

Club Grubbery - Raw transcript of interview:

2024-08-30 Graham and John speak with Joel Gilbert about the climate...

But, you know, we're in this position, John, because we stood up against vaccine mandates. Because the science just didn't gel with us. We, we were thinking at the time, um, you know, there's something about this that's not right. We did our risk benefit analysis, me as a Qantas captain, you as a paramedic. And we realized that we couldn't substantiate a decision to go ahead and go along with these mandates.

So we stood against it and we lost our jobs. We did it because the science couldn't back it up. And what we're talking about tonight is climate change. And our special guest tonight has probably been working in this area too, where he's realized that the science isn't backing up. The, the rash, uh, developments that are happening around the world related to climate change, the reckless renewables policies of governments, businesses that will go broke, uh, people that will not be able to move or, or travel around, and possibly mandates to lock people in when, when things get that bad.

But Let's get straight into it with our guest tonight, because Joel Gilbert is a filmmaker. He's a documentary filmmaker, and he has worked with Al Gore, who is said to be the doyen of climate change. Why? We don't know. But anyhow, we're going to discuss all that with our special guest today, Joel Gilbert.

It's great to have you on, Joel.

Great to be here from Los Angeles to join you in Australia. Look forward to the conversation tonight.

Yeah, it will be a great conversation because we are on the same platform. Uh, we have been running for some time over here, uh, special guests with expertise around nuclear energy and, uh, and the, the facet has become climate change.

Australia is drowning under the weight of solar panels and wind farms. It's destroying our agriculture. Businesses are going broke and it's not sustaining our supplies. We keep running out of electricity and we're paying more for it. And it's all based on the madness. of what appears to be the science around climate change.

So you've made a movie called, um, called The Climate According to Al, Al, Al Gore Al. Did I get that right?
Yeah, Al

Gore.

Climate

according to A. I. Al Gore.

Correct. Climate according to A. I. Al Gore. And we'll, uh, we might kick this off by showing the trailer.

We have a global emergency. An existential threat to human civilization on this planet as we know it.

Al Gore is the godfather of the worldwide climate change movement. He even won the Nobel Prize. When I was a student, I worked for Al Gore. But wait a minute, Al Gore is no scientist.

Well, this man's name was Roger Revelle, and that's the whole reason why I got interested in this.

Did Revelle even agree with the climate theories that Al Gore sold to the world?

I decided to dig deep and do the research. Dear Senator Gore, I would love to interview you about Revelle and learn more about your journey in climate science as well as your personal history. Gore didn't respond, so I decided to use AI technology to speak to AI Gore.

Well, hello again, Joel.

Senator Gore.

I'm thrilled to have a visit with one of my old student interns. Are you ready for some questions? Fire away!

What was it like to be a politician's son?

My dad cast a giant shadow over my life. I revered him and I feared him.

Why did you only get a C plus in Ravel's class at Harvard?

I invoke Roger Revelle's name.

Later on, I may have exaggerated my relationship with Roger Revelle.

How much did your pot smoking influence your climate alarmism?

I did smoke a lot of marijuana.

When I compare our plundered planet to Earth in the balance, it looks to me like you just rewrote this book.

Human beings must heal the relationship.

The key to human life is the relationship.

Between our civilization.

Between people.

And the ecological system.

And the resources

of

the Earth. Oof. Well, okay, you got me. I never expected anyone to put that all together.

Let's start with, what really is CO2?

This is a lot tougher discussion than I'd imagine.

Carbon dioxide is not a poison or a bad gas. Carbon dioxide is our friend. All my predictions of rising sea levels flooding coastal cities were a bust.

What do politicians really want?

Central planning and wealth redistribution.

Put climate change at the center of our domestic, national security, and foreign policy.

It's really all in a sentence. Salt on freedom and prosperity.

You have stolen my dreams, my childhood.

The sky is not falling. It's all a hoax that has turned into one big scam.

That doesn't spark people's interest, Joel. I don't know what will, because that is absolutely brilliant. John, maybe we should interview people through AI.

Well, well, I don't think we're far off, but I mean, most of these people that, uh, uh, voicing, uh, opinions out there and making, uh, Making noises. I mean, I think they're artificial anyway.

I mean, look at Biden. I mean, how could you believe anything that comes out of that dribblers mouth?

Exactly right. And I love the title, The Climate According to A. I. Al Gore. Because the real Al Gore has refused to come on and talk to you and be interviewed. But in actual fact, you're probably getting more to the truth by talking to the AI Al Gore than you would by the political Al Gore.

So far away, Joel, what sparked your interest in this particular genre of filmmaking as regards to the climate?

Well, it's interesting how several things came together. I was an intern, a student intern, I worked for Al Gore, when he was a conservative senator from the state of Tennessee. And he when I was a student in Washington, and being from Tennessee, I had a connection to somebody who knew him and thought it might be interesting to work in his office, which I did for several months.

So I kind of had an experience getting to know him when he was a U. S. senator. And, uh, the, the, the source of this film really starts in Australia. It's very interesting because I think one of your greatest politicians, who I hope will be the prime minister there one day, is, Uh, libertarian parties, John Ruddock and John had reached out to me on Twitter a few months prior to when I got my interest going.

And he had shared a video of himself in the New South Wales parliament, uh, condemning the climate change policies of the government and even attacking Al Gore. So I happened to message him back and said, Hey, great speech. You know, I used to work for Al Gore. And that's when, uh, John Ruddock, uh, shared with me some articles he wrote about Al Gore and climate change.

And he was quite a critic. And he suggested because I knew Al Gore at one point that maybe I'd be a good person to, uh, make a film about climate change. So that's what got me interested. Wow. And I found out, uh, uh, John Ruddock had written extensively saying that, uh, Gore had based his climate science. On his Harvard professor, Roger Revelle, and I looked up Roger Revelle, and it happened to be that his papers were stored at the University of California, San Diego, not far from where I live in Los Angeles.

So I decided to go down and look at his papers. And it turned out that his Harvard professor did not agree with Gore at all. So that's what got me started.

So his mentor didn't agree with him. So what do you think's driving all this? I mean, we've, we've all got our own conclusions, but why do you think, why do you think this has the power that it has behind Al Gore, who has no real climate knowledge?

Well, it started, that's why I decided to look at the life of Al Gore, because he was the godfather of the climate movement. And to really understand how the climate movement started and where it came from, and to see if it was based on any real science. So I looked at Al Gore's life history. That's what we go into with in the film, and we look at how he was trying as a politician to establish certain, uh, issues that would allow him to kind

of, um, justify him being a US senator and allow him to, uh, gain enough followers that he might run for president.

We look at how his father, Al Gore Sr., uh, kind of groomed him from a very young age to become president, to be a politician. So Gore started out, uh, getting into arms control. He learned about arms control from Leon Firth, was an assistant that I actually worked with in Gore's office, and he became kind of this defense hawk, which was very popular in the 1980s.

And then Gore shifted to climate science, I think, because he was looking to, uh, promote himself, and he wanted to find an issue that he thought, you Democrat voters would rally around. So he wrote this. Uh, you know, he kind of started it off in 1992. He wrote this manifesto really kind of an apocalyptic environmental manifesto called *Earth in the Balance* where he laid out this apocalyptic view of the world and how, you know, civilization has to base everything on, uh, preserving the environment.

It was a very radical book at the time. And, uh, Democrats eventually grew on this subject, and it culminated with Gore's movie, *An Inconvenient Truth*, in 2006. And after that, it just penetrated all of our, our science and politics and education. So that's what the movie does. It really looks like, where did this stuff come from?

And was it based on any real science? And what I discover is that Gore's book, *Earth in the Balance*, it was not based on any science. I discovered that his source, Roger Revelle, his Harvard professor, turns out, didn't agree with anything that Gore was saying. But I realized, uh, I looked at Gore's attendance at Divinity School in 1971.

Al Gore had gone to Vietnam, he was there only for four months, and he got out of Vietnam War by applying to Divinity School. It was kind of a draft dodger's haven. And that's where I found that he studied with these, uh, radical environmental professors. And one of the books that he read, and I actually read also, was called *Our Plundered Planet*.

It was an apocalyptic book written in the 40s that was very, very popular. And it was part of the reading curriculum at Divinity School. And I found out from reading it that Gore basically plagiarized and copied this book, this radical book, to come up with his environmental policies. And Gore could not tell us that in 1992.

He could not say, I plagiarized a crazy book from the 40s and I got all this stuff from Divinity School. He Told us that it was from his mainstream Harvard professor that he got his environmental apocalyptic views from so that's what I debunk in the film it's a great deal of research and you learn how Gore came up with this stuff and how Politicians worldwide adopted this climate science, even though there was no basis in actual science

Does that ring true with so many other things going on around the world at the moment?

I mean, it just seems like Al Gore is stuck in this rut because he wants to prove himself to his dead father. Um, and he's trying to make something of himself, but there's so much of this rings true with this narrative and so many others we've had.

Well, I think the, uh, certain groups and certain interests have, uh, glommed on to climate science to achieve their political goals.

Uh, the political goals have a lot to do with getting rid of free markets and taking control away from, uh, from people and giving it more and more to the governments through this climate scare, climate emergency, uh, theory, uh, you know, it's not really that important. acceptable these days or even some years ago to say you're a communist and you want to have Marxism and communism.

So, uh, the, the whole socialist communist movement kind of morphed into the climate movement. It's the same people with the same goals. They want to take away Uh, the rights of people to to live their own lives and give it to the government and grow government even more. And they want to enforce these principles that no one would ever vote for.

So I think that's what we're seeing worldwide is governments have realized they can take power and have an easier path to power if they say, Oh, we're just trying to save the environment. We're in the interest of having clean air and clean water. We want to save the world. Everybody could die from too much carbon.

So give us your money. Give us your jobs. Give us your housing and do what we say. It's turned into a political movement with goals that are very different from helping anybody. Oh, wow. Johnny.

Yeah. Hey, Joel. Um. What you've been able to achieve here is a real masterclass in my opinion. I mean, Aussies are going to love this film because, I mean, excuse the language, but they love a piss take.

Uh, they are going to absolutely love the fact that there's, there's humour in this. Uh, you're taking the mickey out of, out of, out of what's going on, but there's a, you're backing it up, uh, with facts and research and, The underlying, uh, BS that we've been sold on this by these grubs, uh, over many, many years, uh, will really resonate with Aussies.

I've got no doubt about that. Um, and we've seen that with Monkeypox, haven't we? I mean, uh, there was all this health emergency and, and all these people at the WHO claiming, oh, this is the biggest emergency. Monkeypox Empo. Everyone then started mocking it and, uh, and, and now it's not an emergency all of a sudden, do you think this will really open people's eyes?

Those people that are on the fence? Uh, this is a really, in my opinion, just a good way to, to, to get into these people's houses.

Well, look, there is a massive worldwide movement of billions and trillions of dollars that supports this climate science narrative. So it's gotten harder and harder to penetrate that.

Uh, they, the people that make the money off of this and the politicians have managed to Smear people with terms like climate deniers, you know, you must be crazy if you don't agree with us So it's become harder and harder to oppose this stuff I think this is why the movie is so interesting and helpful.

Uh, one thing I learned I didn't know too much about climate science until you know, John Ruddock got me going on it Uh, and you could ask the average person they would have no idea about carbon and carbon in the atmosphere But that's the the basic theory which I debunk in this film You of climate science and the climate scare.

is that there's too much carbon in the air and too much carbon will be trapped and it will raise temperatures and cause the oceans to rise from melting ice caps. The entire science is total nonsense. It turns out that the amount of carbon in the atmosphere is only one third of one percent. Ninety five percent of the water of the atmosphere is water vapor.

It's clouds and water and temperatures are actually affected by the tilt of the earth, volcanoes, clouds, you name it, ocean, tides, carbon doesn't affect anything. It also, I learned that carbon dioxide, it's not a bad gas, it's not a poison. People confuse it with carbon monoxide. Carbon dioxide, we breathe out every time we breathe.

And carbon dioxide is actually plant food. All the trees and green grasses and everything in the world crops grow taller and more robust the more carbon dioxide they have. So there's a scientist in my film named Sherwood Idso. He did an experiment in the 70s and 80s. where he grew sour orange trees for three or four years and exposed them to higher levels of carbon dioxide.

And they grew three times higher and gave three times more fruit and biomass than sour orange trees, given the regular carbon dioxide. So he explained, he even went to Congress and explained to Al Gore in a hearing, he said, the more CO2 we create, the more plant life and plant growth we have. It's all going to be absorbed.

It doesn't do anything. It's not a bad gas. And Gore accused him of being a tool of the oil industry, you know? So, uh, you learn from the film a lot about the basis of climate science, and you'll see Al Gore attacking people that don't agree with his book in 1992. I actually had an argument with him when I worked for him as a student.

And I never really understood his arguing with me until I made this film. And I'll tell you one of the stories real quick is he met with the interns one day and one girl asked him about terrorism in the Middle East. What can the United States do to stop terrorism? And he went on for about 10 minutes about negotiating.

You got to negotiate everything. And when he was done, I said, No, no, Senator Gore. I think what she's asking is what should the policy be of the United States to deter terrorism so there wouldn't be terrorism? That's what she's asking. What's our policy? And he turned it over to me, and he said, What would you do?

Just bomb everybody like he yelled at me like I couldn't believe his response. So I was so shocked. I just said Well, Senator Gore, I don't know what I would do, but when I'm a U. S. Senator one day, I'll have a policy. And everybody was shocked when I said that. I was shocked. I felt really bad, because you're not really supposed to talk back to him.

So it was quiet for like 30 seconds, and somebody finally said something else. But, um, I understood when I made this film, when people disagreed with Al Gore, or questioned what he said, he would, he would attack you and get in your face. And that's what he did to climate skeptics. He'll, he attacks them without any basis in fact.

Well, we're told we've had a lot of experts on our program talking about climate change and we've been told that CO2 levels in the atmosphere are at dangerously low levels. In fact, they have to be kept at a certain level to maintain life on the planet. We're getting too close to the baseline in that.

It is historically low, uh, they're able to take measurements, you know, for hundreds of thousands of years by looking at ice core samples. And they said this is relatively low. And there are lots of scientists like Sherwood Itso, Fred Singer, that were saying in the 80s and 90s, Look, uh, we need more CO2, we'll have more plant life, the deserts will bloom, we'll have more crops, we can feed more people.

Yet, Al Gore promoted this theory that carbon is some kind of dangerous gas. And, uh, we have to spend billions and trillions of dollars to get people to limit it, even giving up food and farms and jobs. It's just, it's complete insanity, but they have, uh, scientists worldwide are basically being paid to agree with this theory.

And uh, it's just taken on a life of its own. And uh, people who are skeptics are, are badly criticized.

This is like the pharmaceutical industry, John.

How do you think they're going to react to your, uh, to your wonderful use of AI? I mean, you've, you've been able to sort of turn this around on them. Uh, you know, we we've been, uh, experiencing it here in Australia where.

Uh, we're, we're getting, uh, bills in parliament to stop, uh, misinformation or so called misinformation. I mean, all the lies are coming from the opposition. Uh, the people like Al Gore, the people, uh, that are in power in Australia, um, It's, it's really ironic, isn't it? That you've now used this against them.

Um,

well, the way it came about was, uh, I actually, in the trailer, you saw, I sent an email to Al Gore. I really did send him an email and I thought he would respond cause he should have remembered me. Actually, believe it

or not, I ran into him about 10 years ago at the Nashville, Tennessee airport. He was on a Southwest flight that I was on flying to LA and he was standing right next to me in line.

I said, Senator Gore, how you doing? And I'd forgotten that he was vice president since then. And he recognized me and we had a nice talk. We sat together on the plane. So I thought he would recognize my email. I did not get a response. So that's when I said to myself, well, I'd like to interview him anyway.

And why don't I use AI technology? Because they they can create videos of people. What I did is I uploaded an interview that Gore did, uh, and then I created, they also have websites and companies that can take a sample of an audio of someone's voice, like a three minute sample, and then it'll recreate everything that you, you can copy and paste text, and it'll say whatever.

whatever you tell it to say. So I said I could put together a great interview with Al Gore, but I had the advantage of getting him to be honest. And I read, uh, at least six full biographies of Al Gore, of his whole life story. I read hundreds of articles, hundreds of interviews, and I think I put together an honest, truthful narration that, were Al Gore going to tell the truth about his whole life history, uh, this is what you get through the use of AI.

Wow, which is just taking all the facts from historical documents and, uh, writings, video clips, all that sort of stuff, interviews, and putting it all together in a package that's not filtered through a political sense.

Well that, and in addition to that, as I mentioned, I went to the University of California, San Diego Special Collections Library, and I went through, uh, hundreds of articles and folders of Al Gore's professor at Harvard, and I found out that everything that Gore said about getting his climate science from Roger Revelle wasn't true.

It turns out, by the way, Gore never says the name of the class he took with Roger Revelle at Harvard. He only had him for one semester and the class was about world population, population growth. In the 60s there was a big concern that the world population was expanding so much that there might not be enough food supply.

to keep up with the population. That was a big concern. So that's what his class was about. It had nothing to do with climate. And I got a hold of the, in the files, it had the lecture notes, the lectures, the reading list, and the final exam of the course that Gore took. Nothing about climate, nothing. So Gore completely lied about his relationship with Roger Avell.

I got Gore's transcript. He only got a C plus, you know, in his class. Gore got C's and D's in science throughout Harvard. And he's no climate scientist. And I think, uh, Gore lied to everybody, uh, when he wrote this book, Earth in the Balance, he couldn't say, I, I learned this at Divinity School for some radical environmental professors, I, you know, he couldn't say, I, I copied, I plagiarized a book from the 40s.

People would have laughed at his climate science. So he, he said, Oh, I got it from my Harvard professor. And that Harvard professor again and again, refuted what Gore was saying. And most people don't know that. Uh, so there's a lot of original research, uh, to back up the facts in the film.

Joel, in that research about Ravel, was he sounding any alarms back then about, uh, about anything that you think is closely parallel to what Gore was on about?

Well, what Roger Avell did is, in the 60s, in the 50s, back in the 50s, he started asking some interesting questions. He said, wow, since the Industrial Revolution in the 1850s, there's been a lot more carbon dioxide that's been put into the atmosphere. What happened to it? So he started taking measurements in Hawaii and at the South Pole, and he found that there was a couple percentage points higher of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere that had been pumped into it.

But then he would answer his question. He'd say, Well, where is all that carbon dioxide? And he said, Well, most of it has been absorbed by the oceans and by the plants. It's not having any effect. We could double the amount of carbon dioxide. It would have no effect on the weather or the temperature. So he's a professor that asked questions and took measurements, but it was a Gore Al Gore that took his science and politicized it into an alarmist view of the world in this apocalyptic climate emergency that Ravel had nothing to do with and never even agreed with.

Uh, Ravel in the later years of his life, even wrote an article called look before you leap. Emphasizing over and over do not believe Al Gore and his climate science, uh, but Al Gore insisted on this apocalyptic view of the world and a lot of people with political agendas bought into it for their political goals, not because they're going to help the climate by Cutting carbon emissions.

Wow. And all of that costs that research you've done and the fact that, um, that Gore has actually been lying to promote himself, it really puts an oil slick over the Nobel prize, doesn't it?

Oh, absolutely. Gore absolutely lied about the source of his, this is main manifesto. This is where it all started.

Earth in the balance, 1992. He says we need a global Marshall Plan and, uh, you know, apocalyptic visions of this climate emergency, the whole world and global governance. It's this crazy stuff that I think he got at Divinity School from his radical professors. And he absolutely continued to lie when he got the Nobel Prize.

He mentioned in his speech, my professor Roger Avell, when he made his movie An Inconvenient Truth, he spends three minutes. Talking about Roger Revelle because he wants people to think that there's this mainstream Harvard professor And I just took his science and told you the news It's a total lie Ravel, uh, you know, Gore hardly went to class.

He got a C plus in his class. There was nothing in Roger Revelle's class about climate science. And Revelle disagreed repeatedly for years about what Gore was saying. So it is all based on essentially a hoax of, of Al Gore because Gore wanted to be president and he needed issues. He started with the, uh, uh, being an arms control expert with Leon Firth, who I worked with.

He's the one that educated him and taught him about arms control and gore put forth arms control proposals during the Reagan administration because he and that gained him this kind of aura as a defense hawk and Reagan completely ignored him. So that's when he moved on to climate science. He said he needed another issue and that There was great concern over the environment in those years.

There was the Exxon Valdez, a tanker had had spilled, uh, oil all over. There were, uh, you know, medical waste washing up on the beach in New Jersey, the, the Chernobyl disaster. So the public was concerned about the environment. They felt that maybe the Reagan administration wasn't very sympathetic. So for Bore, it was a political issue that he thought could pave his way to the White House.

He could be the leader on this. But he did it out of, uh, no science at all. He just simply took his divinity school teachings. He took a book from the forties and rewrote it. And he sold a, uh, uh, you know, he sold a story to the world. That wasn't true.

Well, our prime minister, uh, better known as Airbus Alba, uh, would, uh, would certainly have pictures of Al Gore on his, uh, prime ministerial, uh, wing.

What would the AI version of Al Gore think about luxury jet travel?

Look, Al Gore is full of hypocrisy. All these guys are. You know, Gore, he's got all these different cars. And I think his house was using enough electricity in one month for most homes for a year. But to his credit, he did fly Southwest Airlines.

And that's when I ran into him at the, you know, at the Nashville airport. It's kind of the, uh, the bottom of the barrel cheap. Airline. He wasn't flying a private jet or anything. But, uh, you know, Al Gore. I got him to tell the truth. So it's a really fun movie. It's entertaining. It's funny. But you go through the whole history of Al Gore growing up in Washington.

He was a creature of Washington. His father was a U. S. Senator. He went to St. Albans, this, uh, kind of this British boarding school where all the elite, uh, children of politicians went to school in Washington. He married a girl who lived in Washington, a creature of Washington like himself. And uh, you know, he was heavily involved in his father's senatorial career.

He was very anti Vietnam against the war. And in the film, you learn that Gore agreed to volunteer for Vietnam only to help his father in his U. S. Senate race. So it would look like the gores are good americans doing their duty Uh, so gore goes to vietnam and immediately gets out by applying to divinity school And we trace his history working at the tennessean newspaper Becoming a u.

s. Congressman u. s. Senator Running for president twice, 1988, you remember? And he ran for president in 2000 against George Bush. Interestingly, in that campaign, he didn't say one word about the environment when he gave his speech at the Democrat National Convention in 2000, nothing about the environment, because he knew it was an issue by then that And it was only in 2006 that he came back and was doing this climate lecture and was offered to make a movie about it.

He wins the Nobel Prize and then pretty much nobody cares about him anymore because the movement took on these political goals that other people had bigger interests in. interest in. Uh, but Gore is still kind of the messiah of the climate movement. He's the one that founded it, he's the godfather, and I think this is the only film that traces back the history of the climate movement, and we find out it was based on all of nothing.

A lot of the, um, a lot of the elites who are causing all the problems in the world seem to have been trained at Jesuit universities and schools, and there's, there is a thread through that. Have you been able to research, uh, who's behind the Divinity School that he attended?

Well, the Vanderbilt Divinity School was known as a draft dodger's haven.

If you wanted to get out of going to Vietnam in the first place, you would go to Divinity School, and you could be exempted from going to the, going to the war. So it attracted, as a lot of these universities did, some very radical, uh, professors. And so he had professors like Eugene Tissell, who wrote several books on this, you know, relationship between the earth, and religion.

And it was a whole apocalyptic vision of the world. They believed that the world was pristine. The world was perfect until man came along and man was ruining the earth. Man is ruining everything by chopping down trees and using the water. And we have to revere the earth. And, uh, get essentially get rid of and diminish man's use of the earth.

Uh, so that was their philosophy that humanity was ruining earth and humanity had to be contained. They had to get rid of technology and live without technology because the earth has to be, uh, worshiped as this, uh, you know, uh, almost like a deity.

Unbelievable. And yet we see we see in the attempts to allow the world to to heal itself.

We have weather modification programs going on. We've got a whole raft of other issues that are going on around the world. We're destroying, um, we're destroying forests. We've seen a loss of thousands of hectares of beautiful rainforest in Queensland to create roads and power lines into wind turbines.

The whole thing, the whole thing just seems to be man trying to play God instead of just letting the planet heal itself. Um, I mean, it's just, it's just crazy nuts, isn't it?

Well look, the, the climate movement has taken on the characteristics of a religion, and that's why people who don't believe in it are considered as heretics.

And you deserve to be punished 'cause you don't agree. And all religions, uh, have a devil have an evil person. The evil people, you know, if you, if you don't agree in the climate, religion, you can get salvation. Only you're, you're inherently evil because you're human and you're ruining and you're using the resource of the earth.

So you're. you're evil, but you can get salvation through sustainability. So if you buy an electric car, you become good. You, you have redeemed yourself because you own an electric car. These are some of the ideas behind the climate religion, uh, that are so, uh, intractable and so, uh, you know, uh, uncompromising.

Uh, so It is a type of religion, and you see people who will, uh, condemn anyone who doesn't agree with their point of view, and that's what's most disturbing.

And this is what's going on now in the pharmaceutical industry with vaccines and, and the, uh, the COVID mess that we've experienced over the last four years.

Can you see yourself doing an AI film in relation to the COVID dramas?

Uh, maybe there's so much information out there about it. Uh, I think the climate movement worldwide, the billions of dollars every government is now spending and setting aside, changing their food supply. Uh, look, the, uh, the U. S.

election, the Democrats constantly talking about, uh, Climate change policies. Of course, Kamala Harris is bragging about the Inflation Reduction Act, which is a climate bill. They gave it a different name to make it sound more tenable. But in Europe and Australia, especially the climate policies are 10 times more.

Uh, you know, radical than they are in the United States, where this where this policy started from Al Gore. So I do. I am concerned. It's going to come here even more and that the climate science Will be used to take away more and more rights, uh, to make life more and more difficult under the guise of saving the world.

Uh, you know, you saw in the trailer, Al Gore said, we have a climate emergency. Well, if that's true, I guess I have to stop eating certain types of food. I, I can't, how can you argue with trying to save the world?

Do you think this, this film will have any bearing on the outcome of the US election? Because I think it's, uh, it's hard hitting.

I think everything can have an impact. Uh, we're actually planning on coming to Australia. Uh, John Ruddock, uh, Honorable John Ruddock has invited me out there to do some screenings, including at the federal parliament in Canberra, New South Wales parliament. And we're putting together some private screenings around the country, so that'll be in October.

So, certainly the publicity over it can only help. The more, kind of, you get the word out that somebody is doubting the official narrative, people do start to pay attention. Uh, so I think in the United States, people are very, a little bit wary of this whole climate thing. Like, why did they just spend all these billions of dollars and call it something else, the Inflation Reduction Act?

We hear rumblings in Europe and Netherlands how they're there. They won't let you grow certain crops. You can't eat meat There's all these new restrictions coming. I think Americans are adverse to the government telling them what to do, what to eat and how to live. And the climate agenda certainly calls for all of that.

So I think

it can have an impact. It's amazing. We were heavily involved in, uh, in helping to promote, uh, a movie that was made by Australian film producer, Kim Staten. Uh, it was the trustful Julian Assange and it was an expose on what happened to Julian Assange. And And we've got to be honest and say that, um, as a result of that movie going to, going to screens all over the world, within weeks Julian Assange was released.

So the, the pressure that can be mounted by documentary filmmakers like yourself on changing the climate, excuse the pun, of our freedom, Uh, can't be understated and um, so I'm really glad that you're coming out to Australia to do that. I'm really grateful to uh, John Ruddock, he's a friend of ours, we're, we're glad that he brought, he's bringing you out here.

Tell us about your distribution plan, how, how far do you see this going and what plans have you got to get this into as many homes or on as many screens as possible?

Well, I've had a distributor for, uh, you know, 23 years now. I've made a number of films on, uh, music history, including on Bob Dylan, uh, Paul McCartney and Elvis Presley early on.

Then I made a great number of political documentaries. I'm pretty well known for one on Barack Obama. Called Dreams From My Real Father, where I looked at Obama's background, and it turned out, I think I proved that the Kenyan student was not his biological father, and it was probably the man who raised him in Hawaii by his own admission, was a Communist Party radical out of Chicago named Frank Marshall Davis.

That movie was seen by everybody and had a very big impact back in 2012. I've made films on Michelle Obama, Uh kind of more like a comedy trump the art of the insult Uh made a film on michelle obama. So i've made a number of films and they're distributed, uh, pretty much on every Uh digital network you can think of from youtube movies to amazon prime video roku Every app you can think of and then the physical dvd will be in stores everywhere.

We will be having some more screenings in the U. S. Now in Australia, it's going to be about maybe eight to ten promotional screenings around the country, and the U. S. will have some promotional screenings. Most documentaries, except for Michael Moore, you don't really get a full You know, 2000 screen type of distribution.

So I do expect an initial, uh, theatrical, uh, run of maybe, you know, a couple of days only in select cities. And that helps to promote and advertise the fact that the film is available at the touch of a button on your computer. You can live stream it on your TV or the internet. Well,

the trustful Julian Assange went gangbusters in Australia and other parts of the world too.

Uh, Joel, because, um, some of the big movie houses agreed to screen it, uh, for one or two sessions and they just went 10, 12, 14 sessions. It just about screened in every major movie house around the country to packed houses. And uh, I think that the interest of people in, around the world and in particular Australia at the moment is gearing up more.

Towards we want to know what's going on. We want, we want good investigative journalism that's entertaining. So that keeps our interests and make sure that we understand what's really going on because there's too many of us with our heads in the sand and. I think what you're doing is amazing.

Well, it's not only people's heads in the sand, but you have an orchestrated effort by the government, social media companies to ostracize and condemn anyone that doesn't agree.

You know, there's something wrong with you. Uh, we're going to, you're going to lose your job. There's, there's so much pressure to conform to the, uh, you know, the government view of the world. And that's not a type of government that I grew up with in the United States. Um, and it's not something people really want.

So. This is a phenomena, uh, I think it started with In the United States with Barack Obama in 2008, you know, he ran as a mainstream candidate. He said, I'm going to obey the constitution. I'm a man in, uh, you know, marriages between a man and a woman and God. He ran mainstream. And then when he got elected, he threw the voters under the bus and pursued this radical agenda.

That I had identified, uh, that he lived his whole life out of Chicago and based on his radicalization in Hawaii through, uh, his, his, uh, what I think was his real father, uh, and, uh, the Democrat Party pretty much adopted Obama's, uh, Chicago socialism. Uh, and, uh, since then, that's what this kind of socialist idea of a government mentality does.

They kind of tell you one thing, and then they, they ram something down your throat that you never voted for. And, uh, the climate policies are just classic for that type of thing, where they don't really tell you everything they want to accomplish, but I think they're using climate science, uh, for political goals.

Well, uh, look, uh, I think based on that, I mean, we can only hope I really do believe that, uh, unless Trump gets elected, we're in real trouble. I mean, these people, uh, uh, Kamala Harris and these people over in the U S I mean, it sets the agenda for the entire world. I mean, we, we are in desperate times if, uh, if those people get elected.

Well, it's going to be, uh, interesting, but at the very least, uh, look, Kamala Harris. Has no appeal. She's not appealing. She's not personally appealing. She doesn't talk well. She's not a good politician. Her policies are unappealing. Her vice presidential selection has no appeal whatsoever. No pop culture appeal, nothing.

So there is traditionally there's no reason people would vote for them whatsoever. Obama had terrific charisma and appeal. You could kind of understand why people would vote for him. But Kamala Harris and her running mate have no appeal whatsoever. They're complete duds, D U D S, they're duds. So, uh, I think, you know, if Trump wins, we have a real chance to, uh, turn around a lot of this stuff.

I think he learned his lessons from the prior administration where he kind of, you know, got worried about what the media would say, the, the, the Mueller investigation, they made a big effort to manipulate and contain him. I think he's not going to have those same constraints in a second term. On the other hand, you know, if Kamala Harris wins, uh, I, I will believe that, uh, it was most likely manipulated because of this crazy voting system.

The Democrats have established over several years with this mail in voting. You vote for three months here in california. They send ballots to everyone who ever registered to vote in the last 20 years They're sending out 22 million ballots by mail And they're going to stack up at all these apartment buildings all over the state And somebody's going to scoop them up and fill them out and put them in these drop boxes that are on every corner And there's no way to know who filled them out who put it in the drop box And we have this system now in many states, uh, pennsylvania colorado and others You Where they just send out millions of ballots and anybody can throw them into a drop box.

So there's great concern that there might not be a fair election because of this mail in voting, early voting, uh, nonsense that the Democrats have managed to impose around the country.

It appears through the mainstream media in the U. S. that they're already declaring virtually a Harris win. Um, just judging by the mood of the people in the states at the moment, uh, Joel, how do you see it playing out if Harris does win?

Do you think, do you think it'll bring America to the brink?

Look, I was watching the election returns when it was Trump against Biden and it was about 10 p. m And Trump was winning in every state by substantial margins It was gonna be a landslide and I said, well, of course, you know Trump had a very successful presidency except for the kovat stuff and he achieved an incredible

economy and people You know what he did for African American community what he did for everybody was very happy under Trump years And then they said, Okay, we're gonna stop counting the votes in about six swing states.

And I waited till about three in the morning, and they started counting the votes again. And Biden got all the rest of the votes and overtook Trump. And at that time, I said, That's the end of politics in the United States. That's the end of politics if they can do that. And since that time, of course, it came out of the massive manipulation through the Court it rules, states changing the voting rules without the Proper, uh, going through the proper avenues, Mark Zuckerberg giving 500 million to swing counties to bring out Democrats, you name it.

So if Kamala Harris wins, I think it's going to be every man for himself. There will be no more politics because there's no, I just know that there's no, you can't talk to anybody or find anybody that finds her appealing, that likes her policies that even knows who she is. So the only way I think she gets elected is through this, you know, it's not that difficult.

They got about seven swing states that'll decide the election and all you need to control is one county in every swing state to give your guy an extra 100,000 votes or 200,000. And that may be what happens. So, uh, we're going to know in a couple of months if the United States has been permanently compromised by a, uh, you know, a leftist revolutionary cabal since 2020, or if we the people still control our own fate.

Wow. What do you make of the alliance between Kennedy, Robert Kennedy and Trump?

Well, Robert Kennedy is actually in my movie because I got him on tape. saying that anyone who doesn't agree with his climate science should go to jail and be punished, you know, and be put in jail with war criminals. So I have been very suspicious about Robert Kennedy in terms of his, his politics.

He's a little bit all over the place. He's had a very checkered, you know, life story. Uh, But politically, I would say that, uh, look, uh, RFK Jr. Got treated very poorly by the Biden administration. They refused to give him secret service protection, even though his father and uncle had been murdered in political, uh, assassinations.

They refused to let him participate in the primary system. They went to court and kicked him out of everywhere. So he was treated, uh, very poorly. And I think he correctly in his speech, Talked about how the Democrat Party's become this kind of totalitarian, uh, party obsessed with power and had abandoned the principles of his father and his uncle.

So those were very powerful words, and his joining Team Trump, uh, can only help spread that message that the Democrats are, are not a, uh, a democratic party, ironically. And that that can only help. But I do remain suspicious of RFK Jr because of some of these things that he's done, like demanding that you and I, if we're skeptical, uh, you know, of climate science that we have to go to jail.

So that's that's pretty extreme.

Yeah, well, there seems to be a lot of that going on. Final question to you, Chuck.

What's happened to Greta? Where's she?

Greta Thunberg. Uh, you know, she's you saw her in the trailer. She's in the movie a little bit. Oh, she's a completely, um, bought into radical politics. She's talked openly now about being against capitalism, uh, and for socialism.

So, uh, I think she's someone who was used by her parents as a, as a young teenager, who I think she has autism to kind of, uh, be the leader. You know, of the climate movement. They like to use children, uh, people

who are vulnerable to let them know and scare them. You're going to die. The sky is falling. So she was a very useful tool for them for, for many years.

And she continues to be, and she's openly, uh, anti capitalist now, not only anti climate.

Hmm. Do you know,

I'm, I'm envisaging, I am envisaging a panel discussion on this program with yourself and John Ruddock and professor Ian Plymer. He's very active in, in, uh, in, in trying to debunk the climate science that's changing the world.

I wonder if you'd be interested in doing that with us in the not too distant future.

Yeah, I'd love to do it. In the meantime, anybody can go and read more about the film and link up to some of the venues in Australia. Uh, and even pre order the DVD if you go to climatealgore.com, climatealgore.com. That's the official website, climatealgore.com.

com.

Well, that'd be great. I think, uh, I think this is an amazing initiative. Have you got anything else in the pipeline?

Uh, I do have, uh, another film I started working on, but I don't really discuss them till they, till they come out. I'm going to really focus on climate. Getting people to watch this movie because you no one really goes back and says, Well, where did this climate science come from?

Who who started it? And what was it based on? And I think I successfully show you That it all came from Al Gore, from his lies about his source of his climate science, and from his wanting to promote himself as a politician, and how, uh, worldwide political groups decided to pick up on it, uh, for their own political goals.

And, uh, that's something that no one has really looked at, and through the process you learn about what is happening. CO2. What is it? CO2 is our friend. It's not a bad gas, and we need more of it. Uh, the whole greenhouse theory is total nonsense, and I think we just need more people to know more about where climate science comes from to understand what they're trying to impose on us with these climate policies.

Well, I'm sorry, go

on, John.

Well, I was just going to say, I think they've got to get this into schools. This should be in the curriculum for education departments. Uh, and it's, it's, it's magnificent what you've done. And I, and I love the fact that, uh, John Ruddock, um, he's, uh, he's been absolutely magnificent in relation to.

Pursuing this nonsense, um, him and, uh, people like Professor Ian Plymer and even Alan Jones, uh, that they have been really outspoken in Australia and letting people know about it. And I think that connection, uh, to you, Joel is, is really, really good.

Yeah, I agree. I know John Ruddock, I think, is a brilliant politician.

I don't understand why you Aussies don't make him prime minister. I think you should. And there would be a lot more, uh, prosperity in Australia. Maybe similar to what went on in Argentina with the new president there. So, uh, John Ruddock absolutely understands these policies, and he's, I think he's doing a great job articulating them in Australia.

And by bringing this film to show it around Australia, I think that'll highlight the issue, and get a lot more Australians involved in understanding what this climate science really is about, where it came from, and why it serves nobody's interests.

Well, that's great. And I'm even seeing Malcolm Roberts on this panel and even Gigi Foster, Professor Gigi Foster.

I think we could, uh, we could really push this along, Joel. And, uh, I just want to thank you so much for your time. And, uh, as always, uh, our viewers are accustomed to us closing with a prayer. So if you don't mind, we'll just do that now. Lord, father in heaven, all we want is the truth. And we know that when we bathe in the light of truth, we are set free.

And Lord Joel Gilbert is doing something to expound on the truth. That is the lie around climate change. And we just pray that as a result of this, we will just allow you to heal the planet and let us get on with living our lives in accordance with your will. For this is our prayer in your precious name, Lord.

Amen. Amen. Thank you so much. The movie is The Climate According to A. I. Al Gore. It's brilliant, it's funny, uh, and it's scary all at the same time. And it puts everything into perspective and we wish you all the best. And we thank you for joining us and we'll be in touch about a panel program with you.

For sure.

Excellent. Okay, remember climatealgore.com

Climatealgore.com and everyone will be going to that. We'll put the link up. And Johnny, you've often been heard to say, you just couldn't make this stuff up. You couldn't make this stuff up. Stay out of the trees, everyone. And don't forget what you did yesterday got you to today.

Be kind to each other, be compassionate. We live in a great country and that's because you live in it. God bless you all. And bye now from Club Grubbery and we'll see you very soon. Bye for

now.