Graham and John Host a panel discussing the terms for reference for a royal commission into covid...

Published

2024/01/08 at 9:08 pm

Well, hi everybody. It's Monday the 8th of January and Club Grubbery coming back in a big way. And tonight, John, we have a stellar lineup on the screen. We have five amazing individuals who've been in the fight, uh, in the last three plus years and some of them even before that. And we've got two outstanding lawyers in Australia and Julian Gillespie and Peter Pham.

Welcome to you both. Thanks mate. And three outstanding health professionals who have been at the forefront, not only in, in declaring truth, but also looking for ways to heal and improve the situation for those who are injured and those who are struggling. So we have Dr. Duncan Sime. Hello Duncan. Welcome.

Welcome. G'day, Graham. G'day, John. Glad to be with you. Good to have you. Uh, Dr. Julie Sladden from Tasmania. Great to have you with us, Julie. No, you're muted.

It's great to be back. Thanks for having me again. It's good to have you. And of course, Professor Ian Brighthope. Ian, it's lovely to see your forever smiling face on our screen. Welcome to the show. Thanks, Ian. Hi, John. It's good to be back. And before we go into this, we're going to get cracking right into this.

This is a show we've called the Royal Commission Terms of Reference. And, uh, there's, uh, some initiatives being announced here, including an amalgamation of various groups that are fighting this stuff that are coming together to bring about a solid outcome. Before we go into that, I just want to say to people that today I have been inundated, inundated with, um, with, uh, piloting capacitations from around the world.

Uh, and some deaths, uh, it's just starting to ramp up and, uh, to that end, we'll be having another close look at that with some individuals from the USA very shortly. But, uh, this is actually, this is actually reaching proportions of the absolute ridiculous. And we are all living in a country that's, and in a world, in fact, I'm sure you'd all agree, which is accepting the unacceptable.

So tonight it's about truth and that's all we're seeking. We're all rational human beings, are we not? And we're all seeking truth and one of the big five key, uh, big ticket items that John and I have been pushing for since we got together has been a royal commission. And so we need the truth to come out, out of, outside the echo chamber from the places where it needs to come from.

That is inside government through mainstream media who tell the truth. So as we get into this, I want to remind everybody that evil thrives when people and governments keep secrets. And also we need to remember that people who are talking about, about censorship and talking about misinformation, disinformation, and, and conspiracy theories, conspiracy theories are fertilized by government secrets.

That's it. People don't have to make up stuff if the government's honest and they haven't been. So let's get right into it. Julian Gillespie, thanks for coming on. Tell us what you guys have all been up to collectively. Thank you, Grant. Thank you, John. So people would be aware that there was quite a rush of activity leading into the end of the year.

Senator Malcolm Roberts successfully got the numbers to get a vote through the Senate. That vote and the consequences were that. A senate committee known as the Legal and Constitutional Affairs Reference

Committee was given instructions to investigate what are the most appropriate terms of reference for a COVID-19 Royal Commission.

Uh, that was, uh, a phenomenal, uh, piece of work on, on the part of Senator Roberts. Um, but we're in a situation where. That committee is receiving submissions for appropriate terms of reference for a COVID 19 Royal Commission this coming, uh, Friday, 12 January, and it's in a period during which Australia is shut down effectively, it's the school holiday period which, you know, begins in December and typically for many families ends at the end of January, and so we took the decision that that was a strategic date. That, uh, the government had forced for the delivery of submissions because people are just turned off, uh, to enjoy family time.

And so we started working 60 days ago to build the Royal, sorry, the terms of reference that a proper Australian COVID 19 Royal Commission requires. And so that end, myself, Peter Pham, and Katie Ashby Copens, we got in contact with approximately 60 of Australia's leading scientific and medical minds. And a massive array of PhDs and professors and associate professors.

And who have been in this battle or aware of the science or the failure of science for the past three years. And we said, what are the questions? Let's just get this all down on paper. What are the questions that a properly constituted Royal commission needs to examine and ask critically as a proper judicial role for this country to start getting the answers it requires to start healing because Quite frankly, most of Australia is still in a state of post traumatic stress disorder after this country was taken back to its penal colony times and put under the boot.

It was applied to all of our next, everyone here and everybody who's watching anyway, the upshot, if I can just share the screen for a moment after that consultation process, um, we created a document which now arrives at 107 pages. And we went to our friends over at AMPS, the Australian Medical Professional Society, and we said what do you think?

And that, of course, is Christopher, Dr. Christopher Neal, Duncan Syme, and Dr. Jayanthi, together with Secretary Cara Thomas. And they said these are the terms of reference that Australia needs to see. We will support you in sharing them with the Australian community. Now, not only that, did they kindly post a page here for the terms of reference, as you can see in this PDF document here, we went out and contacted all the groups that we know.

Many of them have had the opportunity to speak with you, Graham and John, who have been active and came into, into being as a consequence of COVID and before COVID. And we shared the same terms of reference with their leaderships. And they said, these are extraordinary. These are all the questions that need to be asked of all the government departments, all the government States and all the agencies, which quite frankly, and quite simply mismanage the whole COVID experience.

So currently we, we have here 15 organizations is two or three more who will be added tomorrow to this list. Those organizations have sent the link to this page out to all of their membership. And pushed it through social media to alert the rest of the Australian public. Hey, you're asked to put in submissions on 12 January, we understand you might be on holidays, but please come along and review the work that we believe has been done for you.

If you like what you see in these terms of reference, then please come along and become a co signatory. Together with the other 42 of Australia's leading medical and scientific leaders who also have signed on to this document and support these 52 terms of reference being submitted to the Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee saying these are the terms of reference Australians want to see the bottom line is and it's contained in this line here.

Now, Australian Australians want a Royal Commission created by Australians. Not a Royal Commission created by the very public servants that it is meant to examine. I spoke with Senator Malcolm Roberts earlier today, who is absolutely enamored and in support of this initiative. As the man who got the vote up for this committee

to consider terms of reference, he believes these are the terms of reference that all Australians should be subscribing to and recommending and promoting to this committee.

Since that committee was tasked with this responsibility, the Albanese government is in damage control mode because they were part of the problem. And very shortly afterwards, Albanese's office commanded up to 160 other federal government and state government agencies to put submissions into this same committee this Friday.

That's an act of trying to swamp the committee with only the government perspective. Now, let's be very clear here, leading up into Christmas, the Prime Minister announced the Prime Minister's Inquiry, where, I forget the date, in early December, the public had the opportunity to put in a three page submission about what they thought, uh, was the problems with the COVID 19 response by the Commonwealth and state governments.

Now, is that a legitimate inquiry? The way to properly look at that, if we suspect That a police officer has done something wrong or has potentially done something illegal. The Australian community never accepts the idea of allowing that police officer to in, to examine themselves. That's just ridiculous.

Well, this is what the PM did with the PM's inquiry says, okay, we think that something might've gone wrong, but let me examine it and I'll report back to you. Well, sorry. That's not how how it occurs. You have to give it to an independent judicial body, which is what a royal commission is tasked to be its own standalone court with the powers of discovery to compel witnesses and anyone who doesn't comply to throw them into jail for not giving evidence, not providing records.

And so we need a proper royal commission with the proper terms of reference. And this is what we believe we've delivered to assist the Australian public. With their voice in this, what can be a complex process. Well, Peter, Katie, and myself, we're the lawyers to assist everyone. We drafted the papers. And to this end, I have to hand it over to those who have come in with support.

Duncan, Julie, and Professor Brighthope to speak to the quality and need for these terms of references to be co signed by all Australians. We are currently sitting it over in the last. We only launched this website in the last few days. We're already up to about 16, 000 signatures with many more co signatories.

We're sending the message to the committee. This is what Australians need to see. Ignore the rubbish that the government departments are going to be putting on your lap and more particularly listen to the experts who are going to tell you why you need to look at these terms of reference and why they need to be included.

Thank you very much. And those experts are littered throughout this document who will step up to say to this committee, you need to have this term of reference or this term of reference, because this is overwhelming data that there was mismanagement on this point or on that point or on this point because they've got the scientific data.

I should stop there and hand it over to some of our other guests here tonight. Thank you, Julian, and, and we know that you are going, you'll probably have to leave before we finish the broadcast tonight. So thank you so much for what you've contributed so far. Johnny. Yeah. Dr. Duncan. Simon, uh, this is pretty significant, uh, from an amps point of view.

Uh, you've got a lot of membership in amps. Uh, what, what does this document mean, uh, for the medical fraternity? What, what's, what's your view going forward with this?

You're on mute, Duncan.

Uh, thanks, John. Um, this is a, an incredible piece of work, which has been put together, obviously by Julie and Peter and Katie, plus the, I think 60 to 80 other contributors. Um, and it's, it basically looks at every sort of nook and cranny of, of COVID, the COVID, uh, pandemic mismanagement, uh, and, uh, you know, I would basically

encourage all the listeners, um, viewing, viewing this program tonight to, to look at it and, uh, encourage them to cite it because many of those same people who are watching tonight were those who are out protesting in Canberra, Melbourne, Brisbane, Melbourne.

Sydney, Perth. Um, you know, back in 2021. Yeah, they knew the government was was mismanaging the whole the whole pandemic. Yeah. And they were basically, yeah, destroying. Yeah. Yeah. Your human rights and civil rights to a degree that's never been seen before in this country. Um, so amps. Is, is hosting, hosting the site, but this is a very much a people's document.

Um, and this is a collaboration between all the groups who want accountability and justice for, for the Australian citizens who have been, uh, damaged, injured by the, the mismanagement by public health and government. I mean, it wasn't the, uh, it wasn't the virus that caused the lockdowns, it wasn't the virus that caused the forced masking, it wasn't the virus which caused the loss of bodily autonomy, uh, it wasn't the virus which stopped, you know, safe and effective, uh, treatments like hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin being used, this was all down to government and health bureaucrats.

And now, uh, they're trying to cover it up. And we want, uh, them to basically, you know, face the consequences of their decision making. And Duncan, uh, AMPS isn't just five or six doctors who have been suspended by ARPRA. Uh, give us some, for those out there watching, give, give the viewers some insight to the background of AMPS and how many doctors are actually members.

Yeah, so we're, we're looking at about 700 members at the moment. I mean, you know, we're hoping that we will get a lot more and we know that there are a lot more doctors who are concerned about what has happened, but they're, they're fearful as they're fearful of the regulatory body opera and the Australian medical board who were intimately involved in the suppression and censorship.

Of, uh, you know, medical discussion and, uh, you know, the, the invasion in the doctor patient relationship and, and basically destroying that by demanding the doctors follow a government line, which is, uh, you know, patently, you know, unconstitutional. So by any standard Hoody, uh, 700 doctors, uh, is a lot. It is a lot.

And also adding to that was when we look at that document, we see some of the witnesses that you've got lined up and especially Peter McCullough, I've been communicating with Dr. McCullough in the last few days, trying to get him to debate a Melbourne cardiologist who is, um, yeah, it's not going to happen, uh, but I've got to tell you that Dr.

McCullough has, has written 400, over 400 peer reviewed papers. Uh, published in, in many major medical journals, including 70, which are about COVID and, and COVID shots. Uh, this is a man with great credibility and the fact that governments around the world are not clamoring to grab him and learn what they can from him.

is an absolute disgrace. Julie Sladden, what do you think? Oh, 100 percent uh, agree with you. Um, there's so much evidence out there now that just isn't being used, it isn't being shared, it isn't getting out into the mainstream and we really do need to break this wide open. And one of the um, things that struck me over the last uh, couple of months as we've been putting this together is that The call for a Royal Commission actually came back in 2022 when the Senate Select Committee on COVID 19, which was chaired by Senator Katie Gallagher, no less, who is now currently no longer in opposition, but is in the government, the current government, they came to the conclusion and that was recommendation 17 of the final report that Australia should hold a Royal Commission.

And I found that astounding when I actually came across the final report, which was actually put down. It was brought out in April 2022, just before the, the election. And it's taken 18 months since the government, the Labour government came to power for any, any sort of inquiry to be actually held. And then what was on the table was this watered down.

Uh, version, um, of I don't know what, which wasn't even going to look at the state based decisions like lockdowns and mandates and school closures and decisions that were made on the front line, the ones that are, in my opinion, were the most decimating to the Australian population, um, except of course, international border closures, which was horrendous in itself.

Um, so, so this is why, you know, this call for terms of reference for a Royal Commission, something that actually has teeth, something that is going to get those questions answered, um, especially for those Australians who have had their lives just, you know, as, As Julian alluded to, you know, people are in a state of post traumatic stress from what's been done to them.

And we owe it to them to get the answers. And the only way we're going to get anywhere near the answers is to hold a Royal Commission. And this document, um, is the closest thing that I've seen coming to actually asking many of the questions that need to be asked. Yeah, it's crazy stuff. Um, Peter Pham, um, it's an interesting scenario, isn't it?

We've got a prime minister who seems more worried about finding a cricket cap. Uh, But overseas, we've got one of the world's leading oncologists, Angus Dalgleish, who's head of the medical school and St. George's Hospital oncology unit, saying that these mRNA vaccines should be halted immediately and that any doctor that continues to prescribe these boosters should be, should virtually be pursued criminally.

Uh, he says that he's been contacted by oncologists from all around the world. Uh, in relation to what's happening with these vaccines, we've, we've got, uh, a prime minister here that won't even allow Royal commission. Yeah. At this point, it's difficult to imagine how anybody could not be aware of what's happening with the jabs and what they are, what they, what they consist of.

Um, it's difficult to imagine any doctor who hasn't witnessed serious adverse events and deaths within their own patients. And to question. the continued administration of those kind of drugs. In terms of the prime minister, he's, in my opinion, consistently proven himself to be, um, more about show or more about talk than action.

Um, and it's very difficult to To have any kind of counter argument to a Royal Commission. It's one of the most controversial, if not the most controversial, things that's ever happened in Australia. The whole point of a Royal Commission is to investigate controversies and to determine what can be done better next time.

The whole point is transparency and accountability. Australians really care about transparency and accountability. It's a big part of the fabric of our society, as it is for any democracy. But particularly in Australia, where people really care about People being up front and, and honest, and if they don't go through with the Royal Commission, um, they're just going to be further exposed as cowards who don't care about whether things are done for the, in the best interests of the Australian people.

They just care about saving their own skins and the population won't have that. We don't like that here and they're going to find out one way or another. Professor Ian Bridehope, uh, I received a document the other day from Singapore, uh, stating that the, the sale of cancer treatment drugs has gone through the roof in the last 18 months, a massive increase in sales.

So obviously oncologists in, in the island nation of Singapore, uh, working very hard to, to, uh, bring under control what seems to be an outbreak of cancer. Which we're experiencing all around the world. Medical professionals like yourself must be chomping at the bit to let's get everything out in the open so you can get to do the work that you all signed up to do.

And that is heal people. And how important is it for a Royal commission, um, in this country to bring out. The real truth so that you people can get to work because the time you spend in interviews like this and doing calls like this and having meetings to bring truth out could be spent saving lives.

How frustrating is that for you?

You're on mute. Buddy, the call for a Royal commission is, uh, uh, warms the cockles of my heart because I've wanted to see something like this with regard to health, uh, being done a long time ago. Unfortunately, we had to have a crisis that was created by officials to get to a point where we are now going to see some of the truth come out.

Hopefully, I've got some other things to say about what I think we should be doing with regard to the lower house later, but With regard to, uh, the incidence of severe disease, uh, it's increasing, I think, at a rapid rate. I'm only talking from my own perspective. Uh, I'm not a, an epidemiologist, and I'm not a statistician.

Uh, and many of the figures that are coming out are confusing me. But my colleagues, uh, and I've trained thousands of doctors and other healthcare professionals. Uh, over the last 40 plus years, uh, calling me constantly for help, um, and telling me the stories of the bizarreness of some of the cancers that they've seen, the, uh, increased incidence of clotting diseases and inflammatory heart disease, myocarditis, and, um, and stroke and diabetes.

It is ongoing and there is no doubt in my mind that this experimental DNA vaccine or injection of lipid nanoparticles and mRNA and DNA are contributing or triggering most of these conditions, especially in people who were never expected to have the onset of some of these conditions. It, uh, is, um, to me, uh, a gross negligence on behalf of, uh, not only the authorities, but also the leaders in my own profession.

Because at the beginning of this so called pandemic, before Scott Morrison even announced it as a pandemic, it came out with The recommendations that we always do in the College of Nutritional and Environmental Medicine that the doctors should measure vitamin D levels and prescribe vitamin D and zinc and even vitamin C for patients who, uh, need it, uh, or who are exposed to the virus or who want to improve their state of immune health or what we call nutritional immunology.

I was ignored. I was ignored by Scott Morrison. I wrote to him, I wrote to the health minister, uh, Greg Hunter. I wrote to Brendan Murphy, the Chief Medical Officer at the time. The, I wrote to the head of the a MA, the president of the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. I wrote to the unions and I wrote, wrote to, uh, business leaders as well when the, uh, pandemic was announced that we must do something like this.

I was ignored by all of these organizations and that triggered, uh, something in my mind that there is something seriously wrong with what's going on. And I discovered that there are certain organizations that are highly conflicted. And this is why we were locked down for a long, long period of time waiting for an experimental drug.

Now. In my experience in the past with epidemics and SARS CoV 1, I was involved with SARS CoV 1 in Asia at the time. If you actually boost up people's population's immune system and treat the individuals in the population, which is what general practitioners can do, you don't have people getting sick.

With the aged, all we needed to do was isolate them, give them their vitamin D and their zinc and the other medicines that we know help to suppress viral activity. And we would have been over all of this in six to eight weeks. Now, I'm not exaggerating. In six to eight weeks, we would have seen the end of this pandemic, which was not a pandemic.

It was an epidemic of a virus that was allowed. To continue in the community because we were never, ever allowed or permitted to experience what is called natural herd immunity, the most profound, long lasting, uh, and sound form of immunity, far, far superior than what we can achieve with any vaccine known to mankind.

So, um, that's my position. Woody, uh, went with the cancers. We know that, uh. Now, and Professor Dalgleish has even said it himself in one of his interviews with John Campbell that most of our cancers are diet related and nutritionally related. There are environmental, uh, Issue other environmental issues with cancer, of course, and psychological stress can predispose people as well as chemicals and radiation, so on, and viruses.

But it seems that what we've been teaching for the last 45 years in nutritional medicine, that deficiencies of critical nutrients open the door to the cancer process. And if you have a look at many of the cancers, Uh, that occurring in the world now, the populations of people who are developing these cancers are deficient in certain nutrients like trace elements such as selenium and zinc, even deficiencies of vitamin A, deficiencies of vitamin C, breast, prostate, bowel cancers, um, deficiencies or low levels of vitamin D, the sunlight vitamin.

We do nothing about this in health care in this country because we have a disease care And this is what I want to see at the end of this Royal Commission, uh, some recommendations, the exposure of the weakness of our, um, medical schools in terms of teaching high quality medicine that is both, uh, therapeutic as well as, um, as preventative.

Um, I think, uh, there's not a single medical school in this country that teaches nutritional medicine or nutrition. Adequately to its undergraduates, and why is this the case? I think it's because they're trained to think and act in terms of disease, make a diagnosis, do the pathology, and prescribe the drug, or the surgery, or the radiotherapy.

When it comes to thinking about what people put in their mouths, there's not one gastroenterologist in this country that I'm aware of who asks a patient about their diet and does tests to see whether or not they're nutritionally deficient. This is, um, this is tantamount to foolhardiness, recklessness, uh, and a massive deficiency in our education systems.

And we do need to retrain our, our population about the importance of the basic building blocks, and that is what we put in our mouths. So with this, uh, um, Royal Commission, ideally we want to see as many people sign up to supporting, um, what Our esteemed lawyers have been putting together the, um, uh, the importance of this is to me absolutely critical.

I've pulled in the organizations that I've either started or been involved with. over the last four decades to support us. That is Acnum, uh, NIM, AMA, um, uh, Acnum, NIM, AMA. And of course, uh, um, the world of wellness is a, an organization that I started last year or the year before last, um, which is a public organization to support what we're doing in the professional arena.

It's called the world of wellness. Uh, and we have A large number of members. Now we're getting more and more all the time. So encouraging these organizations to get their members to sign up for this is one of the things that I've been trying to do over the last few days and we'll continue to do until until deadline.

So, um, I think this is so important. And if the people looking on tonight, Woody and John, They can get together with their friends and relatives and sign up, uh, and support this Royal Commission. Um, I think we could see, uh, it, it go a long way, uh, the more signatures we get, the better. Well, we have over 140, 000 followers on this page alone, uh, just on Facebook, so not counting all the other pages.

So everybody needs to get behind that. Johnny. Oh, a hundred percent they do. I mean, I, I go back to, uh, the, the observations of, uh, Chris Ullman, the former channel nine political reporter, uh, probably about eight weeks ago, uh, when Albanese announced that he wasn't having a royal commission. He summed it up pretty, pretty fairly, I think.

Uh, he, he made the observation that these doctors, these experts had covered themselves in ignim, igniminy, uh, shame. Uh, he went on to say that, uh, uh, the iatrogenic harm, the, the doctor imposed harm on patients was, was unacceptable and that these experts should hand their cards back in. And he couldn't believe that these health ministers and these, uh, politicians had handed over.

Uh, their authority to these bureaucrats, uh, to be able to administer this. Uh, and he was, he was bemused that there wasn't a royal commission. Uh, and I think that gives weight, uh, to what these guys are saying on this panel, what's been put forward. And it's the very reason why everyone needs to sign up and become a signatory to force the Albanese government to hold a royal commission into this entire mess.

Look, it couldn't be made any easier and we will be putting the link. To that page where they can sign the link that Julian's been sharing on screen, uh, throughout the interview. Uh, there, there is a couple of questions being asked by a lot of our viewers. Over the last couple of weeks, Julian or Peter, you may be able to answer this or any of the panel.

Um, there is a lot of talk going on around what's happening in Italy and parts of Europe. It's in particular, the fact that their former health minister and their head of their version of a target are under investigation for a possible murder. Have you heard any more about that? Where has that gone to?

Are you able to give us any kind of an update?

Um, I've only heard a little bit about that, Graham. What I've heard is that, um, Italy, as well as other countries in Europe, Um, are moving towards governments that are a little bit more transparent. Uh, there's been a bit of a reaction to the wave we've seen before that around the world of this kind of, um, virtue signaling wokes.

And part of the reaction are governments being elected in Italy and New Zealand, um, Argentina, that are kind of more on the other side. Um, I don't know too much of the details of the prosecution. You're referring to Graham, but I'm not too surprised. Given the government that's currently in place in Italy, who seem to be pretty promising in terms of their transparency and accountability.

Um, Julian, do you want to jump in? Yeah, because, with the reports, I have a sense that they're trying to bury the story across the internet. It was a very live story, uh, early, uh, 2023, and then internet reports came in and said, oh, the allegations have been dismissed, and then it's been revived again, uh, towards the end of 23 and so early 24.

Uh, the very fact that they were considering criminal charges of effectively, you know, murder. against the Italian health secretary or health minister, um, shows that there are those within Italy who believe that that's how bad it became. Now, we know Italy's just got a tradition of, um, I have to say it, you know, incredibly decisive corruption and, and, and this story getting, you know, properly laid out across the internet, I'm sure there's a lot of authorities who are trying to bury it.

Um, but if I can just change course for a second, when we talk about, you know, uh, the need for this, uh, this Royal Commission, Tony Abbott wrote an exceptional piece in Quadrant, only released today, and I didn't have a lot of time to, to, to focus on, on Mr. Abbott when he was doing his job, I was occupied with other things, but there's the article there, and it's a very interesting read because it's a very uh, Substantial criticism of the current and previous government with respect to their management of COVID 19.

I think the most, the most confronting and compelling and revealing statement, where this guy's not holding any allegiances to his old club, so to say, is the very last sentence itself, where he says, the fact that nearly every country now wants to forget the pandemic. As a kind of collected bad dream, rather than rigorously analyze it, lest we make the same mistake again, shows how governance has generally become worse as it becomes bigger and ever more intrusive.

And I think we, we know every single, every single word of that. It's just absolutely correct. And he's put it very politely, and this is not the Royal Commission. It's meant to Get on top of, to put this government, governance, back in its box. Julie and I were talking at length, I think, last night or the night before.

We've got a problem in this country, and it's been seen globally now, of the public, let me stress the next word, servants. Servants, who instead of protecting us, subjected us. They subjected their master, the people, and now they want to hide and run. Excuse me, I still pay your goddamn paycheck. If we want to drag you into a royal commission to understand why you used our police against us and you're our servant.

Sit down and answer some questions. At the moment, they're just trying to act like the goddamn master. It's, it's the total reverse of the social contract. This is part of the why people are still suffering post traumatic stress disorder, because, let's be frank, not every tradie understands the social contract.

They were living it beautifully at one point, but when it gets reversed, it creates confusion throughout the entire country. This is what's got to be rectified. I have to jump in one minute, gentlemen, but, um. Thank you for having me on tonight. All my great colleagues and friends here have so much more to say about where we need to go to get this ship righted correctly, back on course.

You know all about getting a ship back on course and the task that it takes. And this is one of those tasks that we all have to get involved in, Graham. Absolutely, Julian. And we do thank you for your, uh, for your leadership in this matter and others that you've been involved in. We thank you for, uh, allowing us to bring all this together on our program.

And, uh, we just look forward to you being able to keep us updated as we go along. We want to give you priority on the program. So whenever you have something to share with us, we'll bring you on. It's always a pleasure, gentlemen. Thank you very much. I have to, I have to bounce, as they say, and, uh, we'll catch up again soon.

Thank you, everyone, tonight. Thanks, Julian. Bye bye. Johnny, I think the point is to, uh, with the Royal Commission, uh, I think there is a lot of focus on the criminal outcomes. Uh, but realistically, I, I mean, the, the, the government needs to look at this from a safety perspective. We need to look at, uh, were, uh, the, the mandates for masks, uh, lockdowns, were they effective?

I mean, we know that jurisdictions overseas. Uh, uh, imposed mask mandates and others didn't, and we need to look at the data on those to see whether there was any difference. They're the sorts of things that we need to, to, to look at in addition to, to the, uh, the other things that we rightfully, uh, expect out of a Royal Commission.

But, uh, if we get. Faced with one of these, uh, so called pandemics, uh, or another event that's similar in the future, we, we need to be able to reference what worked and what didn't. And I think reflection on that, uh, and the evidence from around the world should, should be taken into account in, in preparedness and, uh, and, and future, uh, uh, combat structures to deal with it.

Absolutely. And Duncan Simon, if, if I could just ask you, what would it say about our Commonwealth government, our Labour government in this country, if they come out with a softly, softly jelly bean approach to the Royal commission? Well, uh, they're, I mean, that's what they're looking as they're, as though they're doing now it's right out of a yes minister and a Sir Humphrey Appleby, uh, guide to, um, guide to an inquiry that they have a preformed results before they even, uh, you know, announce it.

And that's what it appears to be. Um, you know, they've got, uh, I think sort of certain cheerleaders who are actually running the, the inquiry who have been, you know, were intimately and responsible for championing many of the, uh, public health measures, which, uh, you know, harmed, harmed many Australians, both physically and mentally.

So, uh. I mean, and the fact that, uh, as Julie Sladden said that their own, uh, senior minister, Senator Gallagher has said that they should have a Royal Commission. Uh, it would mean that, uh, if they just go with this, uh, inquiry that, uh, that really they've got no interest in, in finding out what the truth was and making any, you know, uh, positive changes for, you know, the Australian country.

Absolutely. I mean, it would just be a disaster. We have to learn from our mistakes. Um, Julie Sladden, um, are you drawing any inference from the fact that, uh, that, uh, some of the biggest protagonists in the whole, uh, last three years, our state premiers, some of the most draconian, uh, the gang of three, the three Stooges have all bailed out, uh, pre election.

What do you draw from that? Well, we've almost had a complete change of, um, almost every single premier, I think that was in power during the, um, the, the harshest measures of the, of the COVID response, pretty much everyone's changed. And we've never seen that before in Australia's history, um, which is, is astounding.

So it does, it does kind of make you wonder really. Um, you know, even my own state, Tasmania, um, you know, we, we lived in an effective bubble. We had, uh, we had closed borders for a significant period of time. We had extensive mandates. Um, and there were many, um, measures that were put in place. You know, for which all the questions, you know, nationwide are there and we don't, we don't have answers to, um, I think everyone should be asking themselves, um, questions.

You know, some states were significantly worse than others. I mean, look at Victoria and what they had to endure and, um, Western Australia and Northern Territory. And, you know, I've heard personal stories of, of people and how they survived that time. And, you know, it sounds like something that you would read.

In a sort of a, uh, a novel or story about, you know, Eastern Europe back in the 70s and 80s type thing, you know, people are escaping and crossing borders and driving 5, 000 kilometers to, you know, to get out of their own state and find somewhere where they could actually like work and live and breathe. Um, it's, it's just astounding and the fact that, um, the, the COVID inquiry that has been, um, put forward by the current government is, is just such a restricted non inquiry, uh, should make everyone alarmed because if we don't ask, if we don't, if we don't learn from this, we're destined to repeat it, but you have to ask yourself, what is it that they don't want answers to?

Yeah. Professor brought up the system. The medical system is broken, uh, in a lot of ways. Do you, do you hold any hope that a Royal commission, uh, held properly would, uh, lead to, uh, reforming the medical system, uh, in addition to solving the ways of the COVID?

You're on mute, Dr. Brigham. Sorry. I think if we see, uh, fairness and natural justice applied, uh, John. We will see some changes. I think, uh, uh, we are a democracy, uh, of sorts. Uh, we still have a voice of sorts. Uh, I think, um, I'm very optimistic. Uh, I've seen some pretty nasty things happening in medicine, uh, and been, uh, been involved in, in, in some of them, including the biggest recall.

Uh, the, uh, uh, pharmaceutical company has ever experienced, and that taught me a lot about what goes on behind closed doors in, uh, in these institutions and organizations and government. Um, but I've seen changes in the Australian, uh, psyche, uh, and practice with regard to health care. Not everybody, but many people now, uh, changing their diets and looking at the literature about the microbiome.

And taking the right sorts of, uh, nutrients for supporting the immune system, etc. And I think that there's going to be a mass action effect. Eventually, there will be a critical number of people who decide, Well, we don't want just to be, um, treated as a disease. We want to be treated as a holistic human being.

And I think, you know, we will get there. It's just time. Um, but I've seen the changes, uh, massive changes over the last so many decades in terms of people's attitudes to health and including my own profession. I mean, when I started nutritional medicine and started the College of Nutritional Medicine in 1978, uh, for a couple of decades, I was regarded as an outsider, a bit queer, uh, you know, an odd bod, a bit of an eccentric.

But, you know, the science was there then for looking at, uh, nutrition and cancer incidents, nutrition and heart disease, nutrition and dementia and diabetes. I mean, it's it makes sense to the, to the, uh, the common man and, or the common person. And I think we keep on, uh, telling them that, uh, you know, you can do something yourself.

For your health. Don't get sick. Don't don't allow your genes to produce diseases that you're predisposed to, you know, feed them properly, think properly, get a bit of exercise, do all of the things we know make people healthy. And I think we keep on pushing that message out, John. Uh, the doctors are starting to catch on.

There's a lot of doctors in the groups now who are looking at this way of looking out for themselves as well as their patients and families. So I'm very optimistic. I just think we need to. Uh, with what we're doing now, you and Hoody and, uh, everybody here that Peter, Julian, Duncan, I think we, we have to get a message out to the public that a Royal Commission is necessary.

And we have to get the lower house of Parliament, the House of Reps, um, lobbying them, writing to them, talking to them. That we need to make changes because never, never again, it should never, ever happen again. Uh, this, um, creation of a crisis, um, and producing, putting the whole country at risk, which is what we've done.

Uh, and I think an important element to change people's minds is also Let's look at how much the lockdowns cost us. I mean, G. G. Foster talks about half a trillion dollars. Well, I think the ongoing costs with the medical care, uh, is going to blow out, uh, the budgets enormously. You know, it was said that by 2030 that the, um, health budget in the U.

S. would bankrupt the country. Well, they just seem to keep on printing money. They don't know how it comes from. I think we've got to point out to people that, you know, you're living in a lesser affluent society now because of the mismanagement of the pandemic, the lockdowns and the destruction of business.

And I think, uh, that, uh, in terms of elections has a profound effect on most people's, you know, they think of the back pocket. Um, and young people can't afford houses, we've got homelessness, we've got, uh, Rising, escalating, uh, cost of food, for example, and energy, uh, you know, this is all aggravated by the government's mismanagement and waste, waste, just buying all those vaccines to me was so totally unnecessary, you know, vitamin D, it's so difficult to get this concept across to a lot of people.

A simple, most powerful nutrient could have got us through all of this without this, but you know, we know that there are other forces at work from the World Economic Forum, the World Health Organization, and the money people who believe that vaccines are essential for every person on the face of the earth when an influenza type virus starts attacking as well.

I think a lot of people are starting to realize now that we don't even need the flu vaccine. Because it's been shown to be less effective than vitamin D, but again, it's education.

Peter Pham, you, uh, you're a human rights lawyer with a great reputation. Australia has, in decades past, been very good at pointing the finger at other countries for their, uh, poor human rights performance. We see in this country now state and federal police forces struggling to be able to recruit officers from the younger ranks of Australians leaving school and university.

Obviously, people who don't want to be used against people like they've seen, um, police forces used in the last three years, we are struggling to recruit in our military, obviously for reasons very similar to that, how have we scored as a country in the last three years in the realm of human rights? Do you think?

Uh, very poorly Hoody, um, just to, to give an interesting fact to people, there is an internal survey that was done in the police, um, in the past year or so that showed that Of the police that are currently active, 90 percent of police actively trying to leave and looking for other opportunities. So you're not wrong about that.

Australia already has a very poor human rights framework. As a nation, though, we like to pretend that we have a good human rights framework. The simplest example of that is We were one of the first nations in the world to sign the ICCPR, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and to sign the optional protocols.

And we were telling all the other countries, get into the room and sign this great human rights treaty. And we haven't enshrined. The vast majority of those rights into our domestic law, the Australian Human Rights Commission, which is supposed to be the body stat with the statutory function of upholding and defending our

human rights, didn't do anything during COVID 19, even though the whole reason for their existence baked into their enacting law is to defend and advocate for human rights.

So Australia cannot seriously pretend as a nation to care about human rights. Um, and what we saw over the past three years and one of the reasons why the Royal Commission is so important is for the first time in our history since the British came to Australia, the whole of the population Has been affected by, in terms of their human rights being mitigated, being damaged, their civil liberties being impeded on.

Before that, um, there were only segments of the population who really felt it. But now we all see that it's possible that in the time of a perceived or alleged or created crisis, the government does not care. about any of the human rights that are enshrined in the various international covenants and treaties we're signatories to.

And they're very prepared to impede on those rights for the sake of commercial interest or existential interest in terms of their political survival, not in terms of the survival of the nation. And as Professor Brighthope spoke to, there's a lot of conflicts of interest there that all of your viewers are aware of.

So very poorly hoodie is is the short answer. And, um, I think that our society now has become. On an official governmental level completely divorced from any traditional notion of human rights. It's up to us as human beings to defend the rights that our species has upheld as sacred for thousands of years because our government isn't going to do it for us.

That's pretty sobering, isn't it, John? Yeah, it's, uh, it's, it's so sad what's happened in Australia, uh, and around the world. Uh, you know, I, I think of the humanitarian efforts that, that, and generosity that this country gives to others, but, uh, you often wonder where that money's going too, Hooty. Uh, you know, I think of the SPC Can Company.

I mean, if, if, if a country's in need of food, well, why don't we donate food? Uh, why are we giving money to these countries that are then using it to buy arms and all these other things. So there's so much. We could do as a country that, uh, we're doing so badly. I mean, I think of what professor Bright hope just said about vitamin D.

I mean, if captain cook had have had the option of having vaccines on board for everyone for scurvy or a fruit and vegetables, I know what I'd be taking. Yeah. It's just watching a very old mini series called captain James cook. And he was renowned for the fact that. He never lost one crew member to scurvy.

Sauerkraut, um, we've got some in the fridge. It works great. I feel better since I've been eating it. Who did the first successful voyage without getting scurvy on his, uh, ships. Exactly right. And, um, and, and look, I don't want to end up talking about my gut for goodness sake. It's not the best of topics, but for some people who might catch this outside of the echo chamber, let's face it, we're trying to burst out of the echo chamber to people who are thinking everything's okay.

Um, COVID's over. They did the best they could. We're all alive. We survived. Let's party. What are you guys all on about? I want to ask you all, briefly, in less than a minute, to tell those people why we're on about what we're on about. Let's start with you, Julie Sladden. Well, number one, um, I'd ask, I just say to everyone to ask yourself, was there any part of the COVID response to the pandemic that has you asking questions?

Because that's a really good starting point. Is there anything at all that you found unusual or that you, that didn't seem logical to you? And that's a place to start because these are the questions that we've got. Um, you don't have to go very far to actually talk to somebody who's been affected adversely in some way, whether that be through the lockdowns or the mandates, but one most alarmingly for me, especially from a health perspective, and I'm sure this is echoed by Ian and Duncan as well, is the just alarming effect that this is that this whole Um, escapade has had on the health of Australians, whether that be as a direct effect of the COVID response or whether it's an effect of the injections, and we don't fully yet know what the outcome of that will be.

We know that we haven't seen the full extent of the problems. We don't fully know because we can't actually get. A lot of the data, um, and, but we need to have all hands on deck where I'm looking at the next, you know, five or 10 years wondering what the heck is in store for us as a country. We need to prepare.

We need to have the resources to be able to do that. And that's in everyone's best interest. You know, none of us want to be doing this. We all want to be doing something, anything else, but we're compelled to get to the bottom of. What happened and what is happening. And one of the other thing is obviously is, you know, people were, there's no denying it's in the mainstream.

People were injured by the injections. They need the help. That, um, should be made available to them and to do that, we need all hands on deck to be able to do the research, to get the data, to ask the questions, uh, and we're just not getting any of that. So, there's something here, um, for everyone in that we need to be, um, planning ahead for the future because otherwise we're going to be paying the price and we're never going to have the answers and we don't know what the end point is.

Yeah, thanks Julie. Duncan, what's the point? Why are we doing all this? I mean, it's over, isn't it? Uh, well, my, my main thrust on this would be that, uh, if, uh, if people aren't brought to account and questions aren't asked and the, you know, the management is not reviewed, it's very likely that this will happen again, if the people who have brought this upon us.

So if, you know, as Julie says, If, if there are people out there who haven't necessarily been fully convinced by, uh, uh, our arguments, but if they've got some queries or they've seen friends and family who have been, who, who have been, uh, uh, unwell since the injections and the, you know, and all the other health management, then, um, it's in their interests to have a proper review of what went on.

So it can't be repeated again. That's where we're going. Isn't it? Thank you, Ian. Bright hope. Um, surely. You must be looking forward to retirement. You don't, you don't want to be dealing with all this rubbish for the next year or two, do you? Uh, I don't know, Hoody. I thoroughly agree with both Julie and Duncan.

Um, couldn't agree more. Um, I mean, I'm in my 78th year, uh, and I retired quite a long time ago. But, um, I never really did retire because I've always kept an interest in education and research into making people healthy. But there's just one other point I'd like to make, and that is we lost our sovereignty.

We lost our national sovereignty and we lost our individual sovereignty during this pandemic because we were basically following the instructions of the World Health Organization, uh, and that is an organization that is made up of unelected bureaucrats. Who don't have any body with the experience of making people healthy the way I was talking about it before and I do not want the World Health Organization to dictate our future medicines and our future health care at all because it is all about drugs and vaccines and not about real health.

So I want to also be able to have bodily autonomy. And that is to be able to say, no, I don't want this treatment. And I think this is What, uh, as a background so that the Royal Commission can come out with recommendations that we retain our national sovereignty, we retain our individual sovereignty, and we retain the right, uh, of, uh, to have informed consent and the right of refusal to a treatment.

So, um, you know, I think we're all on the same page. Uh, it is a battle. And it will be a battle goes on. I think for maybe many, many years, Julie mentioned 10 years. I'm talking, I talk about maybe a couple of generations because there may be generational damage that's been done by the DNA, RNA injections.

We don't know enough yet, but we, we certainly know the principles of good health and keeping people healthy and boosting up the functioning of the heart and the brain and the immune system with Naturally occurring molecules, which is what I find most exciting what's in the diet and the vitamins and the metals and other things that we've been talking about, you know, we can prevent a lot of suffering, but we've also got to be cognizant of the damage that's been done, the psychological damage, the spiritual damage and the physical damage.

So, uh, we've got a great team of people. I think, um, you know, the doctors I know, the new people I've met throughout all of this. It is, I think, uh, giving us an inflection point in history whereby we can come out with a better society. I don't think we're going to continue to crumble the way we have, I think, some principles and the philosophy of living and life, spirituality come back into, into our existence.

And uh, we will probably have to go through some more pain before that happens, but let's keep talking, communicating, uh, and, uh, loving one another. Absolutely. And, uh, we've got to bring it to a close soon, but Peter Pham, you must have better things to do with your time than to worry about this. Surely it's all over.

Yeah. Uh, look, um, I always say, Hoody, that I don't really enjoy, um, being a lawyer because the legal system I operate in is very divorced from the principles of law that I actually believe in. And I'm talking about a much older law than the one that has been corrupted. in most of the world. Um, why am I doing this?

Because I believe in truth and I believe that every human being has a tether to that truth. There is such thing as truth. We all know it. That's why we're all here. Everybody knows that even the people who pretend that that it's not there or block their ears or cover their eyes, everybody knows it's there.

And it's very painful to be living in a way that. Involves the self betrayal of ignoring or rejecting that truth and the way that the question I ask people or the conversation that I have with people is, and it's not, it's not different to what everybody else is saying. Think about your own life. Do you think that the way that you're living constitutes the way that human beings are supposed to live?

Are the systems that we live in and the corporations and bureaucrats who are operating those systems genuinely interested in what's best for you? And if they were, would your life be playing out in the way that it is? You know, we're the sickest we've ever been. We're very unhappy. The systems that we live in don't actually abide by the principles that we're told are at the foundation of those.

systems. There is clearly an influence on humanity at the moment that is trying to dilute us, but we feel far too much of our power to be convinced that we are anything other than powerful. And that's why we have so many people who are reacting to this situation by standing up and, and speaking out and becoming more of themselves.

And that's what everybody here is doing. And I think as professor Bridehope said that this is going to be a massive pivot point for humanity in general, because we're being forced to reassess and reorient ourselves and to go towards that truth because we don't really have a choice and necessity is a pretty good motivator.

So it might be a little bit rocky, but I'm personally also very optimistic about what the next few years will mean, even though I think it will be very uncomfortable and challenging. Ultimately, it's going to result in a society where We don't have the cancer rates that Professor Brighthope was talking about.

We don't have the neurological disease. We don't have the massive disability from the lifestyles that we live. But instead, we're living in a way that's much healthier and much more human. Thank you so much, Peter. Johnny Larder. Yeah, I think you just need to ask yourself, uh, people who historically have been very suspicious of governments and bureaucrats, why do they just suddenly believe that these bureaucrats and governments, politicians are suddenly telling the truth?

Uh, on COVID matters, it, it beggars belief that they're, they're building these Moderna factories. There's so many red flags out there. People are sick, uh, as Peter's just alluded to. Uh, there's so much going on, uh, yet they don't want to, uh, question the fact that the, the bureaucrats and the politicians have lied.

And that's exactly what's happened. I, I just can't really understand why people who would invariably be very suspicious of government suddenly think, uh, that they are the truth and the only source, source of truth. And people like us on the screen are, uh, are on another planet. Exactly right. And from my perspective, uh, Houston, we have a problem.

And if you're not part of the solution, everybody, you're part of the problem. That's it. End of story. This is a battle between good and evil. You're either standing in the light or you're wallowing in the dark. That's a decision that you have to make. And, uh, as we bring it to a close, um, we, we did hear last night or yesterday that Tim Dwyer, a constitutional law expert, a lovely guy has made a national call for a day of national prayer on Australia Day, and we encourage everybody to participate in that because we need all the help we can get.

And, uh, Julie Sladden, I'm putting you on the, on the spot, but I know that you're a lady of God. And, uh, as we bring this to a close, would you like to close it in a prayer for us? Yeah, I'd be delighted to. Heavenly Father, I just thank you for the wonders of technology that allow us to meet online but also share the hard work and the message of the people who've been working on it.

These matters and bringing the truth to light over these last few months and years. I just lift up the the document that has been created by these organizations. I ask that you bless this work and that the message about this document is spread around to the nation and that. As many people as possible hear about it, Lord, that we might bring light to this situation.

And most of all, Lord, I just pray for your truth to, uh, come out and, um, just bless the hands and the feet of the people who bring this truth to light. Um, I ask all these things in Jesus name. Amen. Amen. Thank you so much, Dr. Julie Sladden. Well, it's clear that a lot of people, uh, in the, in, in the search for truth are becoming triggered by a whole bunch of stuff because they're really anxious.

And, uh, there's not enough love in the world. And, uh, it's been alluded to by pretty much all of us in this broadcast. If you love somebody and you haven't told them for goodness sake, call them and tell them, even if you disagree on matters, COVID or anything else, doesn't mean we stop loving each other.

And for those people who, uh, comment and are triggered by other people's comments on social media, just let some of it go to the keeper. It's okay. We're all in an awkward position. We never dreamt we'd be in. So what you did last year got you to 2024. Just keep doing it day by day. Everybody. Um, Johnny Lada, you've often been heard to say, you just couldn't make this stuff up.

You couldn't make this stuff up. Stay out of the trees. Everybody. Professor Ian Bright hope Dr. Julie Sladden, a lawyer, Peter fam, and Dr. Duncan signed for MAMS. Thank you so much for gracing our screen. And we look forward to hearing how all this goes in the near future. We'd love to get you all back on.

Thanks for joining us. Thanks, guys. Thank you. Thanks, everybody. And also for your viewing. Yeah. On Wednesday night, the state government that said that all mandates are gone. We'll ask the young nurse who's pregnant, who just got terminated because she refused to be vaccinated in times gone by at a time when she's almost ready to give birth.

We'll be talking to her on Wednesday night. In the meantime, God bless you all. Thank you for watching Club Grubbery. Keep supporting us wherever you can, especially in prayer. And we look forward to seeing you on Wednesday night. Bye for now.

mhm. Mhm.