

Raw transcript of interview:

Graham and John discuss Ethics with two prominent medical voices...

Publish

February 14, 2024 9:00 pm AEST

Welcome back to Club Grubbery, everybody. Uh, Wednesday, it is the 14th of February. And we're recording this very special edition of Club Grubbery with two of our regular panelists who have been in this fight right from day one and even beyond. And it's great to have them on. Elizabeth Hart, welcome back to the program.

Thank you. And Dr. Judy Wileyman, great to see you back on as well and enjoying life in a new location. I won't tell everyone where you live, but I just wish I was there too. It's beautiful. Johnny, great to see you. You too guys. Um, a bit of a few things to cover off on before we get into this conversation, which should be a ripper, but, um, there's been some bizarre things going on now.

I've spoken to you about this, John. I've been watching the Nemesis program on the ABC about the, uh, the leadership tussle in the Liberal Party that involved Tony Abbott and, and, um, Malcolm Turnbull and Scott Morrison. Um, and we both, we both watched the first two episodes and we found them enthralling.

It's, it's interesting to see. The, uh, narcissism laid out and to see the games that some of these politicians play in the back room and the backstabbing and the wheeler dealing, um, it's, it's actually a bit on the sickening side, to be honest with you, and Labor weren't immune from it because there's been a documentary on them called The Killing Season about the Rudd Gillard Rudd, uh, years, but what got me, John, the last episode, Of, uh, the nemesis program was about the reign of Scott Morrison and it went through all the disasters, all the bumbling things that he did, and a lot of the deceit that he, uh, he perpetrated on his colleagues and the people.

But it was, it seemed to be flowing in chronological order. Now in about halfway through the program, they showed a rally outside parliament house around Brittany Higgins. A worthy cause, uh, people attended. It looked to me like about a third of the top half. Of the people's paddock, which is pictured behind you, John, uh, had a scattering of people over it.

It wasn't a huge rally, but it was well attended by politicians and there are some good speakers. Then I mentioned an event that happened in parliament house on the 9th of February, 2022. And I thought, right, the next event they're going to talk about is the 12th of February. Now the 12th of February is what's sitting behind you, John.

The largest protest rally in Australian history. We don't talk about numbers because it's almost impossible to gauge them. But it was singly the largest rally in Australian history. And why were 95 percent of the people there? They were there to end mandates, keep borders open, uh, prevent lockdowns, get everyone their jobs back with, with full compensation.

And they want a Royal Commission. They want this investigated. These are people, many of whom have never rallied in their lives before. It didn't even write a mention in the program. Not one mention. The only mention around the COVID era was about, was, uh, was, was Andrews, Palaszczuk, and, um, and, uh, Mc, Mc, uh, what's his face in McGowan, uh, you know, talking about what they thought of, uh, what they thought of Morrison and what he did and all that sort of stuff, and it was sickening to watch that, I've got to tell you, absolutely sickening, but I was pretty upset at the end of it because I've got to tell you that that, to me, Clearly demonstrates that the media and the government have completely ignored and cut off any reference to a large chunk of the Australian people who have been trodden on, crushed, mandated.

And, and in many cases died this, there's no other word to describe it other than reprehensible, a large chunk of people who are forced to get vaccinated, who lost their jobs because they wouldn't accept that pressure. They wouldn't take it on. They lost their jobs. They stuck to their guns. And all of this is around the matter of informed consent.

Where is it? Informed consent has gone. Now we've seen trials in, in, uh, in the courts, Johnny, yours, uh, Ver V Hazard, uh, the Kassam matter, all these other cases going through the courts where they're fighting on the science. But there's an ethical and moral dilemma in all of this. And that is that informed consent has not been Adhered to.

We know, everybody would, would know this, no matter what side of the fence you're on, that there is no way one person on the planet could have ever given informed consent because there was no information to base that on. We've said that a million times. And now it's got to the stage where Employers are starting to get nervous because there have been two cases in the last two weeks where the courts have allowed for compensation for people who are mandated to get vaccinated to keep their jobs for psychological distress and also for vaccine injury.

And this is just the tip of the iceberg. And we still have the doctor patient relationship in complete tatters. There's no trust in the country anymore. And John, I want you to briefly touch on the late night program on 2GB the other day. Talk about the attitude of the announcer on that program, because that tells what we're talking about.

Yeah, look, unfortunately, you're dead right, but we're up against it. Uh, when you think that, uh, someone rang in to the, uh, late night show on 2GB. And Clinton Maynard, who is a reporter with 2GB, was filling in, from what I can work out, on the nightly program. And the question was raised with Clinton Maynard about Brad Hazard being appointed to the chairperson's role at CareFlight.

And the presenter, Clinton Maynard, said Brad Hassard's a lovely bloke. What, what's the issue? What's the issue with Brad Hassard being appointed to the, uh, the, the chair of Careflight? Uh, he did some great things. Yeah, sure, he made some, uh, bad decisions as, as everyone did during COVID. But on the whole, he was acting in good faith and he, he, he, uh, he saw us through this.

I mean, did they? These people, oh, look, they haven't got a journalistic bone in their body. I don't know how that bloke isn't pulled into the, uh, management of 2GB and said, listen, you need to show a cause here, I mean, because you're, you're not doing your job completely. If anyone's a cooker, it's, it's Clinton Maynard.

You're the cooker. Uh, I don't know how they can't see it. Look, journalism has become extinct. Uh, we talked about that with, uh, with Kim Staten, who's the producer, filmmaker who put out The Trustful for Julian Assange. Um, the world has no moral authority, while other people like Julian Assange are in prison for telling the truth.

We have no moral authority. You know, we can point the finger at everybody else and, um, and we're seeing a lot of that going on around Tucker Carlson at the moment after the interview with Putin. They're calling him a traitor in America. I mean, I just cannot believe it. And while ever these, while ever these dramas happen around good journalism, the, uh, the young kids coming through into this, what used to be a craft are too afraid to do anything.

All they want to do is cut and paste journalism. And that's why this whole debacle has got to the stage where it has. That's why the doctor patient relationship has fallen down. That's why there are a lot of doctors now who are twitching in their boots because they know that, um, there are some things that happened in the last three years that they wish they weren't a part of.

And I want us now to unpack that with our two guests because you ladies are really pushing on the informed consent angle and I had a brief look through your sub stack this evening, Elizabeth Hart, um, and it relates to the

World Health Organization who should be They should be the supporters, the foundation of the maintenance of a good doctor patient relationship with informed consent, but it appears they've lost their way.

Tell us what you know. Well, the World Health Organization is really the front for the vaccine industry, as we know with Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, one of the major funders and Darby Alliance, you know, so it's, it's just a front and what authority has this organization got? I didn't. Consent to this organization having all this power, but, uh, just a few months ago, I went on to the World Health Organization website and I just put into the search engine, um, informed consent vaccination and it brought up no results that just says it all, really, that the World Health Organization, this is supposed to be, you know, pushing itself as the major organization in the world for health policy and that, that, that those crucial things, you know, informed consent, vaccines.

doesn't bring up anything on its search engine. So I kept a, I took a screenshot of that to keep that. And then I did a search again recently, and it looks like maybe somebody's brought it up with them because now you can find documents. And the document I found is a WHO policy brief, which is basically, um, arguing for mandatory vaccination.

You know, it's, it's laying out when it, when it's appropriate and what have you. And, and, and it, this means that the WHO, the WHO does not have a clue about informed consent. This is now really scary because they, this is supposed to be the health organization for the world and they do not know about informed consent.

They don't understand it. You cannot have mandatory vaccination because that violates voluntary informed consent. It just can't have it. And yet. It's been rife around the world and it's completely taken over Australia. So we are now in a crisis and, and, you know, I've been banging on this about for years now, for years, and I just cannot get it through to people.

It's about the practitioner. The practitioner should not have cooperated or collaborated with mandated medical interventions. It's as simple as that. And I think these court cases that have been going on. you know, the likes with John, you know, what have you, they've been arguing on the wrong basis. It's really about the practitioner.

So going off to fair work and what have you, it's not the right area for it. It's about the practitioner has, is obligated to get informed consent. And if they're not giving you all the information in your circumstance, so let's say a parent of a five year old or whatever, if they're not giving you all that information to make your decision, You cannot give informed consent and you cannot give involuntary informed consent under a mandate and doing that, um, article on the WHO on my sub stack, you know, the more work you do on this, the more you sort of, you know, concentrated and it really comes down to the essence.

I sort of got this sort of sentence that a vaccination mandate precludes. A voluntary informed decision, you cannot have it's impossible to have a voluntary informed, you know, decision under a mandate. It's as simple as that. And yet, for years, this has been going on. And as you know, if you've read my other self stack where I'm talking about Scott Morrison and National Cabinet.

that, and I brought this up on your program before, but this is so crucial. And I've made a timeline now to try and so that people can see this, what happened that on the 4th of June, Paul Kelly and the age PPC did not, they actually went against recommending compulsory vaccination for the aged care workers.

They recommended against this. And on the same day, it's reported by the ABC that Scott Morrison is going to lobby to overturn that position with the National Cabinet. That should have been major news, but the ABC just reported it as if it was, you know, nothing. And, and the fact that the ABC was primed for this before he did even do it.

that they're given this information and reporting on it. Then on the 28th of June, National Cabinet announced it's going to be compulsory for aged care workers to have the jabs if they want to keep their jobs. And on the 29th of June, Paul Kelly and the AHPPC make this recommendation, which in other words, that means they're a rubber stamp for a National Cabinet decision.

This was a political decision, not a health decision. This is a massive story. And we have no mainstream media to tell the story. The ABC should be telling the story. It's, it's huge. Because when that happened that set the precedent for breaking voluntary informed consent. And that's why the rest of this could happen.

That's why in September 21, you could have Daniel Andrews saying, we're going to have a vaccinated economy, and if you want to participate in that economy, you're going to have to be vaccinated. You're going to have to, you know, to keep your job, or to do any shopping, or do anything, you're going to have to job.

And McGowan was the same, and they're all the same, all around Australia. So these politicians, this handful of politicians in National Cabinet, it doesn't even go through the Parliament. It is mind blowing what went on in this country. I cannot believe it, what the power was being exerted by these people.

They're all gone now. Left this, all this devastation behind them. And I want accountability for this. Because it is outrageous that this group of people could do this to the people in Australia. This is a massive betrayal. And people, a lot of people are forgetting about this now. They're just hoping it's all gone away.

Well, it's not gone away. Because the WHO has got plans to be calling these you know, fake emergencies in the future and wanting to do mandates. Well, now I'm showing that the WHO does not understand about informed consent. And this is huge. When people understand what this means, because I'm arguing nobody's given informed consent, not just to COVID vaccines.

I reckon that nobody's given consent to vaccination, period, because nobody's getting properly informed. Well, they either know nothing about it or they're deliberately not mentioning it, which I think is more likely than the story, Johnny. Yeah, well, I think the difficulty is probably those that, uh, those that have undergone the vaccination, uh, You know, the, the, the governments will turn around and argue, well, uh, you, you were mandated to have it, but you, you know, you didn't have to, uh, you could have, uh, exited the workplace.

So it's, it's, it's a, it's a catch 22, isn't it? They'll, they're using these policies to their. Uh, I think the key to all this is to essentially, uh, fight it on every, every level, uh, you know, whether it be the informed consent aspect of it, whether it be the religious acts aspect of it, the human rights issues, the workplace victimization, uh, there is, there is so many angles, uh, legally that should be pursued.

It's just unfortunate, uh, that the courts have been. Uh, dreadful, absolutely dreadful, uh, in relation to this. And realistically, we've only seen a handful of cases worldwide that have had any success. Uh, let's hope that that change, I feel that there's a bit of a momentum change now. I think on the back of this South Australian.

Okay. So I think that there will be a bit of momentum. There's momentum gathering in New Zealand. Uh, there is certainly an appetite for, uh, for people to, uh, get an explanation as to what's going on here. And I think the more, the more that these governments, uh, try to cover this up by, uh, not having investigations into the mortality rates.

Uh, those sorts of things are just untenable. You can't explain that away. The people like Jackie Lambie that are voting against this, uh, they will just be seen as nutbags. Uh, I, I, who wouldn't want to know why people are dying regardless of what the cause is? I mean, it's just beggars belief that somebody could be elected to a parliament, uh, in a, in a first world nation like Australia and not want to know the answer to that question.

Dr. Judy Wileyman, uh, when I was in Canberra last week with John, uh, at the, uh, Reckless Renewables Rally, uh, a lady who was at the Canberra rally behind John, uh, came up to me and hugged me and was very teary. Uh, she was vaccine injured. She had, uh, two shots and a booster and she said, I feel like I've been raped and now I'm suffering with the pregnancy as a result of the rape.

With the injury and who can argue with it? That's it. Um, but I, I need to just disagree with John there for a minute, because he said it was unfortunate that the courts aren't assisting the people. And I would have to say that it's actually been engineered that way. And that's the same with the practitioners as well.

When Elizabeth said, uh, you know, the practitioners should have stood up for the ethical guidelines, which is correct. But, um, The, the practitioners were put in the same position as all other employers. They were going to lose their jobs and their livelihoods if they spoke up. And when you don't have power in numbers, you can't speak up.

So, in actual fact, I can see the nuts and bolts of how they've managed to put this in place through central

Centralized decision making. If I can just get back to the national cabinet. The national cabinet, uh, was made up of corporate partners and it wasn't, and, and Scott Morrison merged it into the Prime Ministers and Cabinet's office, and I believe that's not valid. You, the, the Parliament needs to vote on any organization and any committee that's brought into the Parliament and so.

Uh, Scott Morrison merged it in with that parliamentary, um, voting and consent, and that was the corporate partners, which gave, and you see in 2009, three committees were set up to ensure they could make centralized decisions in 2020. If I could just go through those three bodies, it was the Fair Work Act of 2009 that was set up to control the judges with centralized decision making.

It was the Australian Health Um, protection principle committee that was set up, uh, to control all the infectious disease, um, committees already that were providing advice in the states and, um, federal, um, parliament. And, uh, there's about eight committees there. So they, they now came under this new umbrella of the Australian Health.

Protection Principle Committee, and then of course APRA, the Australian Health Practitioners Regulatory Authority was set up in 2009 to control decisions on doctors. And we know now from William Bay that that also is a corporate body. It's not, um, a, a body, uh, directly related to the government, uh, they're, they're a corporation and they have a business number and, and, uh, all of that's been revealed, uh, through Dr.

William Bay. So those three bodies were set up so that the World Health Organization could then make this decision that goes against all doctors ethical guidelines. Once you breach informed consent to medications without coercion, you're then promoting sickness in the population. That's no longer a health policy, because you're then, um, forcing medications on people who have different genetics, and gen

Genetics predisposes you to tumors, um, and, and, you know, cancers, et cetera. So, You know, this is where, um, uh, the central, all of the, um, um, positions were put in place, you know, a decade before they, they, they, um, needed to use those powers. And with the judges, um, see, what's happened here is that everybody, Not just the judges, but the community, has been provided with false information to claim that these drugs called vaccines are for the community good.

Okay? And, and, and that false information is that a vaccine can provide herd immunity. That term herd immunity originated in the 1900s, before mass vaccination campaigns had even been put in place because they knew that natural immunity needed to be primed through natural infection and once enough people, um, had had the infection, uh, the, um, virus and bacteria were no longer transmitted through the community, hence your herd immunity.

So it's proven that natural immunity can create herd immunity, but not a vaccine. That's just theorized. It's only a theory and it hasn't been proven. But you see, what's happened is the mass communications are collaborating with government and the medical industry complex to falsely inform the public about Uh, what a vaccine does, and then they're saying it's in the community good, and then that has inverted the reality of what this drug does.

This drug is killing and harming many, many people, but because of the mantra of the government over decades and decades, that these products save lives. They are for the community good. When these cases arrived in the courts. Uh, the judges also were, they knew if they went against the government's policy, their jobs were on the line, uh, they were being, uh, ostracized like others in the community and denigrated.

Uh, it was for the community good and most people only have the information that's provided by the government and the health departments. So why would they go against the knowledge that these vaccines are for the community good? Most of them had no choice. Anyway, so in that respect, so, so we can see that it's not an accident that, um, the courts haven't been ruling on the ethics of medical doctors, the guidelines they should be following.

Um, doctors couldn't stand up until there was enough people to stand up with them together as one. That's when we have power. And what I see at the moment is Uh, we can't make people accountable for this crime because not enough people are properly educated to know the dangers of these drugs. And that's purposely being done to, the public's being divided on this issue and, um, you know, until enough people can join together to stand up and make them accountable.

If we don't make them accountable, then we will have sick policies for a long time, not health policies. Disease management rather than health management. Yes, yes. Unbelievable. Um, there was a lady that featured in that documentary I talked about the other night, Elizabeth Hart. Um, Jane Halton. Yes. A very, very interesting character.

Now she's connected through fibres all over the place, isn't she? Through what, sorry? She's connected like an octopus to everything. Oh yeah, yes. Well. CEPI is the main thing that CEPI, that's the front for the vaccine industry as well. And that was set up originally by Bill and Gates foundation. And so she, she was the one who was in Scott Morrison's ear in May, 2020.

She was on that committee that was advising Morrison saying no jab, no play for adults. That's what she, that's what she was insisting on. That was May 2020. So, what I'm looking at now, and I started doing with that, one of the sub stacks on Morrison, I'm putting together a timeline, uh, and I'm just going to build this timeline and go back, because we've got to go back now and look at what happened.

That's the only way to learn, go back in history and see how did this unfold. Because Morrison was flip flopping, you know, he said he wanted mandatory vaccination in August 2020. And that's what it is. It's for me looking back because as you know, I was writing a lot of letters, uh, and in 21 and I was writing to all these.

Doctors Organizations and ARPRA and now I look back and when I said before about what happened on the 4th of June, I think probably one of my first letters that was about that was probably a couple of days later. And I was writing to ARPRA and the RACGP and the RACP and the AMA. And I wrote a number of emails and I can see now, 2021, this was happening.

This, this was going ahead, they were going to get this, that mandates in come hell or high water. I can see that looking back, but by writing those emails, eventually, are perhaps to respond to me, and they responded to me on the 20th of September 21 saying that the practitioners have to get voluntary informed consent.

So you can see now when I put I'm working on this timeline I'm going to do it bit by bit. But you can see how this is going to look quite compelling, that on the 22nd, September 21, I get that letter from APRA, but at the beginning of September, Daniel Andrews announces his vaccinated economy. Now what was going on in National Cabinet, because these, the minutes of meetings aren't public.

I found some transcripts of media stuff to do with National Cabinet but it's not giving the detail. I can't find any discussion, so they must have been talking about this, the Premier of Victoria who's in National Cabinet. says that the people in Victoria are not going to be able to participate in society unless they have an injection.

That is some mega. On what basis did this man do this? It's an incredible thing to happen, and it just went through. It's amazing. And then I got that letter from ARPA on the 20th of September saying, Practitioners have to get voluntary informed consent. So there's a, it's an absolute contradiction there.

So this is the sort of thing that's got to be exposed. And I'm just seeing national cabinet now is just crucial to this, because you've got the Labour Party and the Liberal Party. We've got the two major parties in that cabinet. All with the same plan, which was to vaccinate all of Australia. And they were determined to do this.

And also keep in mind that in the UK, they were doing the same thing with the aged care workers. So we've got the UK and Australia. There's somebody above this. There's somebody directing this over these countries. This is what we've got to explore now about how this happened. Because it was being controlled.

You know, Morrison called the pandemic before the who else I've mentioned before on the program. So much to go back if we look at the time, if we put a timeline together, uh, there's a lady in South Australia. She's put together, she's a website totality of evidence, she's done a timeline with all sorts of interesting information going back to the 19th century.

So this is what's rich for this vaccination story, we've got to just look at a whole big picture here, including 1918, because I don't think 1918 was anything like it's been made out to be. You know, was it 20 million deaths or 50 million deaths or 100 million deaths? You get this sort of stuff being thrown around with no real backing behind it.

There's a lot that's got to be looked into and it's got to be to stop this happening in the future because they've got the factory going in in Melbourne and Queensland. They've got the plans to be locking in this population forever more with RSV jabs and flu jabs and COVID jabs and everything like that.

I mean, the population now is making the decision itself that they are, they don't want to have this. And a lot of people wouldn't have had it if it wasn't, if it wasn't for the mandates either. So a lot of people got coerced into this. So this is what we've got to, we've got to unpack what happened. How did this happen?

It's amazing what happened. In Western Australia, most of the people were jabbed under duress from Mark McGowan. How did this guy do this? It's astonishing, and that people went along with it. It's unprecedented in our history, how this happened, and closing the borders, and closing the international borders.

We were prisoners in this country for two years. We were hostages. That's a crime. We've got to be able to do something about this. And Morrison's like key in this and, and Morrison, because this goes back to no jab, no pain for children in 2016. That's where this, you know, no jab, no job came from. There's so, Morrison has cost so much of this stuff, you know, Scotty from marketing.

He, he put these things in place for, for vested interest and we've got to unwrap it now because that shouldn't have happened. No jab, no pay should not have happened in 2016. The practitioners should have stood up then because it was coercive. They've just gone against voluntary informed consent.

Interesting in the Nemesis program, there was a portion of the program devoted to a conversation that happened over the phone with Morrison and Palaszczuk in Queensland. Scott Morrison called Anastasia Palaszczuk up and said, look, you've closed the borders, but there's a young lady desperate to get to her father's funeral.

Can you please let her in? Please make allowances and let her in. Anastasia Palaszczuk said, no, no, I can't do that because I'll be going against the orders of the chief medical officer. Morrison replied to her was. You're the boss of the chief medical officer. You're her boss. You can tell her. She doesn't tell you.

You tell her. And then Anastasia got a bit upset and annoyed and she felt like she was being bullied. So she hung up on the prime minister. I mean, there's so much you can unpack from that little part of that program alone,

where you've got, you had Jeanette Young telling Palaszczuk what to do. Jeanette Young was also coming out early in the piece, telling people that, you know, we don't need to take this stuff too serious.

We need to be careful. We don't want to go overboard with it. And then you have this, this thing where Morrison says, She doesn't tell you what to do. You tell her what to do. So this has been a complete schmuzzle, Judy Wiley. Yeah, I was going to, um, just, um, Eusebio Hart brought up, um, West Australia and how McGowan, um, mandated this for 75 percent of the workforce.

How people went along with this. I thought I'd give you a little example of how people have been duped by their, this wonderful rag of a paper, the West Australian. Um, it's known commonly in West Australia as the worst Australian. But if you have a look at this picture. Uh, this is the false information that the public has been fed through the mass media across the world, not just across Australia and WA.

But when we were protesting every, uh, weekend and, and once a month, big protests, uh, the West Australian would put in an article like this. All of these needles, and then circling. One unvaccinated, one unvaccinated one. Claiming that herd immunity is created when everybody gets vaccinated. In other words, it's implying that we, that we need these drugs to live on this earth.

Uh, that is completely incorrect. This is the opposite. Uh, natural immunity is how we get herd immunity, as I mentioned previously. Um, the human immune system cannot function properly until it is primed by a natural agent. And the best time for that to happen is between the ages of one and adolescence.

That's when the immune system is most efficient and with proper natural infection, then, uh, you, you can fight all of these diseases for the rest of your life. Uh, but this is the opposite. You see, it's a few, the, the pharmaceutical companies. have adopted the theory of herd immunity, which does apply to natural immunity, but there is no proof that these drugs Can actually create herd immunity.

So unfortunately, the mass media is responsible for falsely informing the public and therefore the public believes it's in the community good to get vaccinated and that everybody else is selfish. So we have been people that are healthy, who have, who are aware that natural immunity comes from being naturally infected.

Um, and this is even with covert, um, the, the. It was false to tell the public in the first place that they would not receive immunity from this population and every novel new virus, they mutate all the time. And of course we will have some immunity to these new coronaviruses. So there's been a lot of lies told. It's always presented in the community's best interests and unfortunately the people have been duped. Um, into that and so the opposite, reality has been inverted and, um, the opposite is happening.

And if I could just mention the, the, uh, situation with the Australian Immunisation Register. Um, this was a register that was brought in only in 2015. Okay. It was tabled in Parliament in 2015. Prior to 2015, what we had was the Australian Children's Immunization Register, which only went from naught to seven.

But in 2015, Greg Hunt tabled the Australian Immunization Register air. Um, that for sure,

um, that then. Australian immunization register. So in other words, um, you know, it went up to 20 and older. And, um, this was brought in, but the permitted purpose of that act. Uh, was never to allow mandatory vaccine to be used for mandatory vaccination that it says in the act that, um, uh, nobody has the right to obtain information from that database.

It is protected information, um, and it is, you can upload information to it, but it, you cannot be, uh, taking information out of it and it's a criminal offense. to actually violate, uh, that act. Well, this has been violated because it breaches human rights. When Greg Hunt tabled it, it says in the introduction, uh, this, um, this bill, uh, will not violate human rights.

It is not meant for mandatory purposes. It is illegal to, to, um, reveal this protected information. Um, And if you look at healthy people being removed from their jobs, that is a breach of human rights. So this has been violated by the government and by businesses now, and it is a criminal offence. And if I could just tell you that the crime involves, um, a 22, 000 fine and a, an imprisonment of up to two years, uh, for, um, re, uh, for revealing the, this protected information.

Um, so, so this is what's happened that the, the legislation has been misused. It is a criminal offense. Um, but we can't get accountability until enough people, we have to expose this crime to enough people and we must stand up and make someone accountable because if we move on without making them accountable, it is going to continue.

So, so this is what we have to do. This is how you, uh, you get accountability is you expose the corruption and then. Democracy can happen when enough people stand together to actually make, bring some accountability to the issue. Johnny. Yeah, look, it's a nightmare. I just don't know what you can say. I mean, they're committing all these crimes, there's no doubt about it, but how you prosecute them, I don't know.

Um, you know, in a perfect world. These people would have been in jail well before now. Um, I mean, how they can get away with what they've got away with is beyond belief. You can't even get the police to, uh, to act on, um, on information about, uh, people dying. Uh, they won't even investigate it. They won't even accept it over the counter.

Uh, that's how mad this is. Um, and the courts have been, uh, absolutely, uh, abhorrent in all this. I mean, we've got a situation where they're essentially just taking. Uh, the information of the government as, as, uh, as the gold standard and, and in every judgment, it doesn't matter whether it's in, in a, uh, tribunal or a, uh, Supreme Court or, or wherever.

They always rely on the, on the, uh, on the expert advice of the government to basically say that the gold star. is vaccination and it's, it's, it's safe. Uh, it's effective and Kerry chant wouldn't lie. Uh, these other health officers wouldn't lie. Uh, well, that's the property. I mean, you know, we know they have, but there's no holding to them, no holding them to account.

And the public, uh, are out there in a stupor because they're not watching programs like ours. They're watching the mainstream media that tell, that's telling them that Kerry Chant is Is a gold medalist. She's an AO recipient. She's got, you know, citations like you wouldn't believe because she's an upstanding member of the community and she's done wonderful things.

And people out there believe it. John, that's how they've given credibility to their lies for decades now. I've watched as all of them have received their Order of Australia medal as they leave office. Uh, you know, having been involved in designing this, uh, no jab, no pay policy and, um, you know, criminally mandating these drugs in the community with lies, with lies.

Elizabeth, I can, in a way, when I, when I listen to people like Gerard Rennick speaking the science in the, in the, uh, Senate estimates and also in the chamber. Um, it's amazing how he gets his head around this stuff. And I, I could imagine a whole bunch of judges who are going to hear these cases, who are thinking, how am I going to get my head around this science?

I'm not, I'm not into science. I'm into, um, I'm into the law. That's why I believe that if, if a judge does have the ability to adjudicate without too much scientific reference on issues of morality and ethics, and the informed consent argument is something that a judge can't duck shove. You know, I don't know the science, so I'm relying on the government.

Well, hang on a minute. If you're going to talk about morality and informed consent and ethics, that's what the judges are paid to adjudicate on, surely. Yeah. Then this isn't about the science. This is about a practitioner giving somebody a medical intervention. They are supposed to be an expert in that medical intervention.

They should inform the person about it. Now, usually, usually somebody goes to a doctor. Because they're sick, they've got a broken ankle or something like that practical going to get proper doctoring, they need to go and get some help and the person will, is an expert in their field, you know, they're specialists in this field.

But with vaccination, this is on a different level altogether. This is mass populations, being pulled in to have a medical intervention for something that may never been a problem for them, such as COVID, whatever that may be, you know, the symptoms of COVID are so common sore throat and coughing and fever.

The shortness of breath. I mean, that, how many things does that cover? It's ridiculous. And, and people are getting classified as a COVID case on some sort of positive test, where they're not even really sick. This is ludicrous, what is going on and what has been going, this is four years now. It's pure insanity.

It's just got to stop and practitioners have to be pulled up. These are people who are nurses or pharmacists. And they're great people, but I'm saying they're not experts in these diseases. They are not experts in the vaccine products. They are not qualified, in my opinion, to be undertaking this medical intervention.

That's what I've argued with referring to the Rogers versus Whitaker case, that this is not the same as a going to a specialist for some specific problem. Mass populations this because it makes money for the vaccine companies. They don't want some injection that will give somebody lifelong immunity.

That's no good to them. They want something now. They've even got it going to every few months. This is and it's ludicrous that and I'm just shocked at the at the medical profession and not looking at the leadership of the medical profession now that they have gone along with this. They have gone along with the mandates.

What on earth is going on with them? And I've mentioned before how I went to Karen Price, who was the president of the RACGP about children's vaccination, how those practitioners could have gone along with vaccinating children when they knew they weren't at risk. That is. Oh, it's so unethical how they could do that.

What is the matter with these people? Their reputation is going to be trashed and there's millions and millions of these things being given to people. I'm a gog at what might happen in the future when people understand how they've been tricked like this. And I'm really laying it at the feet of the medical profession that they are the ones who should have called this out.

But because of APRA putting the frightness on them for years, as Judy well knows, this goes back, you know, to people like John Peace, they have. You know, with, with midwives and all these sorts of people, they have put them under threat. You question vaccination, you're out. So they have made this a hostile space, that these are medical interventions that people cannot question.

You know, it's like, you can question antibiotics or antidepressants or what have you, but these vaccines are a blessed product and you will not question it. And that's why we've got this blown out schedule now, womb to tomb schedule, and as Judy was saying about this, uh, this register, This is so sinister.

These vaccines used to be just for a couple, a few children. They've got this from womb to tomb now. They want everybody on this system. It won't work for them unless everybody's on the system. And their dream plan is to have everybody locked in. And if you want to travel, you will have to demonstrate you've had all your vaccines throughout your life.

This is their plan. To just have people jabbed all the time and making loads of money for the vaccine and manufacturers and us under control. This is what this is about. And that privacy is a major issue here. If we could make privacy work, this is dead. Inform consent and privacy. Look how privacy has been invaded with this COVID thing.

That's not supposed to be happening, having to tell all and sundry your medical records. They are just pushing this stuff through and they're getting away with it because there's not enough pushback and the pushback should have come from the medical profession. But all they had to do was novel a few people like Mark Hobart and Robert Brennan and some others.

Those people who stood up, they novel them. And the rest of them just fell apart and, and, and, and they've got the livelihoods. But what about their professional responsibility to the community? Their reputation is going to be wrecked when people understand what they've done. And opera is the living end.

The mentality, uh, the mentality of this whole debacle was demonstrated recently when a relative of mine suffered a stroke and went to hospital. And the nurse said, you know, what's causing all this? And she said, well, all this started to happen after I got the booster. So I'm vaccine injured and the nurse said, what a load of rubbish.

Anyway, if you hadn't had it, you'd be dead now from COVID. That is so unprofessional. What should have happened is that they should be reporting these cases to TGA as adverse events after vaccination. This goes, every case of supposed COVID after vaccination should have been reported as adverse event.

Imagine the amount of reports they would have had. This has not been truly looked at. But you'd be dead if you hadn't had the vaccine. You'd be dead if you didn't have the vaccine. And what does that nurse know? This is what I'm saying, that nurse like that does not, and for her to be speaking like that, she does not know.

That is unprofessional. It's, it's wrong. And that's what's, that's, that's, what's the big problem that we're not getting proper reporting on this for the TGA. The TGA is just such a terrible organization funded by industry. If it wasn't for the TGA, it was the fact that the TGA approved these products that they've ended up mandated.

And something that's very crucial that I haven't written up yet. But because they, they sort of, uh, went past the PBAC process because of this so called emergency, they didn't go through the PBAC process. And something that's very interesting to think about is I was looking at the schedule the other day, the immunization program schedule, this is all the, the vaccines that are laid out for us from womb to tomb, the COVID injection isn't on there.

That is very interesting to think about. And is that because it didn't go through the PBAC process because that's the taxpayer funded schedule. It went through a separate process altogether. And 280 million doses were ordered. So that was separate to the actual PBAC process. Is that why? Because it was paid for separately.

I'm just wondering about this now. This is something to look into. But the PBAC process was bypassed. That is very important because if that had gone through the PBAC process, I don't see how they could have approved. A vaccine product that was not proven at all and, and certainly didn't do what it was supposed to do.

And people were lied to about being fully vaccinated after two doses. It's just been an absolute load of lies from start to finish. And you were talking about the HPPC, uh, you know, in, in, in, uh, Queensland, the HPPC led by Paul Kelly during the COVID so called emergency, our country was being run basically by Paul Kelly.

It was on his advice. That Greg Hunt went to the Governor General and that the emergency was rolled over every three months. Now, I wrote to Greg Hunt in July 21. This is a key time. 21 is so key. July 21, I wrote to him and I was asking him, what is the basis of the emergency? And who are these people on the HPPC?

What are their qualifications? What other people are advising the HPPC? No response, no accountability. Now we is clear. And now everybody knows that Greg Hunt was the World Economic Forum strategy director before he went into the parliament. We know how the WF apparently has been planning to penetrate the cabinets.

Well, they certainly did there. There's so much to be looked at that he happens to be the health minister while this thing is happening and all unfolds, creating this. massive, you know, response to something that wasn't a

threat to most people, spending an absolute fortune on testing, the amount of testing that's gone on, what that's done to the psyche of people now, that people, people get a COVID test and it's positive.

They think they've got a really serious disease, even though the symptoms are just the Usual colds and flus that we've had all through the years. They're just creating a massive testing industry, a massive vaccine industry, the antiviral industry. All this money is being spent on things that are not necessary, or the wrong things they're spending them on.

That's taking away the money that could have been spent on so much other, you know, useful things. But it's also the political damage that's been done to all of us, whether we were vaxxed or not. Because the people who, who refused to submit to these injections, we've been damaged too, politically. We've been terrorized by this and we've been ostracized.

It's a disgrace what's happened in this country. You know, you talk about Anzac Day and everything. I'll never feel the same way about that again. You know, it's, it's just really ruined the psyche of this country. And I don't know how we're going to be able to, to, to come back from that. Because a lot of people are just sort of pretending it's not happened, but it did happen.

And it's caused a lot of damage and we have to address this. So what are the, what are the solutions? I'll put this to all three of you. Um, we need to start focusing on solutions. We know what we've got and we know how wretched it is. What can we do with what we've got to bring about a successful conclusion?

Has anybody got any ideas? Yeah, yeah. I've got some ideas because what we're revealing is It's extreme corruption in all our institutions. It's being controlled by money and bullying. Money and bullying. And this is where the health practitioners have been captured and all our regulators. So the only way we can move forward, I mean mental health across the country is at a peak and families are still divided and people who have been injured need to be acknowledged for what this drug did.

And, um. Also, the people that were removed from society. You know, we were literally removed from society. That has mental health significance with our friends and family. It's all still there. So, uh, when there is a bad law, it is our duty not to comply. That is the bottom line. And people, the aware community, community, and they're doing this right across Australia as you, you know, Graeme Hoodie, because you've travelled around.

We're all connecting together. We don't have to worry about. Convincing people we're right. What you need to do is to be connected into your AWARE community so that you have your employment with these people. You, you are not, no longer dependent upon government incentives and payments. You need to be independent and relying on just the local people in your community for food and health and any jobs.

You need done around the house and, um, the, we need to keep exposing the corruption in our, um, institutions. We know this is liberal and labor. Uh, there's just one, one party with one agenda being directed by a corrupted World Health Organization. It's the corporations controlling all of this and it's global.

Uh, so I, I see that literally, uh, we need to live by our values. If we want integrity and, and a moral society, you've got to start living. By those values. And there's so many beautiful people in the communities here in Australia that I have now encountered and we're now working together and people will see, see us and they will join us and and Do not comply with any laws, uh, you know, that, that, uh, are immoral and unethical and, um, will lead to sickness and death in the community, you know, it's, it's, it's time to stand up for our integrity and that's how we will reverse it because we will eventually be the dominant, um, um, you know, group across Australia, but at the moment, money is controlling the narrative.

And that's through all the mass media. And that's where our problem is. They keep purposely dividing the people. Yeah, well, I think we've got to wrap this one up. But, um. We could go on for, uh, for days about this stuff. I mean, it, every, every line has been crossed, Elizabeth, hasn't it? We've seen it. We've seen this happen over and over again.

We've seen people trodden on everything we knew to be true and, and everything built on integrity has been shattered. Um, what's your solution? Where do we go? Well, as I said about doing the timeline and looking back at this, you've got to look, see how this happened to understand what happened. You have to see how it happened.

And I'm really, that's really hitting me as I look back on things. So we've got to look at, um, there's lots of conflicts of interest. We've got to look at this, uh, taxpayer funded schedule that has really blown out. The people really looked at this. To see how many vaccines that children are getting and now older people are getting pushed to have flu vaccines and shingles vaccines and pneumococcal that we people have to find out that this area of policies dominated by vaccine manufacturers.

And, and we haven't got any real, real experts in this area immunologists and what have you because it's all about a vaccine solution, and they're just going to keep coming up with more and more vaccine products for every disease they can think of. You know, when, when they come up with product, all of a sudden RSV becomes a thing.

Same thing with chickenpox. That was always a mild disease of childhood. Then they had a vaccine and then now it's serious. It's got to be put into the vaccine. So yeah, so we've got to look at all of that sort of stuff, but a really crucial problem here is the medical profession. They have really got to be looked at because the problem with them is that they have accepted mandates for themselves that I know this is going to frighten some people, but we really, you can't have a little bit of informed consent for vaccination.

You've either got it or you haven't. You cannot have any mandates for anybody, and that includes children and the military. And the, the medical people, and I know that is a big call, but seriously, when you look at these individual vaccine products, you look at them one by one, don't talk about vaccination, but look at them individually one by one, like HPV, for example, chicken pox, and examine them and say, Really, why is that vaccine product being given?

And that's what we need to do. We need to look at them one by one. But medical people are mandated to have a variety of vaccines, like Hep B, for example. Now, they should make a decision on that if they think they're at risk of that in their position, but it should never be mandated. Because once you have a mandated medical product, that's it, you have broken the principle, and that's what happened with COVID.

They broke the principle for the aged care workers, and that ended up, just about everybody being coerced and mandated to have a vaccination. So we have to have this as a serious conversation, but we haven't been allowed to have it in the past, because it's been a taboo subject. Anybody who's tried to talk about vaccines at all has been shut down.

But now with COVID, there's an awful lot more eyes on this subject now. Before this, probably before COVID, you wouldn't have, you would have said, Oh God, everybody should have their vaccines. And you probably would have done because most people would have done. It's only with COVID now when you can see clearly that unnecessary medical products have been pushed on children, what have you.

Even the blessed measles vaccine. I had measles when I was a child and it was no big deal. That, that knowledge is going to go as our generation sort of like. moves on. You know, but we need to talk about this. We really need to have a serious discussion about this and not just listen to all the scary stories that have been pushed around for years.

We really need to break it down. And the medical profession is key to this because the reason why they went along with this is because they were used to being mandated themselves. They do not understand voluntary informed consent for vaccination because They've gone along with it for so long. Donny.

Well, I agree with Elizabeth, uh, a great deal there. I think the medical profession, uh, is where this could have, uh, ended. And I think lower down, I think everyone has just complied. I question whether a lot of these senior doctors and bureaucrats have even had the vaccine. I think they've probably, uh, weaseled their way out of it and, uh, written off the fact that they've, they've even, even had it to, to, uh, maintain their, their jobs.

But realistically, uh, Hootie, I just think we need a, uh, uh, an independent authority like ICAC, but something that, uh, really has teeth. I mean, the corruption is easy to see. We don't need to investigate it. It's just in our face. I mean, it's, it's that obvious. Uh, we know it's going on, but there doesn't seem to be any way of, of taking it from that point of, of it just flowing out to actually prosecuting these grubs.

So we, we need to have an independent authority that can actually, uh, impose, uh, sanctions and, uh, and, and, and go about business to keep these bastards honest, because they will just keep. Doing it and doing it, but the law is not working, uh, they're not prepared to hold these people to account. So we need to have an independent body.

And there needs to be a number of people from different jurisdictions on that. Not just people of law backgrounds. Uh, it needs to be more like a pub test as far as I'm concerned. Um, with, with credible people that we can trust. Like these two ladies on the screen at the moment, that'd be a good start. Um, I think, you know, as I said, we've got to bring this one to a close.

Judy, The Light Australia is a great platform for people to see what's happening in a way that they can trust what they're seeing there. How's it going? You're up to, you've just had edition 10, I believe. Uh, yeah, the light, um, is, is helping to educate the public with the information that's been suppressed for two decades and, um, sadly, the public is self censoring, okay, because, um, you know, they listen to the smear of, oh, you know, the, the lights of fringe or alternative, uh, you know, newspaper and, um, and then many people think that it's going to be divisive if they put it in their shops.

So people, and many others, won't read it because they're listening to the spin that's coming through the mass media on these sorts of independent papers that are just trying to give you a different perspective on all the political issues. Like any paper, you're not going to necessarily agree with everything that's in it.

But, You know, the attempt is there to educate the public so we can break down the division that's now in society. And so I hope that the public will stop self censoring. You know, I've had shopkeepers say, I couldn't possibly put that in my store because the community is already so divided. You know, and yet the paper is there for education, and it's only knowledge that can help us get back to be able to discuss and have freedom of speech in this country and discuss issues that without making them taboo.

So it is a great paper and people are, you know, putting their heart and soul into having it, getting it out to the public across Australia, and I hope people will support it. Absolutely. And I've got a, on a lighter note, I need to, uh, to talk about, I was out and about today with Michelle and went into Kyogle in northern New South Wales.

A lot of people are awake there and we sort of get recognized. And I want to thank, excuse me, I want to thank a lady called Jennifer. I think she comes from, uh, Wagga or somewhere. Anyway, we walked into our, uh, our favorite coffee shop, the Manurey Pantry. And, uh, this lovely lady had come in and left a beautiful card for Michelle and I some time ago with no contact details.

So Jennifer, if you're watching, thank you so much. We really appreciated it. I also want to thank the, uh, police officer who, uh, on the way to Canberra last week. Was part of a contingent on the side of the road that pulled me into, uh, to give me a breath test. And I was in a queue of three or four cars and their officers lined along these cones and, and, uh, the window went down and, and, uh, this officer, a senior constable, um, asked me to count to, uh, to 10 or something into this machine.

And when, when he'd finished, he said, Oh, thank God you passed. And I looked at him a bit miffed. He said, I'd hate to book you, stay out of the trees hoodie and have a good day. Uh, that just lifted me, uh, knowing, so I, I, I

know, you know, but I'm not going to mention it. I don't want to get you into trouble, but you know, look, there's still a good, there's still a good spirit in this country.

You know, I, I implore everybody watching this. You've got to start trusting some people here and there because we've gone through a phase where we've, we've just cut off trust out of our, out of our vocabulary and it's not good for us because somebody doesn't agree with you or has a different perspective.

Doesn't mean that they can't be trusted. I mean, isn't that what freedom's all about? Isn't that what we're fighting for? The right for people to disagree without labeling them as some kind of, uh, you know, Freemason or theorist or whatever. We've got to start somewhere. You have to put your faith and trust in something bigger than yourself, but we've also got to start trusting each other to some degree.

Let's learn to disagree with compassion, if we can, because if we don't, we're no better than the side that we're fighting against. Because that's the truth of it. It's just as bad on our side of the fence as it is on the other side. Let's be honest, there's a lot of really weird stuff going on. So let's get that into perspective and remember it's not about us.

It's about everybody. So, uh, I think on that basis, if you don't mind, I'd like to thank you both and I'm gonna, I'm going to, uh, get Elizabeth's glasses misted up now because I'm going to pray, which is customary at the end of this program. So Lord Father in heaven, I want to thank you for the courage of these two beautiful ladies that they have stood for so long and they are relentless in their march towards truth in, in this time.

particular issue and others as well. They stand as lights on the hill and we thank you for them. And we ask that this country will wake up. We ask that those in power who've made the wrong decisions and made some mistakes will confess to those, repent of those things and get some action happening to reverse some of the danger, the dangerous side effects that are going on at the moment.

You can't heal a wound by saying it's not there. So father, we ask you to bless this program that it would reach people in Jesus name. Amen. You even had your eyes closed, Elizabeth. Good. Uh, Johnny, you've often been heard to say. You just couldn't make this stuff up. You couldn't make this stuff up and stay out of the trees.

Everyone. If you love somebody and you haven't told them, please tell them it's vitally important. Dr. Judy Wildman. Thank you for being on the program. Thanks hoodie. It's great to be here. And Elizabeth Hart, you're a trooper, my dear. And we thank you so much for all the work you're putting in and, uh, and your courage.

God bless you. And thanks for coming on. Thank you very much everyone. Thank you. And to you our special viewers. Thanks for your support. Keep on uh, keeping on and we've said it a lot. We've got some great interviews coming up, and next week is gonna be fantastic. But anyhow, shut up Graham, and just get on with it.

All right, God bless, and good night everybody. Thanks for watching.