

And then, so that's being appealed. So it kind of depends too on which judge you get, which just is, is mind blowing. And especially you see that in America with the Supreme Court and the other federal judges. You know, there'll be a bunch of judges that aren't interested say there's there's no standing here.

No loss has been suffered. And then you'll get another judge that says, Yes, you have to actually release the data. You can't just hide it for 75 years like you want to bet you that was a Trump judge that one said you've got to start releasing the data. So there's something quite curious about our legal system.

Not only is it really inaccessible for for such well for most people would imagine very expensive. And the only the only reason I could. Pursue this and start with everything. It all comes from this is because I was able to do this for free. You know, sometimes there's these, there's these opportunities where you can do things that don't cost you.

And there's no risk of costs because even the cost order, even if someone else is funding your action, the threat of a cost order would scare most people off, I would imagine, because it could be hundreds of thousands of dollars. That would ruin most Australians. Rule in most, most people worldwide, you know, unless you're really, really rich, like a Trump or a Clive Palmer and you, you know, you're quite happy to, to fight these legal battles every day.

It's, it's pretty hard for us, you know, regular, regular folk, even some of us that have, you know, these, these, these qualifications, you know, we're not millionaires. We're not, we're not made of cash here and one cost order could just, yeah, really destroy your life or your life has already been

destroyed. So where have you been fighting them in the industrial relations commission or whereabouts?

So this is through workers compensation. Right. So I, I, fortuitous. Um, you know, some of the people would think it's more than that. Maybe someone up there is pulling the strings a little bit here, but I, um, I just randomly found out about Diane Dawking, and I think it was, it was one of the politicians, might have been Craig Kelly, who shared the link in the Guardian of all places.

The Guardian reported it of all places of Diane Dawking, who won against the vaccine mandates in New South Wales. And I read about it and it was about, um, psychological injury caused by how the, uh, the employers were treating us. And I saw, okay, the lawyer's there. I'll contact the lawyer. We'll see what's going on.

Yeah, the lawyer said, let's, let's go giddy up. We're going to do this. It's not going to cost you anything. There's no risk of a cost order when you go through workers compensation, at least here in New South Wales. So that was the opportunity for me, which I grabbed with both hands. This is the chance to get some justice.

I was, I was struggling, man. I was, I was fine. I think it was the end of 2021, early 2022. I was very much justified if I wasn't already by, by the evidence and the lack of evidence, cause I got COVID. Easily survived it. It was less than a cold for me. So I had natural immunity and everything, but, uh, late 2022.

I got in touch with the lawyer. I learned about Diane Dawking's case and yeah, basically we're going to do the same thing. So she was in education. I'm in health and they've been, they've been looking at, uh, the education department, health department, getting a bunch of wins since. So that was, that was the chance and, you know, bit of luck there.

Maybe it's more than luck. It's yeah, it's, it's crazy how life turns out sometimes.

How are we here? Dominic Perrottet, the former Premier, said they got it wrong. Yeah. Clearly the information that Dr Kerry Chant has been peddling out to the public is misinformation at best. How

are we in this situation?

The misinformation runs very deep as well, because it always comes down to, well, the science says, you know, there's all these studies that show that the jab works, right? The jab is good. And I just sit there thinking, well, hang on what I've said and what Peter Doshi has said hasn't been refuted yet. And it basically refutes all of that because it goes back to the clinical trials.

It goes to the big observational studies as well. So the, the, the research that's been done after the trials and says, look, because of how you're counting vaccinated, unvaccinated, it's given you a big head start. Tell us the real figures until we know the real figures. You can't say it's safe and effective.

Right. So it, yeah, it's quite remarkable as for the political aspect, especially here in Australia. It's, uh, it's really concerning Perretier when he came in, he was straight away against, against the mandates and yet it took so long for something to happen, which just goes to show, maybe it's not our elected leaders that really, you know, really are in charge.

Maybe, maybe there's some of the money at play as well, the money behind politics. And I've had a, um, a series of articles on my OK, then news site showing that basically the same handful of people own pretty much everything. Right. They own all the big companies. They own all the big land. They, they, they lobbying.

They own, they own big pharma. They own the regulators of big pharma. I mean, the regulators are funded like 90 something percent by big pharma. Incredible. Yeah. In Australia, 96%, it's ridiculous. It's like you are working for big

pharma.

The regulators are, uh, uh, federal agencies, basically, right? They're part of the government.

So you literally have at least parts of the government are owned by the big end of town. You know, so it's quite obvious. And all this, you know, All this is from, I didn't get this from, you know, conspiracy theorists or, or crazy investigative journalism. I jumped onto Google, which is part of it. I jumped onto Google and looked for the top shareholders of these big companies and found out that they're the same.

Whether it's, whether it's Fox news, CNN, MSNBC, or it's clean energy, dirty energy, healthy food, junk food, and most concerning of all Coke and Pepsi, those great rivals. It's all the same owners. Vanguard and Blackrock. Vanguard, Blackrock, State Street, all the big, all the big, um, fund managers. Superannuation fund managers.

Superannuation is tied in with that, of course, the pension funds in the U. S. And you look at who owns them and they own each other. Berkshire Hathaway as well. They own each other with Vanguard seemingly on top because it's sort of like a credit union here where it's the members that, that, that own it.

There's no real shareholders of it. So they own each other. They own everything else. It's and it's really who owns those. I mean, by necessity, it has to be the richest people in the world. You and I might own a fraction of a fraction of a percent through our supers, for example, a bit of a vanguard index fund, but the people that control it, the people have the voting rights, the people that put in their mates as the CEOs and the presidents and whatnot.

They're the handful of the richest people in the world. There you go. UF type figures. You say that 20 years ago or so, and that's a conspiracy theory. Now it's just obvious fact. And all you have to do is jump on Google, which they own, and it'll bring up some pages from CNN business or CNN money, which they own, and it'll tell you.

So they're actually telling us. If you know where to look, they're actually telling us what's going on.

Well, now that they own everything, they don't have to hide anything at all because they control absolutely everything, including our government, which is why I made a video yesterday, which is going nuts, uh, about government, about, uh, corporate ownership of the Australian government.

And, uh. Oh, there you go. There it is.

I might have to send you that, that link. I've got it all summarized. It shows you all the major companies from all these different fields are owned by the same few people.

Please do and we'll publish that. It's quite

remarkable.

Just to cram as much as we can into this 30 minutes, Raf, the Australian government, the Australian Senate has looked at excess deaths and said, no, nothing to see here.

Um, what on earth is going on? Now you've done a lot of research on what's going on in Europe, shed a bit of light on this and why is the Australian government so recalcitrant in this, in this position?

Yeah, that, that's, that's quite remarkable. And I've spoken to a few of the One Nation senators about this because they obviously wanted to see a different outcome.

So I was invited, uh, I was told by, By, um, UAP Senator to, to submit, uh, I was invited to submit, I submitted, basically I'm, I'm referring to science, my own articles, other people's work, it's all scientific and they rejected it, right, for this, this Senate inquiry, they injected it, they, they rejected it, they, they accepted a bunch of, uh, pointless, pointless submission.

Some didn't even have names on it and they rejected mine and a bunch of others as well that were based, you know, purely in the scientific literature. They didn't want it. I asked, uh, well, Roberts, Senator Roberts from One Nation. He was disappointed. He asked me, you know, what's going on? Why wasn't I there as well?

I wasn't invited to appear. Only a handful of people were invited for that. Um, And I asked Senator Hanson over the weekend as well, who, who makes these decisions. And she says it was the secretary. And I think that was a green Senator. So I think it becomes quite obvious. It becomes quite obvious what happened there.

Now, in that, in that submission, I was referring to the issue of excess deaths. We've had a few articles now, and, uh, I'm, I'm one of them that have said, look, we've got this problem of excess deaths throughout the world. And most of it now actually went pretty viral. Cracked the mainstream news, I think it was the Telegraph in the UK, Mostad et al, which appeared in BMJ public health, one of the BMJ journals, which said, Hey, you know, excess deaths is going on and maybe it's got something to do with the jabs.

And I went that little bit further. So I'm still trying to do something with the BMJ on that front, maybe a response to Mostad et al, but I went a little bit further. I said, yes, excess death is happening, but also little bit of basic maths. Anyone who knows a little bit of, um, statistical math can do this within a few minutes.

Let's test for correlations between vaccination rates and excess deaths in European countries. So I grabbed all the public European data. It's all again from them. You know, this is not stuff that I've had to, to, to do anything crazy to get, you know, Alex Jones type infiltrating Bohemian Grove or anything like that.

It's just, I jumped on the website. There it is. European statistics. It's right there. You can have a look. And even the graphics were right there. The image that you might have seen where I show you the graph of Bulgaria and Romania have negative excess deaths. They're the least vaccinated countries. And it was very clear when you, when you look at excess deaths in European countries versus the percentage of the population jabbed, or I tested two, two correlations.

The other one was, I think total amount of jabs both correlated significantly so that that shows it doesn't prove that the jab is behind it, but it shows it's quite plausible to think that it might be the jab. So then I also summarize some of the best evidence. that the jab may not be all that it was cracked up to be.

So that's, that's in that little article as well. So I think any rational person looks at that things. All right, so we've really got to look into this. It's not conclusive proof that the jab is at play. I mean, it could be COVID. It could be the lockdowns could be some other things, but it's, it's pretty, pretty rational to think it is the jab, especially when you combine it with all this other evidence that says, you know what, they're not as safe as we thought.

We're hearing a lot more about heart problems, you know, myocarditis and so forth. Um, and I've already argued for me, it's, I'm quite nuanced. Like I am a scholar. I am an academic. I'm not going out there saying like a van der Bosch or whatever saying half the people are going to die within two weeks, just like Trump's going to be reinstated in two weeks.

Just wait two weeks, bro. That's all nonsense. Um, but I ha I'm pretty confident that the jab is not worth the risk, at least for young, healthy people. Because when you compare, and again, this is all from the science and from the official government data, when you compare the number needed to vaccinate data from the UK that was released by the ONS, and that shows that to prevent a serious COVID hospitalization in young people, young healthy people, you need to jab hundreds of thousands, hundreds of thousands.

And then you look at the myocarditis data, and you're looking at for a few, for a few thousand people jabbed, you've got a case of myocarditis, you know, and what, one in five of them are going to be dead in a few years. Right. That's clearly not worth the risk. Few thousand versus hundreds of thousands, right.

And that is one side effect. So I'm very confident as nuanced as I tried to be steering away from conspiracy theories and the like, and all these big claims, that's very obvious. That is extremely obvious. If you're young and healthy, you should not be taking the jab. Right. The jab is going to do nothing for you.

That was just that recent study that confirms this as well. That recent study out of England that was done on what one, one and a half million children, the jab didn't save any of them, but all the myocarditis cases came in the jab. How many of them are going to die over the next couple of years? If it's one clearly again, it's not worth it, but since it didn't save anyone and we've got all these side effects, and then there's also the unknown side effects as well.

I would say already, well, it's probably already, you know, not harmful, Having the lack of evidence for it's, it's being safe and effective, at least for this sort of age group, young and healthy people says you shouldn't be taking it, you know, it's not worth the risk. Right. There's no benefit. It's not saving anyone.

What's the point of it?

And the sad part was in New South Wales health case, there was no risk assessment undertaken. Uh, so how can you, how can you mandate the staff have this vaccine, so called vaccine, when you don't even have a risk assessment? And we know with the fire brigade, In Victoria, they did do a risk assessment and the risk assessment said that there was a risk of death from having the vaccine, but they buried it.

Nobody ever saw the risk assessment.

100%. And they, they outright lied as well. They made it that the whole point was that, okay, yeah, it prevents transmission. And then we found out, well, that was, that was bullcrap. Um, and none of it made any sense to in going back to my case and maybe a handful of people that were like me, I was one of the people that worked from home.

Where, where's your risk assessment for that. I worked from home. In fact, they increased the risk for me and the community because by firing me, they forced me to have to go and get out and seek, seek other opportunities. Well, I would have been basically on self imposed lockdown by continuing to work from home, staying, staying out of it.

So risk assessment, my foot, there's no logic there whatsoever. They fired someone who was working from home and that's maybe why we won so quickly as well.

And like with you, like with you and us, the, the, the overcooking of this whole narrative has poked the tiger. Uh, the three of us have all been awake when we're not dingbats, you know, we, we've had careers that, that back up the fact that we can put two and two together, but, um, but they poked the tiger because most of us would have just been getting on with life and not worrying too much, just doing what we do in society.

And here we are fighting back and we are fighting back. We're not letting go.

That's right. That's I've, I've maintained that from the beginning. That was a big part of my little talk over in, in, in America. Um, I, I wouldn't even be doing this if it wasn't for what they did to me. I, I found out about this opportunity.

I went, went, um, to pursue these legal options here. And as part of that, I was doing research and Hey, look what I found. I found this incredible stuff that some other people might be interested in knowing that they were lied to. The lies go beyond, um, the jab. As well, like normally people are like myself are portrayed as being anti vaxxers.

Some of you might actually be anti vaxxers and you know, no judgment from me on that one. Um, I've become more and more disillusioned with mainstream medicine over time. And I was working in that area, but I am currently not an anti vaxxer yet. That's what they're saying about me. Uh, so incredible lies.

And just recently there was that story. You might've heard about it, about the American government was found out lying in Asia. Yeah. And going on a big anti vax campaign. So for someone that's, you know, not like us, they're on that, that side, the pro jab side, they would have to look at that and say, wow, the American government is anti vax, but they told me that being anti vax was a really bad thing.

So the American government was caught spreading lies throughout Asia, trying to get them to stop using the Chinese. Vaccine Sinovac saying that it was no good. And that, you know, I don't know, maybe there's pork

products in there. So if you're Muslim, you shouldn't take it because a lot of Muslims in Asia, so they were spreading all this anti vax information that we might think actually some of that's true, but from, from the perspective of the people that, you know, have bought into this story, these are all lies, but even from our side, they're lies too, because the way they went about it was through social media campaigns, utilizing bots and fake accounts.

So every, every step of the way they were lying, these are the people that we trust. They're lying. And then they admit they lie. And then their response is sort of like a F you like, okay, whatever. Same, like what happened with, with, uh, Russia gate and laptop gate and all that, with the FBI basically saying, yeah, we did that, we maybe shouldn't have done it, but you know, we changed our processes if we changed it earlier, it wouldn't have happened.

Bye. What? What? That's it. Like these incredible lies, the manipulation of the people, possibly costing Trump the election in 2020. And it's just, yeah, we did that. If we had the processes we had today, it wouldn't have happened. So yeah.

You wouldn't have caught us. You wouldn't have caught us out. Just getting back to the medical stuff.

Um, we're talking to a lot of, uh, naturopaths and, um, and, uh, uh, people who, uh, where am I going? We're talking with I love holistic medicine, holistic medicine, a lot of people who do live blood analysis and pathologists. That was the word I was looking for. And they're seeing large amounts of graphene in people's blood vaccinated and unvaccinated that wasn't there before the vaccine rollout.

So even if you haven't been jabbed, Michelle and I had a live blood analysis done a couple of weeks ago and our bloods are both filled with graphene. Uh, and we have not been vaccinated. So what are your thoughts on that? And what do you know about that?

How would that be? How would, how would that happen?

I hope that's not the, uh, the shedding. That's that's one area I haven't looked into. I think that's, that's a bit too scary for me. I

know. I mean, it's, it's crazy.

What's the point of what we've done there?

That's right. That's right. I mean, uh, you know, why would the Americans not want the Chinese, uh, uh, remedy to go out around Asia?

Uh, perhaps because it doesn't contain the things that they want everybody in the planet to have in their blood.

Maybe, maybe. It's, it's quite scary. Again, I try and stay away from conspiracy theories, but it gets harder and harder to not think. That this was by design because it's not just a couple of mistakes.

You know, people can make mistakes. It's not just a couple of small mistakes. Like these are huge, huge mistakes that's affected everybody on the planet. It was done everywhere. Pretty much exactly the same. Sometimes there's a country like Sweden that said, now we're not doing the lockdowns or some, some little African country says, yeah, we're not doing the jabs, but pretty much done everywhere.

The same. It gets really hard to think that this wasn't by design. What, what the overall purpose of it all, I don't know. I don't think everyone's going to be dead within two weeks. I don't think it's, it's that much. I heard a good theory when I was in, in the States hearing to, um, I think it was Michael Nail, he hearing his, his theory that the spike protein inhibits the hypothalamus, and that can make you more depressed.

So make you more demoralized and that makes you more compliant. And I thought, well, that, that, that would make sense. Because why would they want to kill the people that, you know, went and took the job? It's us they want to kill, right? It's the people that didn't take the job that they'd want to kill. So why would they want to kill the people that listen to them?

That kind of doesn't make sense. So yeah, it's hard to know what it really all is about. I stick to what I can, right, with my research and that's showing with the data that it's not worth it for young, healthy people.

If, uh, if, if the spike protein is, uh, is meant to make people compliant, then John and I mustn't have any in our blood.

Because we are definitely not compliant and we will not be. I mean, it's just. It's just nuts. Absolutely crazy.

So, I mean, what does that mean though? I mean, you know, Hoodie put out a video yesterday, which, which is going gangbusters and rightly so, I mean, as he calls it, it's, it's a vent, but, uh, they're fantastic events.

Um, and he had a video in there from the governor of Texas, I think it was, uh, leading to the fact that, uh, Pfizer lied about the effects on pregnant women. Um, and we know now, I mean, I've received some information today, uh, saying, That pregnant women aren't tested, uh, for obvious reasons because it's too dangerous to test them.

So, uh, how can you say it's safe and effective when we know that they lied about that? I mean, Kerry Chant has gone out and said that, uh, it stops transmission. Now, when she said that in court, I mean, surely they knew. I mean, how are these people still in their jobs?

Yeah. Some of these aren't just mistakes.

They're outright, outright lies, outright disinformation. We saw that in the States with Rochelle Walensky, those leaked emails that showed that they were already talking about so called breakthrough infections after they had already appeared on TV saying that it stops transmission. You know, you take the virus, it's a dead end.

It's not, it's not going to be passed on to anyone else. You're not going to get it. And if you do, it's a dead end. So they outright, outright lied to us. So. You know, what are you doing out there? People do something about it. They're just going to keep doing it to us. I mean, the thing

that gets me, I mean, this is the one thing that I can never understand.

I mean, Kerry Chan says it's okay for people to attend work with a medical exemption. Now, this, this was either so deadly that you couldn't go to work or it wasn't. I mean, there is

no in between. You're, you're on point there. Where is the logic in that? I mean, if you have a medical exemption that there should be saying, stay home, we'll pay you, but stay home because exemption or not, you still can pass it on.

But, you know, there you go. There you go. Complete lack of logic. And me, I'm sacked, even though I work from home. So this is, this is one of the things that really got to me is that, You could be unvaccinated in the hospital if you were a visitor or if you were a patient, right? You could go all over the hospital.

I could go to the hospital right now. Well, the mandates. Supposedly over now. I think realistically it's still there, but it's supposedly over now for the health care workers. I could go there as a as a visitor and go all over the hospital. I could go sit down with my laptop and even do some work. I just can't work for them.

It just there's no logic. There's no logic. So, and that makes you think too, well, maybe it's more than that because just these are the smartest people in the world here, or some of, you know, clearly, clearly some of the

smartest people are right here, but these are incredibly smart people. They have knowledge that we don't have access to, they have resources we don't have access to, and they've done things that just make no sense.

So you've got to think maybe there's a little bit more. More to it than that. And that's, yeah, that's probably a good, good, uh, good place to stay, to be continued.

Absolutely. I get it. And, uh, when life hands you lemons, Raph, make lemonade.

That's right.

Indeed. And I'm trying to get

more, we'll get more of these things to put up.

Yeah, we'll definitely

get you back on. I want to do a whole program with you on misinformation because it's clear to everybody that the greatest peddlers of that are mainstream media and the government, but we'll talk about that at the next opportunity we get with you, but we always close with a prayer.

Is that going to be okay with you?

That's fine. Yeah. I have no problem with that.

Okay. Let's do that. Father in heaven, we thank you for shedding more light on, on truth, Lord, because we know that when we know the truth. We can make better decisions and that gives us freedom. I mean, you've, you've told us that so many times in scripture.

So Lord, we pray for those who've been very badly affected by the actions of criminal negligence that have gone on within government and bureaucracies and corporate, uh, the corporate world. And father, we pray that people like, um, like our guests today and others will be uplifted, upheld and supported in their strive to get truth to the forefront.

We pray this in Jesus name. Amen.

Well, thank you for that. Maybe, uh, maybe next time, since you seem to be Christian, maybe next time we talk about Islam. Oh

yeah. That's a good one. I have

some, I have some interesting thoughts about Islam from someone who's taught on it for many years. Wow. That'd be interesting.

Um, that would be interesting. Yeah. Great. Great to have you on, uh, Rafa Taster. It's been brilliant. I'm sorry. We haven't had you on earlier. It's been very Not only entertaining, but enlightening. Uh, so we will be in contact with you and get you on back soon. I know our audience is going to want you back on.

And Johnny Lardy, you've often been heard to say. You just couldn't make this stuff up. You couldn't make this stuff up. Stay out of the truth.

Yeah, it's

so, it's so

strange. We're living in the matrix.

It is, it is indeed. And don't forget everyone, what you did yesterday got you to today. Don't let this get you down.

It's a beautiful day where I am. Get out in the sun. Make the most of it. We still live in a great country and when life hands you lemons, make lemonade. Thanks for watching us again and great interviews coming up. Uh, we know you're going to be excited, so looking forward to it. So it's bye from John, bye from Raph and bye from me.

See you next time on Club Grubbery. Bye for now.