## 2024-05-10 - Graham and John discuss the implications of Astra Zeneca being withdrawn around the world...

Well, hi everyone on Friday, the 10th of May, 2024 and man, oh man, what a few days it's been. Uh, I've been absent without leave, Johnny, I apologize for that. I've had a very bad throat, but I'm on the men and just in time because tonight we've got a great interview happening with two fine Australians. What do you reckon?

Well. Definitely two of the most upstanding citizens that, uh, this country's ever produced. But, uh, who knows what he, you might've had, uh, the new variant, flirt. Flirt? It's apparently the new variant. Flirt. What's that, John? I don't know. We'll have to ask Kerry. I see the governor of Wisconsin, the notorious governor of Wisconsin is, I think it's Wisconsin, has come out now and announced a national emergency.

On behalf of avian bird flu and she's now calling for everything to be pulled out again and for everyone to go back into lockdowns and mandates. I think she started out a bit too early. They haven't got all the misinformation bills and everything sorted out yet. Um, anyhow, let's get into this sharing screen with us today.

Uh, two of our regulars, we have the, um, the member, the independent now member for Monash in the federal parliament, uh, the honorable Russell Broadbent. Great to have you back on with us, Russell. Thanks, Graham. And, uh, of course, we have the head of AFL Solicitors and, um, the man at the front of a lot of the legal stouses going on in the, in the fight to get people's jobs back, Tony Nikolic.

Great to have you on. Great. Thanks for having us, guys. All right. So by now, all of you are aware that AstraZeneca has pulled, has been ordered to pull its product globally. Now, the social media platforms around the world are on fire today as a result of this. Because millions upon millions of people are vetting their spleen that they were conned into taking this vaccine because it was safe and effective.

And now they know that they've lost loved ones and they're at risk. Because they had it and they're furious and it puts everything in jeopardy as far as Big Pharma's concerned and so it should. The world is waking up. We had, uh, former Health Minister Greg Hunt on, uh, Peter Credlin's show, I believe it was last night, John.

And he was defending the federal government's actions, especially regarding lockdowns and mandates. He said the states had gone rogue, was my interpretation of what he said. Um, so you can see the rats are deserting the sinking ship. The truth is coming out. A lot of people are now starting to make excuses, and now is not the time to back away from this argument.

So, uh, it's with great pleasure that we bring two solid constituents of our nation into this fight. People who are fighting for the truth, and fighting to keep the Mongols honest, and that's what we're all about. Tony Nikolic, you've probably been across a lot of what's going on, as far as AstraZeneca's concerned.

What's your take on what's happening at the moment? I think what we're seeing now is what we actually knew from the start. It's just, um, I wrote an article. I think it was early last year or mid last year where I spoke of truth is knowledge held back by power. Um, and that's exactly what we've been saying.

So essentially it's a metaphor to say that, you know, this gatekeepers and those gatekeepers have been withholding the truth. Um, when I say withholding it. Are they doing it intentionally? This is, this is what we've been looking at, but we've actually known for quite some time. I think our letter to Brad Hazard in early 221, um, obviously some of the cases we ran, um, through the courts, obviously people laughed at us, but, um, it wasn't to be the case and, and the way we see it, it's, it's a vindication, not only for what we've been saying, but the saddest part about it is this, and I think each and every one of us now and all your listeners will understand this, how many people we've lost.

Lost loved ones who stayed in a hospital bed alone, died alone, couldn't even get them on FaceTime, wouldn't let them do any of that sort of stuff. People died, um, obviously, you know, by way of depression. They were being locked out. Um, I think you guys also know we also had the issue of, uh, Lockdowns, keeping people out of churches and stuff like that.

So the AZ, they were saying, get out there, get these, get these shots. But we knew early on they were causing clots. We didn't need 70, a hundred, 120, 40. We only needed one and they should have stopped the whole thing. We only needed one. It should have stopped the whole thing. And it's most unfortunate that that was the case, but.

I've got this document here. I don't know. I'll send it to you. We need to get out there. But they knew they knew in early 222 the TGA about the blood clotting and the severe inflammatory responses that were going on with respect to the clotting agents in AZ. Why wasn't it stopped? Well, We don't know. Did, did we try and stop them?

Well, I think a lot of us were screaming from the rafters to stop this. Um, and it was most unfortunate, but how did we get to this point? Well, you spoke of Greg Hunt. Greg Hunt came out early on, and I think we can all recall, I think it was February of 2020, 2, I believe, or 221, sorry. And he said, this is the world's largest clinical trial.

Now, I've got that up on my social media posts. These, these aren't conspiracy theories. They're not, you know, tinfoil hat wearing type stuff. They were literally declaring a clinical trial, but then the issue was, well, we're gonna mandate it, but we're not really mandating it. And that's what we're seeing with the Peter Credlin As you're saying, so the federal government's now playing this, um, issue.

And this is the sad part because whether any of you recall the Abbott and Costello show, who's on first, remember that, that skit, that's where we're at. That's where we're at. Who's on first wise on second, who's on third. We don't know because they're pointing the finger at everyone. And. That's going to take a couple of years to get down to the bottom of that.

So when it comes to AZ, it should have been from the start. Um, we've also expressed our concerns with respect to Pfizer and Moderna. Again, in our letter, there were no genotoxicity studies. Um, there were no fertility studies and there were no carcinogenic studies. And this was all articulated in early 2021.

No one listened. Um, and what As I said, the saddest part about it is how many people have died. I've got lists of people calling. I've got like 13, 000 emails of people asking me, is there a compensation scheme in Australia? It's really sad. Russell Broadbent, you've been a champion of the vaccine injured for a great long time at the moment.

You've been a voice for them in the lower house in the federal government. Um, you've copped a lot of flack over that. It may have cost you your position with the Liberal Party in your own seat, and now you're running as an independent. Um, based on what you've seen and what you're hearing in the corridors of power, and you, I know that you, that people come to you and talk to you because you're seen as the, Elder statesman, or you were the elder statesman of the Liberal Party, you were the calming influence.

And, um, a lot of people were, uh, poo hooing you in the house, but coming to you privately, I'm sure, saying we're concerned. Um, what do you think this new information will do to those people in the parliament who can now do something positive for the Australian people? Well, one of the interesting things that's pointed out to me by my staff, who went searching for what the health minister said after AstraZeneca was banned, let's call it banned, wiped off, taken off the shelves, because it was dangerous to people through blood clots.

And it killed people. And Tony was absolutely right. Why wasn't it the first person or the second person raised the alarm? Why did we have to have hundreds of people get either severely damaged or die, or have other really life threatening, life shortening injuries? And why wasn't it taken off sooner when they knew?

You've got to ask the question. I've asked that question yesterday. Why is it? That there was no comment from Peter Dutton. There was no comment from the Shadow Health Minister, Anne Ruston. There was no comment at all from the Prime Minister. And no comment from the Health Minister. What was that? I mean, it's a dramatic hugely dramatic event when Greg Hunt said of these drugs, of these gene therapies, by the way, these gene therapies that were called vaccines for what reason?

To get public acceptance. To treat the public like they're stupid. And to get public acceptance, because if they said this is a new gene therapy, the public wouldn't have had a bar of it, but they said, this is, this is a vaccine. Now, at the same time, Greg Hunt was saying, um, that, uh, this is a worldwide experiment, not exactly his words, but he was also saying they are safe and effective, safe and effective, safe and effective at the same time.

Scott Morrison was saying this will stop transmission. Didn't stop transmission, didn't stop you getting it. But the big question I'd like to ask AstraZeneca was, in their press release, they said, but we saved 650 million lives. What's the evidence? What's the evidence? I, I'd ask any, the three of you, Tony, what's the evidence that lives were saved?

I, I, I think it's a really good question. I think it's a super question, um, to actually come across and I think it's unprovable. That's my point, because people are being asked to prove a negative in circumstances where the best control group in this instance are those people who didn't take it. And they are doing really well, they are doing really well.

And so, um, I think just in following on from that. Um, you're absolutely right, Russell. Um, and, uh, John's very familiar with these, so is Woody. It didn't stop transmission, didn't confer immunity, didn't stop the hospitalizations, and it hasn't stopped the deaths. Four points I've been banging on about now for years.

Um, and you're absolutely right. They said it was going to do all those things. Well, quite frankly, um, if, uh, To me, I think the Australian public has potentially been, uh, defrauded in the sense that there's been a massive campaign of misleading, deceptive conduct imposed upon the people of Australia to the extent that billions and trillions of dollars have been sent, spent, sorry, buying these vaccines and for some people it was 10 per person in Australia, but, um, What was the reason for that?

Like, we really need to get down to the bottom of that because the crux of this is this, we are privatizing profits, but we're socializing the costs. This is a brand, brand business strategy that I've been writing about for some time now, because if you wanted to have a business plan, how's the best plan to do it?

impose it upon a taxpayer, put it on the taxpayer, the best business model you can ever have. And that's what's been happening here. And Russell, you touched on a great point there, which was where, uh, they actually looked at this and says, this is going to save your life. Well, all those control groups that didn't take it are alive and doing well, less complications.

Um, and they're traveling really well at the moment. So that's just one of my takes. Johnny, the comment from AstraZeneca. What's your take on that? We saved 650 million lives. BS. Absolute BS. I mean, the sad part is, Woody, that anecdotally, from my perspective, AstraZeneca was probably the better one of the three.

Yeah. So what does that tell you? Uh, I mean, it's horrendous what we hear in regards to, uh, all three of them, but, uh, you know, if I had to, if I had to have taken one, I'd have taken that one. Um, based on, uh, on the, uh, evidence from the, from the other two. So, I mean, you know, this, this is, uh, this is amazing, isn't it?

You know, the, the, we'll have a total recall on a vitamin pill with full page ads and TV disclosures saying, Oh, watch out for such and such a pill. It's been recalled because it causes a rash. I think, come on, uh, these things are killing people. At a rate that we probably don't even, uh, understand. I mean, I think we're grossly underestimating how toxic these things are.

They are just killers, murderers, dreadful. And, uh, you know, we've got people like Dr. Joe McGurr. Dr. Joe McGurr, an emergency physician who, uh, who's an associate professor at the Notre Dame University, a former head bureaucrat with Murrumbidgee Health. Elected to New South Wales parliament in, uh, in, uh, Gladys Berejiklian's, uh, boyfriend's old seat, uh, Darryl McGuire, Darryl McGuire, he's an independent.

Russell can tell you just how valuable it is the time that you get to speak as an independent in parliament. It's, it's, it's not that, uh, it's not that, uh, um, You don't get much time to make points about issues. Right. And this idiot, this idiot is talking yesterday about the origin of the Chico role.

Mm-Hmm. You couldn't make that stuff up. I, I tend not to, uh, comment on other politicians, especially new politicians like that. I can, I I'm still staggered in, uh, the UK right across the world. This has been withdrawn and our senior politicians have not apologized and said we were wrong about this. You've got to, you've got to come and front the Australian people and say we were wrong.

I said to a friend of mine who said he got COVID recently and I said, well, how are we? He said, we were really, really sick. He and his wife, his wife got after him. I said, but mate. You can't have had COVID. He said, why not? I said, because you're vaccinated.

Absolutely correct. Absolutely correct. It didn't do anything that they said it was going to do. And, but on a really good point there, Russ, if I could just pick up on that part about the, um, And I know when Hoodie myself and John Owen AMN appeared before the Senate, it was all about trust and mistrust of what it's been instilled.

And it's inculcated that in the Australian community. And look, I've spoken to people and I did a TNT interview and I walked out of the Supreme Court. I think it was only a month or two ago. And um, there was a registrar, well, a former registrar sitting down eating, um, lunch, yeah, eating lunch. It was lunchtime.

Um, and, um, walked in there and, um, He shook my hand and he said, mate, we were coerced. We were coerced. I don't believe it. And this is what I'm saying is this is a former registrar of a court. Um, and this tells us that people are now saying, hang on a second, something, something's gone on. I'm getting sick just as often as I was before.

This hasn't done what it's done. But on another point where, where it comes down to the ology and what's happened around the world. Um, I wrote an article about where in the spectator about where is the burden of proof gone. And the reason I wrote that was this is because here in Australia, we've got this unique model.

Um, and my concern was, and I guess you can take it as a criticism of how it's running in, in, in the judicial system. I'm not I'm not having a crack at any judges, by the way. It's just the way it's been geared. Um, what, what's happened here is the burden of proof has been switched and we really need Parliament to come together and rejig that and rebalance it.

And what I'm talking about here is, is that the community is being asked to prove that something is dangerous. That's not what should happen. What should happen, it should be up to a manufacturer. To prove that what they are selling is safe. How do you get a mum and dad person who's been injured, who has now being asked to spend millions of dollars, millions of dollars to do this.

Graham, if I can just add to that, one of the worst aspects of reversing the onus of proof that Tony has just spoken about was robo death. Where the government asked the students of Australia, uh, who were doing part time jobs to go back seven years in their records and prove they weren't crooks. Where it should have been the other way around, that if there was an issue, the government should have proved that the person had done the wrong thing.

Yeah. The reversal of the onus of proof. Um, another horrific thing the government did was when it was no jab, no play. And I thought, well fair enough. Um, you are in the institution, so you can say your children can't come

here if they're not vaccinated. Right. Fair enough. We don't go. But then they said, and by the way, we're going to take away from you family tax benefit part B.

So we're going to fine you. We're going to tax you because you won't have your children vaccinated. One woman we know it's cost her 90, 000, one family. Now they should be compensated. I had no idea as a politician that that's what my government did. Unbelievable. They find, they find families, they find single parent families, they find women.

And when it was tested, the bureaucrats said, no, it's an incentive for you to get your children vaccinated. No, it's not. It's a fine on freedom and freedom of body, mind and spirit. That's what it is. It's fine. That's it. It's coercion. Yeah. It's bribery and coercion. Getting back to the, to the AstraZeneca statement of we've saved 650 million lives.

Forget about those who died. We saved 650 million. I want to translate that to our viewers. What they're saying is if it walks like a duck, waddles like a duck and quacks like a duck, it's a goose. And anyone who believes that fits that bill. The whole idea that they're now coming out with all these glossy statements.

means that they're hiding something. It's crystal clear that they are. And people are waking up all around the world. Look, we heard from Reuters today that the UK government has withdrawn from the, uh, WHO pandemic treaty. They're not happy with it. They're not signing it, the UK government. So I'm hoping, Russell, that our politicians will, although they're not voting on this issue, will be consulting strongly.

With their, um, with their bureaucrats to make sure that the bureaucrats don't sign us up. I mean, if the Australian government doesn't say no, they'll be signed up automatically under this regime. Yeah. So that's why I've written to the Prime Minister, uh, again on this issue and now we'll write again next week to him to say UK's out of it, there's a good reason for it, there's sovereignties involved, our sovereignty and our health sovereignty is involved, we've got to, we've got to at least delay this to give it some consideration.

And if you're not prepared to delay it, pull a pin on it now. Yeah, exactly. Now, a future prime minister, I hope, could say, I don't care what we've signed up to. We are not agreeing with anything the World Health Organization puts to, puts to us. But when I raise this with federal politicians, I get complete blank stares as if I've got four heads and lost the plot.

They've got a problem with me though. They know I'm not nuts. Hmm. Hmm. Hmm. Yeah, I've been around too long. And the sad part in all of this is the doctors that stood their ground with AstraZeneca and the other drugs that they were pushing, who were thrown out of their jobs. Those doctors, um, they, you know, it's caused enormous amount of distress.

But where were their colleagues? Where were the paramedic colleagues with you, John? Where were the fireman's colleagues with them? Everybody dropped the button. Where were the human rights commission? Where were the human rights commission? And I want to say to you tonight, to everybody that's listening, we're not going to be locked down again.

We're not going to be curfewed again. We're not going to be restricted again. We're just not going to do what you're going to ask us to do with the next pandemic. We'll go back to the proper pandemic plan that was in place in 2019. For this, this nation to follow. Absolutely. And I'll just point out too, and Russell, uh, it'd be interesting to get your views on this.

I mean, where, where were the unions? Jared Hayes at the health services union, Peter Marshall. Uh, at the fire rescue people. I mean, in Victoria, you. You had a part in a risk assessment that said your members could die, die and you sat on it. Well, it's worse than that. I was chair of the parliamentary human rights committee for a while and deputy chair of so many things.

I forget which one. So if someone wants to correct me, correct me. Every union that came before us when we did an inquiry, I asked the union, how have you protected your members human rights under these, under these mandates? And they said, Oh, it's not a human rights issue. It's not a protection issue. It's a health issue.

So the health bureaucrats were running the country. Now, sorry, Greg Hunt, you can't tell me it wasn't your fault. I've refrained from saying anything, but I was very, very disappointed to say the least that he would come out and say, Hey, look elsewhere. It wasn't my fault. This wasn't my fault. Did that as a federal government, they could have passed legislation that there'll be no mandates in this country.

And they didn't. Yeah. So you are guilty by your inaction. Yep. You don't have to take action to be guilty. You are guilty because you sat there and did nothing. And when good men do nothing, you know what happens. Evil thrives. That's right. That's what we said at the, um, Senate. That's what we said at the Senate when, um, uh, Senator Malcolm Roberts opened, um, he asked that question.

I said, well, it's about act or omission. You don't need to act. Your act, your failure to act is also considered, uh, as a significant point and raising on all that sort of, uh, what we've been discussing there. One of the problems here in Australia, um, yeah. The issue of the welfare state is where what we have, we have, um, all those groups that we've just discussed now, um, rely heavily on the teat of government for funding.

Now, Who would want, as if you're running that organization, who would want to revert to something that may be seen as a criticism to the hand that feeds them? That's the problem. The problem is exactly that, is we're being, uh, asked to get the baby off the suckling teat and criticize mum. That's the problem.

That's why we are in this mess is because each and every one of those institutions we've just referred to takes money from the government. I shouldn't say the government because there's no such thing. I think Russell, you may as the taxpayer money and the government acts as a steward holding that money on trust for the taxpayer to distribute that accordingly.

And, um, that's just my view on, on how and why the, all these organizations did what they did. Gentlemen, there are some other burning issues that we need to discuss around all of this. I want to, I want to touch on the vaccine injury compensation scheme, which is a laugh. It is an absolute joke, unless you're trying to get money from it.

But first of all, is the government or should the government now be looking to work with people who've had AstraZeneca and make sure that they're properly and thoroughly checked over at, at government expense. To make sure these people aren't walking around as ticking time bombs. Do they have an obligation, Russell Broadbent, to look after those who have had the AstraZeneca shot?

Not only that, I think they should go back to every coroner and, um, do a, um, uh, a very, uh, very thorough examination of the autopsies of those people that died of thrombosis. Because a lot of them have denied the thrombosis. Or failed to do an autopsy or failed to report on the autopsy. So it's not only the living, but it's the dead because families deserve answers.

People who have had AstraZeneca now, um, should take very great responsibility for themselves. And you know what happened in this country was we had handed over responsibility for our health and well being to doctors. And we trusted them for our health and well being. In fact, I'm saying to Australia tonight, you are responsible for your health and well being.

You are responsible for your health and well being. Not the government. Not the doctors. Not your specialists. You are responsible. So, um, you know, there are, there are things you could do to look after yourself better. Um, and you know, the nation is becoming obese. The nation is becoming obese. And obesity leads to all sorts of other difficulties. And a lot of the people that died. Now, the other thing I want to say about AstraZeneca is this, I believe that my friends who got COVID the other day, over the last few weeks, I believe like many others that have had a number of vaccinations, one, two, or three, their immune system's down. So when they get COVID over and over again, it's because their immune system is lower.

And then, then it was before, if you find there are people who are unvaccinated, how many times have they had COVID asked them once, once, time and time again, once because their immune system dealt with it. And now is it able to cope with any new strain that comes along? They've had it once, whereas the people who have taken the vaccines seem to be getting it over and over and over again.

They normally get a new dose of COVID 19 after every shot, Russell. Should, yes. Should the government, should the government be responsible? Absolutely they should. Especially, uh, for those who are vaccine damaged. Clearly vaccine damaged, and the government The Morrison government made it so impossible, you know, the rigors to get compensation are just about too high to jump.

And even when they, they are able to get all their lawyers together and get their doctors together and get all the information together and get the hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of pages they want and put them in and finally get them through, then the government puts it on and doesn't make a decision on it.

Because if they do. Uh, they'll find out they're up for the compensation that a government could not afford. They might be like this fella going through the courts has just had costs awarded against him tonight. Um, that, uh, might be going to bankruptcy and the government would be in about the same position, I reckon.

Yeah, you're not wrong. That's another interesting tale, isn't it, as we go forward, but, um, Tony, what do you, what are your thoughts? Should the government be actively seeking out people who've had AstraZeneca and, uh, taking steps to make sure they're going to be okay? Yeah. Oh, indeed. Look, the short answer to the question is this, uh, the more complicated answer comes down to, um, causation.

And we were talking a moment ago about the, the courts and this and that. So here in Australia, Russell spoke about all over the world, you know, it's, it's really caving in. And you can see here, the narrative in Australia kind of suggests that, but they're still trying to say, well, there's enough vaccines on the market.

That's really why we're pulling it. So there's a whole different narrative that we're facing down here. And that's a very divisive type narrative that we need to try and stay away from, uh, as Russ Russell said. You've got to come out. You've got to own it. You've got to say sorry. We said that down at the Senate as well.

Remember, Woody? Come out, just say sorry. Let's, let's get the people on board. Australians are very forgiving, but they also don't like people, uh, misleading them and, and trying to sell them a pup. And that's what's happening here. So the compensation scheme, in short, yes, But if you go back to the Vioxx decision, which is under the federal court, I think it was Justice Jessup in 2010 issued a judgment in the Vioxx.

Now that was a non steroidal anti inflammatory and that was pulled from the market all over the world. In every litigation it succeeded. Here in Australia it didn't. They couldn't prove causation. And this is what we were discussing a little bit earlier about the You know, the, uh, the burden of proof and what, what they put here in Australia, they're making people prove it's dangerous rather than the manufacturer prove it's safe.

And even in circumstances where those litigations succeed all over the world, in terms of causation, showing it medically and scientifically, for some reason here in Australia, it failed. It could have had a chance with respect to the plaintiff they chose. But having said that, There was still adequate compensation that should have been paid out to these people.

On another point, uh, with respect to the coroners, we've got a few coronial type matters here and I've got a really good colleague here that worked with me, Stephen Kelly, uh, 28 years serving, uh, New South Wales policeman, worked in the coroner's office. We are being stonewalled Russell. We're being stonewalled.

We've got erroneous requests going back two years and they're not even answering us. Um, and we know that within 24 to 48 hours, some of the people after the jab, um, uh, are dying, um, and they're dying of these horrendous circumstances that we, we see on a daily basis. But why is it, why is it that we're getting stonewalled on all these?

We need to come down to that, because if we're really going to do an audit, and I know when I was studying criminology, um, we've got the dark figure of crime. So the dark figure is unreported, not known. They're people who are victims of crime. They're either not reporting it, or it's misreported, or there's a misallocation of that data somewhere.

And. That's what we're saying here. I've got a grave concern in my view that the data we've been getting and fed to the Australian people, um, is, is not accurate. And the reason I say that is this is because they're not being coded accurately. How is it that TGA expert who is far removed from a patient can override a specialist or a doctor saying, I've got a suspected death or injury here.

And we've got a person sitting at a desk saying, well, you know what? We've got this general advice saying that unless you tick this box, this box, this box. So the other, the other parts of that is this, is that under the civil standard, It's the, you know, the Brigginshaw model, anything over 50%, so 51 percent you generally, you know, that's all you have to prove, but what they're doing here is you're almost taking us to a beyond reasonable doubt standard of test, or what, what I call the preponderance of evidence, which is in the high 90s.

How do you do that? Tell me, how do you do that in circumstances where they had zero evidence coming out saying this had any safety or efficacy? And the reason I say that is because when you have a look at the contracts that we've got from around the world. There is no statement that I have found yet in any of those contracts that stipulates that they're safe and effective.

So we need to understand where is it that the bureaucrats, public health officials, politicians, and especially former prime minister that came out and said these are safe and effective when the manufacturer wasn't saying that. They never said it. And, and Woody, can I, can I put this to you, uh, from aviation?

I mean, we're hearing nothing from CASA, from Kate Mannes, and from Pip Spence about, uh, AstraZeneca. I mean, they should be on the front foot with this. I mean, in an airline cockpit, uh, it was always customary that you would serve the captain a meal and the first officer, a different meal in case somebody got food poisoning.

I mean, redundancy. I mean, here, we've got a case where we've had a drug. That's now withdrawn from, from human use because of its, uh, implications. And we've got pilots that would have had AstraZeneca running around in the cockpit. I mean, do these people need to have their, uh, their, their, their, uh, licenses stamped so that, uh, they can only fly with somebody else until they're medically cleared?

I mean, I mean, it's, it's a, it's madness. Well it is, John, and we called out the CASA, uh, group, uh, about a week or two back when we had the. The show with, uh, with Foxy and Shane Murdoch, um, CASA has a responsibility now more than ever to come out and thoroughly medically investigate every pilot who was vaccinated with the AstraZeneca, at least they have a moral obligation to do that.

They can say it's not in their remit. Well, I'm sorry, we've got to get beyond that. That's just policy speak. Uh, remit doesn't cut it. If you're a professional aviation medicine person, then your sole responsibility is to make sure that our skies are safe medically. And you can't say that's not in my remit, Kate Manderson and Pip Spence.

You cannot do that. I know there are pilots who are really, really sick, who are still flying. They're telling me, but they're not telling their doctors and they're not telling Catherine, they're not telling the company because they're scared they'll lose their job. And it's the same people driving B double trucks.

John, John, perhaps the authorities are saying, Oh, well, if you haven't died of AstraZeneca injection yet, you probably won't probably, you know, if you haven't got thrombosis yet, you probably won't. I'll put to you something else, Tony, you might like to comment on. And you've talked about, we're not having a go at anybody.

Just explaining the facts. The facts are, for some reason, the ABS have decided to change how they calculate excess deaths. And I thought about that last night. Why would you have to, why would you need to change the way you go about assessing mortality in Australia? Why? You've done the same way year after year after year after year.

All of a sudden, when we get results that we've been getting across the world, our Australian Bureau of Statistics, our, our own organisation decides it's time to change the way we calculate excess deaths. It's like, it's like the courts, uh, ATAGI, uh, the medical board, uh, the governments of the day, state and federal and local, all in, all in this great big cahoots of, of, Of well, you know, we've, we've got to maintain that the narrative when their narrative is collapsing all around them now, not might not be on mainstream TV, but it's starting.

It's starting. It's not on mainstream media. It's starting. But, um, the ABC going to apologize. For the bulldust that they put out all the way through the pandemic and did what they were told to do, you know, are we all so myopic and for someone like myself and there was a show on, on Seinfeld where one of the cast was walking through with a group of people through a subway type thing.

And he said, well, I'm in America. I don't have to wear that blue cross. And all the whole crowd turned on him and said, Hey, we're all wearing the blue cross. You've got to wear the blue cross. You know, but I'm in America. I don't have to wear the blue cross. If I don't wear the blue cross. Yes, you do. Cause we're all wearing the blue cross.

And that's, that's the way the Australian people were to people like me and you and, and Graham. And it was shocking the way people just turned on us as if we were lepers overnight. Do not touch. You're not wearing the blue cross. You know, I was yelled at because I didn't social distance by two inches or something.

Yeah. It was a period of time that I think we're all going to look back at and, um, well those anyway that, that engaged that sort of conduct that the good ones are already saying, sorry. Um, and the gatekeepers are holding onto that truth, which is why I always talk about truth is knowledge held back by power, because until the power base starts to release that, and that's what we're seeing, the power base is starting to release it, not because they're wanting to, they can't hold it back.

Uh, we've seen Chris Cuomo the other day, the former CEO, he was a, he was a vaccine zealot, a vaccine extremist, if you want to put it that way. Absolutely berated people publicly for doing so if they didn't get the vaccine, but now he's coming out and you know what else he said? He goes, I'm taking ivermectin.

Yeah. I put that in, I put that in cases in letters and you know, we were criticized. You guys were all criticized for doing it. You know what I mean? And, and we were, look, I'm not saying it because we want to be right. It was just, that's, that's what the evidence said. And it said a Nobel prize winning drug.

Exactly right. So you look at it. And you've got all these facts that we knew and going back to your ABS, um, uh, Russ, I think, um. You see, when it comes to statistics, if you, if you adjust base models and baseline structures, you can make something look like anything you want it to do. And here is one thing, I was, um, I met up with a person in Martin Place here in Sydney and that person, um, a whistleblower from New South Wales Health came to me, um, and they're heavily involved in the New South Wales Health statistics and she said, Tony, we changed the formula.

We changed the formula. We adjusted the baseline. So the baseline comes up there for the excess death peak. Doesn't look as bad. So really the, the, the baseline should have been down here. And this is, and these are the adjustments. And I said, well, you got to come out. You got to, I can't, I'll lose my job.

But who is directing these people to change who is behind all this? That's the question. Yeah. Yeah. And you'll find it. Um, They're, they're the issues, um, when, when we have a look at the people who have been put in these positions, uh, guys, they've been put there for the reason, okay? Um, you're not elected, you're selected type thing.

Um, yeah, and you, and you, you know, it's a very selective field and those people are put there for a reason. When you look at other issues, you know, you look at, um, the experiments of Solomon Ash and Stanley Milgram. I remember doing these back in psychology and criminology, but Solomon Ash did an experiment on Confederacy.

And, and that was, that was an experiment where they got a whole bunch of people together. And a lot of them were just actual test subjects. And the person would walk in the room unknowingly. And just because eight people went this way, that person would look at the eight and go, Oh, that must be right.

That must be right. I'm going that way. And then, but then again, they got the out, the odd outlier. And here are your tin, tin hat wearing, this is your anti vaxxers, yeah, the cookers that turned around and said, no, I'm sorry. That's wrong. I'm not going that way. You can, you can all go that way. You can eight or nine out of the 10, And then, then you had the Stanley Milgram experiment where they were using the electric shock, which was a power, a plea to authority where they put a white coat on someone.

And just because he was telling them to electrocute someone, they'd do it. Every now and then you'd get the odd person. I'm not doing this. I'm sorry. I'm not, I'm not hurting anyone again.

Well, I mean, the disappointing part about all this to date is that here we are still on the 10th of May, uh, still out of jobs. Firefighters in Victoria, still out of jobs. Yeah. All New South Wales Health still out of jobs, uh, the police in New South Wales that failed to, uh, have the vaccine, people like Justin Poor, Natalie Vasallo, they're, uh, they're absolutely discriminated against by the, uh, current Commissioner Karen Webb, who thinks it's okay to divulge it.

personal information to, uh, to, to, to media people, but there's nothing to see. Well, John, what about the nurses in Queensland who the mandates have been removed? So they said, yippee, we can go back to work. And they fired them for misconduct. They fired them all for misconduct and they're desperate for nurses.

Talk about shoot your own foot off. That's exactly, I mean, what's behind all that. What is it that if you haven't conformed, With the norm in Australia, Australia of all places. I mean, we're the rebels we used to be. We used to be by G we were found out in no uncertain terms. It's all about conformity now, and if you don't, if you don't tow the line, you're out.

And this was a purge. And the only thing I could put it to was very similar and, you know, to the Stalinist purges, although in, purges, people obviously killed and put in gulags and things like that. But I don't think we were too far away because they were building camps and they were putting people in camps.

So, um, but if you didn't conform and, uh, a really good example was, um, Lindell Dean. The commissioner, um, who, who found on the Sapphire coast and she spoke about all she did in her judgment was spoke about, you know, Nuremberg and the right to, you know, bodily autonomy and things like, well, she was disciplined.

She was disciplined. Now, this is a judiciary. They're under constitution. You should have independence. And she was heavily. Retrained, Tony. Retrained. This is again, the Stalinist purges because this is what they were doing. They'd sent people away for reeducation. This is what North Korea does. A program.

Yeah. And, and, and, and that's, that's a really big concern because a lot of people sat back and just allowed that to occur in circumstances where we're living in an open democratic participatory governance. Okay. This is the way we've always been. People are intelligent enough to understand what's happening here.

Okay, we are a very intelligent company to say, country sorry, and to say to people you can't say this, you can say that, you can't do this, you can't say that, well that's absolutely ridiculous and we're starting to see that in the censorship bill and my, my take on that, oh and I don't want to change the subject, but my take on that is to silence people from all what's going on because there is so much that's coming out, they're going to try and silence all this.

Absolutely, absolutely. Russell Broadbent, I've not heard you so animated since we've been talking together over the last couple of years. Um, what are you going to do with that energy? What can you do in the parliament with that energy at the moment? Well, you can do things like, um, we have an anti corruption commission that doesn't cover the medical board or any of the agencies like a tagi or any of them.

So you can move a private members bill, which I'll do, which we're forming, we're working on, be worked on next week, um, to bring them under the umbrella. Of the Anti Corruption Commission. So someone can call them in the Anti Corruption Commission and call them to account for what they've done. For the things that they've done.

That's a brilliant, that, that needs to happen. I look, I see this, I see, I've got surveillance of doctors being followed by APRA for doing things. And they're engaging in private investigators to follow doctors around. Like, you know, like what's going on here? Like what kind of country have we become? And I'll tell you why is because they get away with it, Russ.

And I think it's absolutely critical what you're doing, um, to keep. And they're accountable to not, they're not accountable to anybody so they can do what they like. You can't get, get them before the courts. The doctors can't get a hearing at the medical board. It's terrible in Australia. Yeah, we've, we've, we've, we're not, we haven't become progressive.

We've become regressive and, um, that regressive type of societal slash institutional behavior. Um, they call it utilitarian. It's not utilitarian for the greater good. It's not. This is, this is a, a furfy argument that they're trying to say and put on everyone that just because the greater good goes this way.

Well, you tell sheep when they're on their way to that slaughterhouse, because that's where they're all going, because they think there's a piece of wheat there that's for their greater good. Yeah. Well, the next thing I'd do, Graham, Is, um, uh, give consideration to, uh, asking the prime minister a question and that question would be prime minister before the last election, you informed the Australian people that on becoming prime minister, you would call a full Royal commission into, into our response to COVID.

You have not done that. What is your reason or what information did you get to cause you not to do that?

Well, we, we put that evidence. Remember we put that evidence, you know, you know, and, and they said, we don't want the States, I think, because, you know, you had the New South Wales and each state because they wanted to separate it. And then I took that contract down. I had the contract signed between the Commonwealth and the States.

And I said, how is it that you can all get together? And, and, and put, well, I had planned price promotion and had everything, the distribution, how the Commonwealth was given it to the States, how the States was going to promulgate and push it out to the people. How is it now that now we only want either a Commonwealth and each state was to do their own thing.

Hang on a second. You signed a con, you signed a contract that allowed you to put all this together and distribute it. Why is it now that when it comes to an investigation, you all want to be individuals? Oops. No, why is it? Why is it the ABS changed? Why is it that the, uh, um, there's, there's conformity across government, across state, local, federal governments.

What, where's this conformity come from? Why isn't somebody questioning? Why weren't the states questioning? Why weren't the government or the federal government questioning the states as to what they were doing? If Greg Hunt knew that the states had gone rogue, why didn't he say something at the time? He knew Russell because I told him.

I'll tell you right now. I told him he he knew they knew everyone knew the now Prime Minister Anthony Albanese. He got a letter in July of 2021 before he was even Prime Minister from me saying here are the dangers. Don't do it. Bang. Did anyone respond? None of them. And this is before he came. This is before he came.

And now that he's prime minister and he has the power, he still hasn't done anything to do anything about it. So when it comes down to all that sort of stuff, I actually think that, um, there was a really good article I read in one of the spectators and they said national cabinet resembles nothing more.

And I didn't say this, but I'm going to say it because it's in the spectator articles, um, is they said it was nothing more than a protection racket. Okay, and each state and commonwealth actually got together, and this is, and you'd actually find, temporarily anyway, that each time a national cabinet, one of the states would lock down, the other one would try and beat them.

Yeah. And you'll actually find, you'll actually find that when that meeting came on, they'd come out and you'd actually start seeing a temporal association between one state locking down and one state imposing harsher measures and then it got worse and worse and worse and I think we've got to come back to the point to this, there's a lot of people complaining that you could have said no, like a lot of others.

And closing our state borders. Closing our state borders. You're not going to do that again, folks. You're not going to cross our borders again. How dare you say, how dare you say as a citizen of Australia, I can't go to New South Wales. That's what they said. Unbelievable. Remember the twins up there in Queensland, Hoodie, Jono, Russell.

Yeah. They didn't let that mother and the mother. Like some of the most disgusting. This is. If, if, put it this way, if you or I were going to stop it, you know, a loved one from going to a hospital and someone died, what's, that's a crime. I'm sorry. That's a crime. That's a crime. I know one woman, Tony, that smuggled herself into New South Wales.

No, New South Wales into Queensland. Smuggled herself. Well, that's the Australia we live in. People smugglers in Australia. We have Graeme and John. Yeah, look, I won't put too fine a point on it, but Michelle and I were involved in, anyhow, we won't go there. We live near the border. We won't go there. But, um, Russell, I want to put another question to you that relates to what a lot of people say about politicians.

And it's coming out a lot lately. There's half a dozen really solid, uh, representatives in Canberra, like yourself, who are speaking out and doing everything they can. A lot of people are saying you're just as bad as the rest of them. Why don't you get something done? The floor is yours, my friend. Well, we do get things done.

Um, I believe we actually changed the world for people, but not immediately. Um, sometimes you just have got to have the water dripping on the stone, dripping on the stone, dripping on the stone. And I haven't given up over three and a half years. You haven't given up over three and a half years. Tony hasn't, John hasn't, and there's lots of other people like us that haven't.

Why don't you get something done? Because I'm not the prime minister yet. That's the key. And a lot of people don't understand. They say, why don't they go in and say more? You don't get an opportunity to say more. No, no, absolutely. No, you don't. But we can tell you, John and I can tell you that are listening, that we have had a lot to do with those half a dozen politicians.

And the dedication to the cause is rock solid. I put myself in their shoes and I wonder what more I could do in their position, given the restrictions put upon them. It's all about party politics and towing the party line and

getting through bureaucracies and getting an opportunity to speak. And being tied by rules and it's just not as easy as we think.

A lot of people say, I want so and so for prime minister. You know, people have said to me, I want you to be our prime minister. Well, you don't just say, all right, I'll do it. I'll put my hand up. I'll get elected. Even if you got elected to the house, you still don't become prime minister. That's decades away.

Yeah. Well, the funny part about all this. I think there's more to this behind the scenes because the thing that I just can't understand is that I think having a review of what's gone on from Albanese's point of view or the state premier's point of view, Chris Minns in News of Wales, if they would have, if they would have said, look, we're going to do this, there's votes in it.

I mean, returning. Paramedics back to work, putting police officers that were sacked back on the front line and, and, and taking a, uh, you know, uh, a different approach to this and saying, no, look, I've got a responsibility on the premier. I need paramedics back on the front line. I need these police officers back here.

I'm making the decision. They're coming back to work. You can whinge about it all you like. That's what's happening. Now, if, if means did that, then he would be, he would be, uh, you know, electable for the next. But John, but John, the unions own every Labour member of parliament in Australia. And this is the thing is, I want to repeat that the unions own every Labour member of Parliament.

So if they say we're not having these people back, that, that Premier, he can't, he or she can't say, well, this is what I'm going to do. Yeah. Yeah. It's, it's, it's a major case. I think Hooty, you said it, it's about, you know, your affiliations with who, uh, what, what patches you wear on your jacket, um, those sponsorship patches.

Yeah. Whatever they may be, but, um, it certainly is a diabolical situation here and we, we, we, we do need to change it. And speaking, speaking of matters, uh, Premier, Labor Premiers, we see that Anastasia Palaszczuk has now landed a massive pay packet. Uh, I don't know what she's taken over. Do you know, John, I heard the job that she's got now, but it's just unbelievable.

Oh, yeah, it's just another, another woke lefty, uh, Green job. You know, she's, uh, I think that's unacceptable guys. I really do. I think Dr. Marianne Demarcy, great, great, great journey. Absolutely. Absolutely. I can't praise her enough, but her and I wrote, well, she wrote the article and I made some comments about, on her lead, by the way, is where you see people like Professor Skerritt public institutional roles and don't get me wrong.

That's great. I have no qualm about people making money when they come out of a certain job and go in. I've got no qualms, but when it comes down to a public official with certain secrets, those secrets are the inner workings of deals that are significant to the national security or financial security of the nation.

And then that could be technology. It they go into a private sector job. Almost immediately. Um, I think that's a concern we should all be worried about because we don't know what information is being part imparted at those meetings. So what I'm suggesting and what I suggested is a minimum of two to five years before you can get one of those, because in two to five years, technology would have changed, the information would have changed and that's the issue.

So. The point I'm getting at here is this, is when you have a look at enablers, and I'll talk about enablers theoretically, of corruption and capture, okay, is that you're in, you're in an institutional type job and, you know, you're doing your work and great, kudos, but then if you engage in some sort of conduct, you know, helping these lobby groups get to a point, well you, you still can't claim that as a public official going forward as, as a part of your wage, but you can when you leave.

Okay, and then you start seeing these overinflated type thing, uh, wages and money going into these people. And so, they're doing bugger all. They're doing almost nothing. The odd visit here, the odd visit there. But what information is the Australian public releasing by virtue of that to get to that money? I'm not having a crack at anyone. I'm just telling you that. When you have a look at it from a theoretical point, there is absolutely something we need to look at it. There's got to be a limited term. There has to be a limited time there of two to five years minimum. I think we need to have a crack at people.

I, you know, I, you know, let's not gild the lily. It smacks of corruption. It doesn't pass any pub test in the Australia that I grew up in and it shouldn't be now. Let's be honest about that. But Graham, the whole thing we've talked about through this whole program tonight has been around.

Corrupted thinking, corrupted people, people saying, I can't say something because I'll lose my job. I know how they feel. I know how hard that would be, but it's when people like them do come out and say, um, the ABS is changing their, uh, processes because of, we've been told to, or something. It's based corruption.

We've been talking about corruption all through the whole program. We have an underline. There's an underline. There's something underlying. Uh that is uh smells. There's a rat in the cheese factory, Russell Broadbent. Absolutely. We've got to bring this to a close, sadly, because I'm really enjoying this, but I've got to go off and do another, another Zoom, uh, about knowing this.

Well, Graeme, I always thought you'd find someone more important than Tony and I. Yeah, yeah, sure. Look, we're all important. We're all important, but you guys are doing things, we're talking to the people who are out there doing things, because the people who, who aren't in a position to do things want to hear what you have to say.

And, and Russell, you're very important in this battle. I think this is a, this could be, no, let's be honest. This is the reason you became a politician. You didn't know it at the time, but there you are. This is your finest hour, my friend. And you've got an opportunity and you're going to use it. I know you are because I'm starting to get to know you pretty well.

And, um, you're a man of integrity. And it irks me when I hear people say all politicians are crooks. They're not. And a great many of them go in there to make a difference. It's just, they're stymied by bureaucracy when they get in there. That's the big problem. It's a beautiful. Stymied by lots of the parties too.

Look, let me say, Graham, and thank you for your very kind words. Thank you, John, for having me on the program. Thank you, Tony, for all the work that you've done. But having said that, I can't do my job without you. And I can't do my job, And do the things that I do without knowing that I've got a substantial amount of the Australian people behind me and they need to now, if, if they're on the, if they're on the tipping point, if I understand that there's been a route of untruths in this country, uh, or a robust untruths in this country and the truth that needs to come out and the truth is it will set you free.

Um, and. People need to understand that good people have been telling the truth for a long time. Good doctors have been telling the truth for a long time. Good specialists have been telling the truth for a long time. And we, we know that, that, that people in our positions must be hearing the same thing we are.

Because they're not blind and they're not deaf. But they're, they're not speaking and they're not hearing. So, um, I need all the help that I can get. I'll, I'll say that to anybody anytime. And as people like yourself, Graham, and the early stand that you took, uh, and John, the stand that you took with the New South Wales government, Tony, all the work that you've been doing, thank you so much.

God bless and keep you. Thank you. Thank you too, mate. We really appreciate everyone on this Zoom. I think everyone's been chugging away and, um, I think it's just testimony to the Australian spirit of, it's a, it's a principled one that you don't choose. It's given to you. Like it's like, and that's what I've noticed.

It's not like you said, I want to do this. It came to you and this was your calling and this was your opportunity to either do as they said or you stand up for your principles and that, you know, that, that inner intestinal fortitude

that you've maintained throughout all the criticism and you just said, no, I'm sorry, this isn't the way and like I was talking about that Solomon Ashley, you just said, yous can all go that way.

I can't see that. This is the way I am. And now we're starting to see that. Uh, mob come back across. I just want to say thank you to you, Russell. And obviously, John and Hoody, you know, we see each other and talk to each other quite a bit. But also again, I think you're right. I think we certainly support you, Russell.

And anyone that stands on the side of where we're at, I certainly do anyway. And I just want to say thank you to each and every one of you. And I always say it, hold the line, guys, because it's coming. The dam's busting! And on that note, I know that we're all men of faith. So allow me to close this with a prayer, if you would.

Lord, father in heaven, we want to bathe in the light of your truth because father against truth and against integrity and honor, there is no law, none in heaven anyway. So father, give us the integrity, the honor, the strength, the courage, and the mercy to live in compassion, to hold people to account and to bring an end to this so innocent people can be healed from the damage being done to them so that we can walk upright again as a nation.

Please father, bless us all. The great Southland of the Holy Spirit and bless all of those who are doing everything they can to restore it to its once former status. We pray in Jesus. Holy name. Amen. Amen. Amen. Johnny Lottie. You've often been heard to say, you just couldn't make this stuff up. You couldn't make this stuff up and stay out of the trees.

Everybody. Tony Nicolick, Russell Broadbent. It's been an absolute honor. And let's do this again really soon. Thanks for watching Club Grubbery. And don't forget all you Church Without Walls people. I've just put up another broadcast tonight, uh, which is going over really, really well. And believe it or not, it's talking about God's law.

So God bless you all. Go to www. churchwithoutwalls. com. au and we'll see you again on Club Grubbery next week. Because we are coming back. I'm getting better. Uh, Johnny, we've got, um, we've got Tim Dwyer and we have Augusto Zimmerman on Monday night, definitely booked in. We'll be talking a tragic story to an amazing woman who works for the airlines, who is a lawyer as well, and an advocate for youth suicide, because four years ago she lost Her teenage son to suicide as a result of the mandates in Victoria.

So she's going to be speaking out and I've already spoken to her and I couldn't get through it without it to you. So stick with Club Grubbery, everybody. We're bringing you the facts as we know them. God bless you. And thanks for watching.